Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant HIV-1-Infected Women for Maternal Health *and* Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States



Developed by the HHS Panel on Treatment of HIV-Infected Pregnant Women and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission—A Working Group of the Office of AIDS Research Advisory Council (OARAC)

How to Cite the Perinatal Guidelines:

Panel on Treatment of HIV-Infected Pregnant Women and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission. Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant HIV-1-Infected Women for Maternal Health *and* Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States. Available at http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lvguidelines/PerinatalGL.pdf. Accessed (insert date) [include page numbers, table number, etc. if applicable]

It is emphasized that concepts relevat to HIV management evolve rapidly. The Panel has a mechanism to update recommendations on a regular basis, and the most recent information is available on the AIDS*info* website (http://aidsinfo.nih.gov).



access AIDS info mobile site

What's New in the Guidelines (Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Key changes to the Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant HIV-1-Infected Women and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States are summarized below. Text, appendices, and references have been updated to include new data and publications where relevant. Throughout the guidelines, content has been revised to refer to expedited HIV testing, preferably using fourth-generation antigen/antibody expedited HIV tests, in accordance with current Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) recommendations. All changes are highlighted throughout the guidelines.

Pregnant Women with Perinatal HIV Infection

• The Panel has added a new section about pregnant women with perinatal HIV infection. Although the components of prenatal care and general principles of combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) and HIV management do not differ between pregnant women who were perinatally infected and those who acquired HIV infection in other ways, this section discusses some of the unique challenges in meeting these young women's reproductive health care needs and optimizing prevention of perinatal HIV transmission.

Preconception Counseling and Care for HIV-Infected Women of Childbearing Age

- HIV infection does not preclude use of any contraceptive method (AII). However, the Panel on
 Treatment of HIV-Infected Pregnant Women and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel)
 recommends that drug-drug interactions between hormonal contraceptives and cART should be taken
 into account (see <u>Table 3</u>: <u>Drug Interactions between Antiretroviral Agents and Hormonal</u>
 Contraceptives).
- The Panel noted that a World Health Organization expert group reviewed all available evidence regarding
 hormonal contraception and HIV transmission to an uninfected partner and recommended that women
 living with HIV can continue to use all existing hormonal contraceptive methods without restriction.
 Women should be strongly advised to also always use condoms and other HIV preventive measures.

Reproductive Options for HIV-discordant Couples

- For HIV-discordant couples, the Panel recommends that the HIV-infected partner receive cART and demonstrate sustained suppression of plasma viral load below the limits of detection (AI).
- Periconception administration of antiretroviral (ARV) pre-exposure prophylaxis for the HIV-uninfected partner may offer an additional tool to reduce the risk of sexual transmission (CIII).

General Principles Regarding Use of Antiretroviral Drugs during Pregnancy

- The Panel clarified that the goal of cART is to maintain a viral load below the limit of detection throughout pregnancy for all women.
- The Panel has added a link to the 2013 Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) Clinical Practice Guidelines for Vaccination of the Immunocompromised Host.

Teratogenicity

Data from recently-reported cohort studies and updated Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry data have been
included, reaffirming the lack of clear association between first-trimester exposure to any ARV drug and
increased risk of birth defects.

- The Panel reviewed an updated meta-analysis of studies of defects after efavirenz exposure; this analysis found no association between first-trimester exposure and increased risk of birth defects.
- The Panel noted that a recent study found significantly lower bone mineral content in newborns exposed to tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (tenofovir) in utero compared with infants without tenofovir exposure. The clinical significance and long-term outcome of these findings is not clear.

Combination Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Pregnancy Outcome

• Content has been reorganized into two sections: earlier studies describing data prior to 2005 and recent studies describing data beginning in 2005.

Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs during Pregnancy

- Content about mitochondrial toxicity associated with nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) has been moved into this section.
- <u>Table 6: What to Start: Initial Combination Regimens for Antiretroviral Naive-Pregnant Women</u> has been updated with new recommendations for initial therapy.
 - *Preferred protease inhibitor (PI):* darunavir/ritonavir has been promoted to a preferred protease inhibitor for ARV-naive pregnant women; atazanavir/ritonavir remains a Preferred PI.
 - *Alternative PI:* lopinavir/ritonavir has been changed from Preferred to Alternative PI.
 - Preferred non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI): efavirenz remains a Preferred NNRTI when initiated after the first 8 weeks of pregnancy.
 - *Alternative NNRTI:* rilpivirine has been added as an Alternative NNRTI.
 - *Preferred integrase inhibitor:* Raltegravir has been promoted to the Preferred category, providing a Preferred integrase inhibitor option for initial therapy in pregnancy.
 - *Not Recommended for ARV therapy:* saquinavir/ritonavir and nevirapine are no longer recommended for initial ARV therapy in ARV naive women.
 - Insufficient Data in Pregnancy to Recommend Routine Use in ARV Therapy-Naive Women: There are insufficient data to recommend cobicistat, which is now available to be prescribed as a boosting agent with PIs.
- <u>Table 7: Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data</u>
 in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy and Appendix B: Safety and Toxicity
 of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy have been updated with new data, and newly licensed
 drugs have been added.

HIV-Infected Pregnant Women Who Have Never Received Antiretroviral Drugs (Antiretroviral Naive)

- The Panel now recommends that consideration should be given to initiating cART as soon as HIV is diagnosed during pregnancy in light of data demonstrating an association between earlier viral suppression and lower risk of transmission.
- The section has been updated in accordance with changes in the recommendations for use of ARV drugs in pregnancy (see <u>Table 6: What to Start: Initial Combination Regimens for Antiretroviral Naive-</u> Pregnant Women).

Monitoring of the Woman and Fetus during Pregnancy

The Panel pointed out that maternal HIV RNA levels assessed at approximately 34 to 36 weeks' gestation
to inform decisions about mode of delivery are also used to inform decisions about optimal treatment of
the newborn.

Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy, HIV-Infected Women Pregnant Women Who Have Never Received Antiretroviral Drugs, and HIV-Infected Pregnant Women Who Have Previously Received Antiretroviral Treatment

• Individual sections have been updated to reflect the Panel's recommendations that cART can be initiated prior to receiving results of ARV drug-resistance studies in light of data demonstrating an association between earlier viral suppression and lower risk of perinatal HIV transmission. The ARV regimen should be modified, if necessary, based on the results of the resistance assay (BIII).

Lack of Viral Suppression

• Because maternal antenatal viral load correlates with risk of perinatal transmission of HIV, the Panel has added a recommendation that suppression of HIV RNA to undetectable levels should be achieved as rapidly as possible (AII).

Special Populations: HIV/Hepatitis C Virus Coinfection

• The Panel has added a link to the recently updated hepatitis C virus (HCV) treatment guidelines of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, IDSA, and International Antiviral Society-USA with a brief discussion about the importance of HCV testing although currently available anti-HCV treatments lack sufficient safety data to be recommended during pregnancy.

HIV-2 Infection and Pregnancy

• The Panel updated this section to incorporate the new 2014 CDC HIV testing algorithm, which may enhance the diagnosis of HIV-2 (CDC Laboratory Testing for the Diagnosis of HIV Infection: Updated Recommendations 2014).

Other Intrapartum Management Considerations

• Recent data suggest that epidural anesthesia can be used safely regardless of ARV regimen.

Postpartum Care

In discussing the avoidance of breastfeeding as the strong, standard recommendation for HIV-infected
women in the United States, the Panel notes that women may face social, familial, and personal pressures
to breastfeed despite this recommendation and that it is important to begin addressing possible barriers to
formula feeding during the antenatal period.

Long-term Follow-Up of Antiretroviral Drug-Exposed Infants

• Content about the potential mitochondrial toxicity of NRTIs has been moved into this section.

Table of Contents

What's New in the Guidelines	i
Guidelines Panel Members	vii
Financial Disclosure	ix
Introduction	
Table 1. Outline of the Guidelines Development Process	
• Table 2. Rating Scheme for Recommendations	
Preconception Counseling and Care for HIV-Infected Women of Childbearing Age	B-1
Overview	B-1
• Table 3: Drug Interactions Between Antiretroviral Agents and Hormonal Contraceptives	B-3
Reproductive Options for HIV-Concordant and Serodiscordant Couples	B-8
Table 4: Clinical Trials of Pre-exposure Prophylaxis	B-10
Antepartum Care	C-1
General Principles Regarding Use of Antiretroviral Drugs during Pregnancy	
• Teratogenicity	
Combination Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Pregnancy Outcome	C-11
- Table 5. Results of Studies Assessing Association Between Antiretroviral	G 10
Regimens and Preterm Delivery	
 Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs during Pregnancy Table 6. What to Start: Initial Combination Regimens for the Antiretroviral- 	C-18
Naive Pregnant Women	C-25
• Table 7. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and	
Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy	
Recommendations for Women	C-42
HIV-Infected Pregnant Women Who Have Never Received Antiretroviral Drugs (Antiretroviral Naive)	C 42
HIV-Infected Pregnant Women Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy	
• HIV-Infected Pregnant Women Who Have Previously Received Antiretroviral Treatment or	
Prophylaxis but Are Not Currently Receiving Any Antiretroviral Medications	
Monitoring of the Woman and Fetus During Pregnancy	C-54
Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy	C-58
Lack of Viral Suppression	C-64
Stopping Antiretroviral Drugs during Pregnancy	C-67
Special Populations	C-69
HIV/Hepatitis B Virus Coinfection	
HIV/Hepatitis C Virus Coinfection	
• HIV-2	
Pregnancy in Women with Perinatal HIV InfectionAcute HIV Infection	
- ACUIC III V IIIICUIUII	\-0/

Intrapartum Care	D-1
Intrapartum Antiretroviral Therapy/Prophylaxis	D-1
Transmission and Mode of Delivery	D-5
Other Intrapartum Management Considerations	D-10
Postpartum Care	E-1
Postpartum Follow-Up of HIV-Infected Women	E-1
Infant Antiretroviral Prophylaxis	E-6
• Table 8. Recommended Neonatal Dosing for Prevention of Perinatal Transmission of HIV	E-12
Initial Postnatal Management of the HIV-Exposed Neonate	
Long-Term Follow-Up of Antiretroviral Drug-Exposed Infants	E-23
Appendix A: Review of Clinical Trials of Antiretroviral Interventions to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission	F-1
Supplemental Table 1. Results of Major Studies on Antiretroviral Prophylaxis to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission	
Appendix B: Supplement: Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy	G-1
• NRTIs	G-1
Abacavir	G-1
Didanosine	G-3
Emtricitabine	G-5
Lamivudine	G-7
Stavudine	G-9
• Tenofovir	G-11
Zalcitabine	G-15
Zidovudine	G-15
• NNRTIs	G-19
Delavirdine	G-19
Efavirenz	G-19
• Etravirine	G-24
Nevirapine	G-26
Rilpivirine	G-30
• PIs	G-32
Amprenavir	G-32
Atazanavir	G-32
Darunavir	G-36
• Fosamprenavir	G-38
• Indinavir	G-40
• Lopinavir	G-42
Nelfinavir	G-46
Ritonavir	G-48
Cobicistat	G-50
Saquinavir	G-52

Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry	G-65
Raltegravir	
Elvitegravir	
Dolutegravir	
Integrase Inhibitors	
Maraviroc	G-58
Enfurvirtide	
Entry Inhibitors	G-56
• Tipranavir	G-54

Members of the Panel on Treatment of HIV-Infected Pregnant Woman and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (Last updated

August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Revisions to the March 28, 2014, Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant HIV-1-Infected Women for Maternal Health *and* Interventions to Reduce Perinatal Transmission in the United States have been made by the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Panel on Treatment of HIV-Infected Pregnant Women and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (a Working Group of the Office of AIDS Research Advisory Council).

Members of the Panel		
Erika Aaron, MSN, ANP, CRNP	Drexel University College of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA	
Elaine J. Abrams, MD	Columbia University, New York, NY	
Jean Anderson, MD	Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD	
Liz Barr, MA, MS	Madison, WI	
Brookie M. Best, PharmD, MAS	University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA and Rady Children's Hospital-San Diego, San Diego, CA	
Andrea Ciaranello, MD, MPH	Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA	
Rana Chakraborty, MD, MS, PhDa	Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA	
Susan E. Cohn, MD, MPH	Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL	
Susan Cu-Uvin, MD	Alpert School of Medicine, Brown University, Providence, RI	
Stephanie Deyo	Seattle, WA	
Judith Feinberg, MD	University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, OH	
Patricia M. Flynn, MD	St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN	
Gweneth B. Lazenby, MD, MSCR	Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC	
Judy Levison, MD, MPH	Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX	
Robert T. Maupin Jr., MD	Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center, New Orleans, LA	
Howard Minkoff, MDb	Maimonides Medical Center, State University of New York Brooklyn, Brooklyn, NY	
Mark Mirochnick, MD	Boston Medical Center, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA	
Lynne M. Mofenson, MD	Elizabeth Glaser Pediatric AIDS Foundation, Washington DC	
Fatima Y. Prioleau, MA	Brooklyn, NY	
Stephen A. Spector, MD	University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA and Rady Children's Hospital-San Diego, San Diego, CA	
Kathleen E. Squires, MD	Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA	
Meg Sullivan, MD	Boston Medical Center, Boston, MA	
Ruth Tuomala, MD	Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA	
Geoffrey A. Weinberg, MD	University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry, Rochester, NY	

^a American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Pediatric AIDS liaison

^b American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists liaison

Panel Executive Secretary	
George K. Siberry, MD, MPH	National Institutes of Health, Rockville, MD

Ex Officio Member	
Deborah Cohan, MD	National Perinatal HIV Hotline, San Francisco, CA

Members from the United States Government		
Nahida Chakhtoura, MD, MsGH	National Institutes of Health, Rockville, MD	
Brian Feit, MPA	Health Resources and Services Administration, Rockville, MD	
Devasena Gnanashanmugam, MD	National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD	
Denise Jamieson, MD, MPH	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA	
Steve Nesheim, MD	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA	
Polly E. Ross, MD	Health Resources and Services Administration, Rockville, MD	
Alan Shapiro, MD, PhD	Food and Drug Administration, Rockville, MD	
D. Heather Watts, MD	Office of the Global AIDS Coordinator and Health Diplomacy, Washington, DC	

Non-Voting Observers from the Francois-Xavier Bagnoud Center	
Deborah Storm, MSN, PhD	François-Xavier Bagnoud Center, School of Nursing, Newark, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, NJ

Special Thanks

We would like to acknowledge and recognize the contributions of **Carolyn Burr, RN, EdD**, who is retiring from the Panel on Treatment of HIV-Infected Pregnant Woman and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission and the Panel on Antiretroviral Therapy and Medical Management of HIV-Infected Children. As a nurse practitioner, educator, and former Deputy Director of the François-Xavier Bagnoud Center at the School of Nursing, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Dr. Burr was one of the early champions for comprehensive pediatric HIV care and perinatal HIV prevention in the United States and has provided key leadership and support for both the *Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in Pediatric HIV Infection* and the *Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant HIV-1-Infected Women for Maternal Health and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States* since their inception.

Financial Disclosure List for Members of the Health and Human Services Panel on Treatment of HIV-Infected Pregnant Women and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (Last updated August 6, 2015; last

reviewed August 6, 2015)

Name	Panel Status	Company	Relationship
Aaron, Erika	М	Gilead	Research Support
Abrams, Elaine J.	М	Viiv	Advisory Board
Anderson, Jean	М	Gilead	PrEP Advisory Board
Barr, Liz	М	None	N/A
Best, Brookie	М	PPD	DSMB
		Vertex Pharmaceuticals	DSMB
Ciaranello, Andrea	М	None	N/A
Chakhtoura, Nahida	М	None	N/A
Chakraborty, Rana	М	Gilead	Research Support
Cohan, Deborah	ExOM	None	N/A
Cohn, Susan E.	М	None	N/A
Cu-Uvin, Susan	М	None	N/A
Deyo, Stephanie	М	None	N/A
Feinberg, Judith M	M	Bristol-Myers Squibb	Research Support Speakers' Bureau
		GlaxoSmithKline/ViiV	Advisory Board Research Support
		Merck	Research Support Speakers' Bureau
		Janssen	Advisory Board Research Support
		Tobira	Research Support
		Gilead	Research Support PrEP Advisory Board PrEP Speakers' Bureau
Feit, Brian	HHS	None	N/A
Flynn, Patricia M.	М	Johnson and Johnson (formerly Tibotec)	Research Support
		Merck	DSMB Member
Gnanashanmugam, Devasena	М	None	N/A
Jamieson, Denise	HHS	None	N/A
Lazenby, Gweneth	М	None	N/A
Levison, Judy	М	None	N/A
Maupin, Robert	М	None	N/A
Minkoff, Howard	М	None	N/A

Financial Disclosure List for Members of the Health and Human Services Panel on Treatment of HIV-Infected Pregnant Women and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (Last updated August 6, 2015; last

reviewed August 6, 2015)

Name	Panel Status	Company	Relationship
Mirochnick, Mark	M	ViiV Merck	DSMB DSMB
Mofenson, Lynne	M	None	N/A
Nesheim, Steve	HHS	None	N/A
Prioleau, Fatima Y.	M	None	N/A
Ross, Polly E.	HHS	None	N/A
Shapiro, Alan	HHS	None	N/A
Siberry, George	HHS, ES	None	N/A
Spector, Stephen A.	M	None	N/A
Squires, Kathleen E.	M	Gilead Sciences	Advisory Board Research Support
		Janssen	Advisory Board
		Merck	Advisory Board
		Tobira	Advisory Board
		Vertex	Research Support
		ViiV	Advisory Board
Storm, Deborah	NVO	Merck	Stockholder
		Lilly	Stockholder
		Roche	Stockholder
Sullivan, Meg	M	Gilead	Advisory Board Research Support
Tuomala, Ruth	M	None	N/A
Watts, D. Heather	HHS	None	N/A
Weinberg, Geoffrey A.	M	Merck	Research Support

Key to Acronyms: DSMB = Data Safety Monitoring Board; ES = Executive Secretary; ExOM = Ex Officio Member; HHS = Member from Department of Health and Human Services; M = Member; N/A = Not applicable; NVO = Nonvoting Observer

Introduction (Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Recommendations regarding HIV screening and treatment of pregnant women and prophylaxis for perinatal transmission of HIV have evolved considerably in the United States since the mid-1990s, reflecting changes in the epidemic and the science of prevention and treatment. With the implementation of recommendations for universal prenatal HIV counseling and testing, antiretroviral (ARV) prophylaxis, scheduled cesarean delivery, and avoidance of breastfeeding, the rate of perinatal transmission of HIV has dramatically diminished to 2% or less in the United States and Europe. ^{1,2} In response to this success, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention has developed a goal of eliminating perinatal HIV transmission in the United States, defined as reducing perinatal transmission to an incidence <1 infection per 100,000 live births and to a rate of <1% among HIV-exposed infants.³

The annual number of pregnancies among HIV-infected women in the United States appears to be increasing, as routine use of antiretroviral therapy (ART) results in HIV-infected women living longer, healthier lives. A focus on appropriate overall medical care for HIV-infected women is the best way to prevent HIV infection of infants, including comprehensive reproductive health, family planning and preconception care services, optimization of HIV treatment, and maintenance of care for HIV-infected women between pregnancies. A critical component of prevention of perinatal HIV transmission is ensuring the use of ART to maximally suppress viral replication as early as possible during pregnancy, as discussed in these guidelines.

These guidelines update the March 28, 2014 Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant HIV-1-Infected Women for Maternal Health and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States. The Department of Health and Human Services Panel on Treatment of HIV-Infected Pregnant Women and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel), a working group of the Office of AIDS Research Advisory Council (OARAC), develops these guidelines. The guidelines provide health care providers with information for discussion with HIV-infected pregnant women to enable the patient/provider team to make informed decisions regarding the use of ARV drugs during pregnancy and use of scheduled cesarean delivery to reduce perinatal transmission of HIV. The recommendations in the guidelines are accompanied by discussion of various circumstances that commonly occur in clinical practice and the factors that influence treatment considerations. The Panel recognizes that strategies to prevent perinatal transmission and concepts related to management of HIV in pregnant women are rapidly evolving and will consider new evidence and adjust recommendations accordingly. The updated guidelines are available from the AIDSinfo website (http://aidsinfo.nih.gov).

The current guidelines have been structured to reflect the management of an individual mother-child pair and are organized into a brief discussion of preconception care followed by principles for management of a woman and her infant during the antepartum, intrapartum, and postpartum periods. Although perinatal transmission of HIV occurs worldwide, these recommendations have been developed for use in the United States. Alternative strategies may be appropriate in other countries.

Guidelines Development Process

Table 1. Outline of the Guidelines Development Process

Topic	Comment	
Goal of the Guidelines	Provide guidance to HIV care practitioners on the optimal use of ARV agents in pregnant women for treatment of HIV infection and for prevention of perinatal transmission of HIV and management of HIV-exposed infants in the United States.	
Panel Members	The Panel is composed of approximately 30 voting members who have expertise in management of pregna HIV-infected women (such as training in obstetrics/gynecology, infectious diseases, or women's health) and interventions for prevention of perinatal transmission (such as specialized training in pediatric HIV infection) well as community representatives with knowledge of HIV infection in pregnant women and interventions for prevention of perinatal transmission. The U.S. government representatives, appointed by their agencies, inc at least 1 representative from each of the following Department of Health and Human Services agencies: the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA), and the National Institutes of Health (NIH). Members who not represent U.S. government agencies are selected by Panel members after an open announcement to conominations. Each member serves on the Panel for a 3-year period, with an option for re-appointment. The Panel may also include liaison members from the Perinatal HIV Hotline, the American Academy of Pediatric Committee on Pediatric AIDS, and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. A list of all Par members can be found on Page IV of the guidelines.	
Financial Disclosures	All members of the Panel submit a written financial disclosure annually reporting any association with manufacturers of ARV antiretroviral drugs or diagnostics used for management of HIV infections. A list of the latest disclosures is available on the AIDS <i>info</i> website (http://aidsinfo.nih.gov).	
Users of the Guidelines	Providers of care to HIV-infected pregnant women and to HIV-exposed infants	
Developer	Panel on Treatment of HIV-Infected Pregnant Women and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission—a working group of OARAC	
Funding Source	Office of AIDS Research, NIH	
Evidence for Recommendations	The recommendations in these guidelines are generally based on studies published in peer-reviewed journals. On some occasions, particularly when new information may affect patient safety, unpublished data presented at major conferences or prepared by the FDA and/or manufacturers as warnings to the public may be used as evidence to revise the guidelines.	
Recommendation Grading	See <u>Table 2</u> .	
Method of Synthesizing Data	Each section of the guidelines is assigned to a small group of Panel members with expertise in the area of interest. A structured literature search is conducted by a representative from the Francois-Xavier Bagnoud Center (through funding from HRSA) and provided to the Panel working group. The members review and synthesize the available data and propose recommendations to the entire Panel. The Panel discusses all proposals during monthly teleconferences. Proposals are modified based on Panel discussion and then distributed, with ballots, to all Panel members for concurrence and additional comments. If there are substantive comments or votes against approval, the recommended changes and areas of disagreement are brought back to the full Panel (via email or teleconference) for additional review, discussion and further modification to reach a final version acceptable to all Panel members. The recommendations in these final versions represent endorsement from a consensus of members and are included in the guidelines as official Panel recommendations.	
Other Guidelines	These guidelines focus on HIV-infected pregnant women and their infants. Other guidelines (all available on the AIDSinfo website http://www.aidsinfo.nih.gov) outline the use of ARV agents in non-pregnant HIV-infected adults and adolescents; use of ARV agents in HIV-infected infants and children; treatment and prevention of opportunistic infections in HIV-infected adults and adolescents, including pregnant women; treatment and prevention of opportunistic infections in HIV-infected and HIV-exposed children; and treatment of people who experience occupational or non-occupational exposure to HIV.). Preconception management for non-pregnant women of reproductive age is briefly discussed in this document. However, for more detailed discussion on issues of treatment of non-pregnant adults, the Working Group defers to the designated expertise offered by Panels that have developed those guidelines.	

Guidelines Development Process

Table 1. Outline of the Guidelines Development Process, cont'd

Topic	Comment
Update Plan	The Panel meets monthly by teleconference to review data that may warrant modification of the guidelines. Updates may be prompted by new drug approvals (or new indications, new dosing formulations, or changes in dosing frequency), significant new safety or efficacy data, or other information that may have a significant impact on the clinical care of patients. In the event of significant new data that may affect patient safety, the Panel may issue a warning announcement and accompanying recommendations on the AIDS <i>info</i> website until the guidelines can be updated with appropriate changes. Updated guidelines are available on the AIDS <i>info</i> website (http://www.aidsinfo.nih.gov).
Public Comments	A 2-week public comment period follows release of the updated guidelines on the AIDS <i>info</i> website. The Panel reviews comments received to determine whether additional revisions to the guidelines are indicated. The public may also submit comments to the Panel at any time at contactus@aidsinfo.nih.gov .

Key to Acronyms: ARV = antiretroviral; FDA = Food and Drug Administration; HRSA = Health Resources and Services Administration; NIH = National Institutes of Health; OARAC = Office of AIDS Research Advisory Council

Basis for Recommendations

Recommendations in these guidelines are based on scientific evidence and expert opinion. Each recommended statement is rated with a letter of **A**, **B**, or **C** that represents the strength of the recommendation and with a numeral **I**, **II**, or **III**, according to the quality of evidence.

Table 2. Rating Scheme for Recommendations

Strength of Recommendation	Quality of Evidence for Recommendation
A: Strong recommendation for the statement B: Moderate recommendation for the statement	I: One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints
C: Optional recommendation for the statement	II: One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes
	III: Expert opinion

References

- Townsend CL, Byrne L, Cortina-Borja M, et al. Earlier initiation of ART and further decline in mother-to-child HIV transmission rates, 2000–2011. AIDS. 2014;28(7):1049-1057. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24566097.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Enhanced perinatal surveillance—15 areas, 2005–2008. HIV Surveillance
 Supplemental Report 2011. 2011;16 (no. 2). Available at http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/topics/surveillance/resources/reports.
 Accessed June 1, 2015.
- 3. Nesheim S, Taylor A, Lampe MA, et al. A framework for elimination of perinatal transmission of HIV in the United States. *Pediatrics*. 2012;130(4):738-744. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22945404.
- Whitmore SK, Zhang X, Taylor AW, Blair JM. Estimated number of infants born to HIV-infected women in the United States and five dependent areas, 2006. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2011;57(3):218-222. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21372725.

Preconception Counseling and Care for HIV-Infected Women of Childbearing Age (Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Panel's Recommendations

- Discuss childbearing intentions with all women of childbearing age on an ongoing basis throughout the course of their care (AIII).
- Provide information about effective and appropriate contraceptive methods to reduce the likelihood of unintended pregnancy (AI).
- During preconception counseling, include information on safer sexual practices and elimination of alcohol, illicit drugs, and smoking (All).
- All HIV-infected women contemplating pregnancy should be receiving combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) and have a plasma viral load below the limit of detection prior to conception (All).
- When selecting or evaluating cART for HIV-infected women of childbearing age, consider a regimen's effectiveness, a woman's hepatitis B status, teratogenic potential of the drugs in the cART regimen, and possible adverse outcomes for the mother and fetus (AII).
- HIV infection does not preclude the use of any contraceptive method (AII). However, drug-drug interactions between hormonal
 contraceptives and cART should be taken into account.

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes: III = Expert opinion

Overview

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, and other national organizations recommend offering all women of childbearing age comprehensive family planning and the opportunity to receive preconception counseling and care as a component of routine primary medical care. The purpose of preconception care is to improve the health of each woman before conception by identifying risk factors for adverse maternal or fetal outcomes, providing education and counseling targeted to patients' individual needs, and treating or stabilizing medical conditions to optimize maternal and fetal outcomes. Preconception care is not something that occurs in a single clinical visit but, rather, a process of ongoing care and interventions integrated into primary care to address the needs of women during the different stages of reproductive life. Because more than half of all pregnancies in the United States are unintended²⁻⁸ it is important that comprehensive family planning and preconception care be integrated into routine health visits. Providers should initiate and document a nonjudgmental conversation with all women of reproductive age concerning their reproductive desires because women may be reluctant to bring this up themselves. Pla HIV care providers who routinely care for women of reproductive age play an important role in promoting preconception health and informed reproductive decisions.

The fundamental principles of preconception counseling and care are outlined in the CDC Preconception Care Work Group's Recommendations to Improve Preconception Health and Health Care. In addition to the general components of preconception counseling and care that are appropriate for all women of reproductive age, HIV-infected women have specific needs that should be addressed. ¹³⁻¹⁶ Because many HIV-infected women are aware of their HIV status before becoming pregnant, issues that impact pregnancy can be addressed before conception during their routine medical care for HIV disease. In addition to the principles outlined by the CDC Preconception Care Work Group, ¹⁷ the following components of preconception counseling and care are specifically recommended for HIV-infected women. Health care providers should:

Discuss reproductive options, actively assess women's pregnancy intentions on an ongoing basis
throughout the course of care, and, when appropriate, make referrals to experts in HIV and women's
health, including experts in reproductive endocrinology and infertility when necessary.^{18,19}

- Counsel on safe sexual practices (including condoms) that prevent HIV transmission to sexual partners, protect women from acquiring sexually transmitted diseases, and reduce the potential to acquire more virulent or resistant strains of HIV.
- Counsel on eliminating alcohol, illicit drug use, and cigarette smoking.
- Counsel women contemplating pregnancy to take a daily multivitamin that contains 400 mcg of folic acid to help prevent certain birth defects.
- Educate and counsel women about risk factors for perinatal transmission of HIV, strategies to reduce those risks, potential effects of HIV or of antiretroviral (ARV) drugs given during pregnancy on pregnancy course and outcomes, and the recommendation that HIV-infected women in the United States not breastfeed because of the risk of transmission of HIV to their infants and the availability of safe and sustainable infant feeding alternatives.
- When prescribing combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) to women of childbearing age, consider the regimen's effectiveness, an individual's hepatitis B status, the potential for teratogenicity, and possible adverse outcomes for mother and fetus. 20-22
- Use the preconception period in women who are contemplating pregnancy to adjust cART to exclude efavirenz or other drugs with teratogenic potential.
- Make a primary treatment goal for women who are on cART and who are planning a pregnancy to attain a sustained suppression of plasma viral load below the limit of detection prior to conception to decrease the risk of perinatal transmission and of HIV transmission to an uninfected partner.
- Evaluate and manage therapy-associated side effects such as hyperglycemia, anemia, and hepatotoxicity that may adversely impact maternal-fetal health outcomes.
- Evaluate the need for prophylaxis or treatment of opportunistic infections, considering the safety, tolerability, and potential toxicity of specific agents when used in pregnancy (see Pediatric OI Guidelines and Adult OI Guidelines).
- Administer influenza, pneumococcal, hepatitis A, hepatitis B, Tdap, and other vaccines as indicated (see http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/acip/committee/guidance/rec-vac-preg.html and 2013 IDSA Clinical Practice Guideline for Vaccination of the Immunocompromised Host).
- Encourage sexual partners to receive counseling and HIV testing and, if infected, to seek HIV care.
- Offer all women who do not desire pregnancy effective and appropriate contraceptive methods to reduce the likelihood of unintended pregnancy. HIV-infected women can use all available contraceptive methods, including hormonal contraception (e.g., pill, patch, ring, injection, implant) and intrauterine devices (IUDs).²³ Providers should be aware of potential interactions between ARV drugs and hormonal contraceptives that could lower contraceptive efficacy (see Table 3 below).
- Offer emergency contraception as appropriate, including emergency contraceptive pills and the copper IUD. Concerns about drug interactions between ARVs and emergency contraceptive pills containing estrogen and a progestin, or containing levonorgestrel only, may be similar to concerns when those formulations are used for regular contraception.²⁴ There are no data on potential interactions between ARVs and ulipristal acetate, a progesterone receptor modulator; however, ulipristal acetate is predominantly metabolized by CYP3A4, so interactions can be expected.

A World Health Organization expert group reviewed all available evidence regarding hormonal contraception and HIV transmission to an uninfected partner and recommended that women living with HIV can continue to use all existing hormonal contraceptive methods without restriction.²⁵ However, drug-drug interactions between hormonal contraceptives and cART should be taken into account (see Table 3).

and limited studies, ^{24,26-38} and the clinical implications have not been well studied. The magnitude of changes in contraceptive drug levels that may reduce contraceptive efficacy or increase contraceptive-associated adverse effects is unknown. In a study of 570 HIV-infected women in Swaziland using Jadelle implants, none of the women on nevirapine or ritonavir-boosted lopinavir-based regimens (n = 208 and 13, respectively) became pregnant, whereas 15 women on efavirenz (n = 121; 12.4%) became pregnant. Hormonal contraceptives can be used with cART in women without other contraindications. Additional or alternative methods of contraception may be recommended when drug interactions are known. For women using ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitors who are on combination hormonal contraceptives (e.g., pills, patches, rings) or progestin-only pills, use of an alternative or additional method of contraception is recommended. Implants generally can be used, but providers may also consider use of an alternative method or recommend the additional use of a reliable barrier method. Depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA) can be used without restriction because of its relatively higher dose and limited studies that have shown no significant interaction between DMPA and ARVs.^{27,29}

Because no high-quality, definitive studies exist on pregnancy rates among women on different hormonal contraceptives and ARVs, the dosing recommendations in Table 3 are based on consensus expert opinion. Whenever possible, the recommendations are based on available data regarding pharmacokinetic (PK) interactions between ARVs and combined hormonal methods, DMPA and etonogestrel implants. The lowest decreases in PK for which an alternative method was recommended was 14% in norethindrone (with ritonavir-boosted darunavir) and 19% in ethinyl estradiol (ritonavir-boosted atazanavir). For women using atazanavir without ritonavir boosting (ethinyl estradiol increase 48%, norethindrone increase 110%), the Panel recommends use of oral contraceptives containing \leq 30 μ g ethinyl estradiol. The Panel did not recommend any change in ethinyl estradiol dose for etravirine (ethinyl estradiol increase 22%), rilpivirine (ethinyl estradiol increase 14%), or indinavir (ethinyl estradiol increase 25%, norethindrone increase 26%). rilpivirine (ethinyl estradiol increase 14%), or indinavir (ethinyl estradiol increase 25%, norethindrone increase 26%).

All recommendations in the following table are based on consensus expert opinion.

Table 3. Drug Interactions Between Antiretroviral Agents and Hormonal Contraceptives (CIII). (page 1 of 3)

ARV Drug	Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for Combined Hormonal Methods and Progestin- Only Pills	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for DMPA ^a	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for Etonogestrel Implants
NNRTIs				
EFV	Oral Ethinyl Estradiol/ Norgestimate: • No effect on ethinyl estradiol concentrations • ↓ active metabolites of norgestimate (levonorgestrel AUC ↓ 83%; norelgestromin AUC ↓ 64%)	Use alternative or additional contraceptive method.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	Use alternative or additional contraceptive method.
	lmplant: • ↓ etonogestrel			
	Levonorgestrel (Emergency contraception) AUC ↓ 58%			

Table 3. Drug Interactions Between Antiretroviral Agents and Hormonal Contraceptives (CIII). (page 2 of 3)

ARV Drug	Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for Combined Hormonal Methods and Progestin- Only Pills	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for DMPA ^a	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for Etonogestrel Implants
NNRTIs,	continued			
ETR	Ethinyl estradiol AUC ↑ 22% Norethindrone: No significant effect	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
NVP	Ethinyl estradiol AUC ↓ 20% Norethindrone AUC ↓ 19% DMPA: • No significant change	Can consider an alternative method or a reliable method of barrier contraception in addition to this method.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	Can consider an alternative method or a reliable method of barrier contraception in addition to this method.
RPV	Ethinyl estradiol AUC ↑ 14% Norethindrone: No significant change	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
RTV-Boo	sted Pls			
ATV/r	Ethinyl estradiol AUC ↓ 19% Norgestimate AUC ↑ 85%	Use alternative or additional contraceptive method.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	Can consider an alternative method or a reliable method of barrier contraception in addition to this method.
DRV/r	Ethinyl estradiol AUC ↓ 44% Norethindrone AUC ↓ 14%	Use alternative or additional contraceptive method.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	Can consider an alternative method or a reliable method of barrier contraception in addition to this method.
FPV/r	Ethinyl estradiol AUC ↓ 37% Norethindrone AUC ↓ 34%	Use alternative or additional contraceptive method.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	Can consider an alternative method or a reliable method of barrier contraception in addition to this method.
LPV/r	Ethinyl estradiol AUC ↓ 42% Norethindrone AUC ↓ 17%	Use alternative or additional contraceptive method.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	Can consider an alternative method or a reliable method of barrier contraception in addition to this method.
SQV/r	↓ Ethinyl estradiol	Use alternative or additional contraceptive method.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	Can consider an alternative method or a reliable method of barrier contraception in addition to this method.
TPV/r	Ethinyl estradiol AUC ↓ 48% Norethindrone: No significant change	Use alternative or additional contraceptive method.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	Can consider an alternative method or a reliable method of barrier contraception in addition to this method.

Table 3. Drug Interactions Between Antiretroviral Agents and Hormonal Contraceptives (CIII). (page 3 of 3)

ARV Drug	Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for Combined Hormonal Methods and Progestin-Only Pills	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for DMPA ^a	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for Etonogestrel Implants		
Pls witl	Pls without RTV					
ATV	Ethinyl estradiol AUC ↑ 48% Norethindrone AUC ↑ 110%	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.		
		Oral contraceptive should contain ≤30 mcg of ethinyl estradiol or use alternative method.				
		Oral contraceptives containing <25 mcg ethinyl estradiol or progestins other than norethindrone or norgestimate have not been studied.				
FPV	Amprenavir: • ↑ Ethinyl estradiol	Use alternative contraceptive method.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	Use alternative or additional contraceptive method.		
	• ↑ Norethindrone	Use of fosamprenavir alone with				
	Fosamprenavir with Ethinyl Estradiol/Norethindrone:	ethinyl estradiol/norethindrone may lead to loss of virologic response.				
	• ↓ Amprenavir (AUC 22%, C _{min} 20%)					
IDV	Ethinyl estradiol AUC ↑ 25%	No additional contraceptive	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.		
	Norethindrone AUC ↑ 26%	protection is needed.				
NFV	Ethinyl estradiol AUC ↓ 47%	Use alternative or additional	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	Use alternative or additional contraceptive method.		
	Norethindrone AUC ↓ 18%	contraceptive method.				
CCR5 A	Antagonist					
MVC	No significant effect on ethinyl estradiol or levonorgestrel	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.		
Integra	se Inhibitor					
RAL	No significant effect	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.		
DTG	No significant effect on norgestimate or ethinyl estradiol	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.		
EVG/ COBI	Norgestimate AUC ↑ 226% Ethinyl estradiol AUC ↓ 75%	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.		

^a Because the hormonal levels achieved with DMPA are substantially higher than are required for contraception, any small reduction in hormonal level due to ARVs is unlikely to reduce contraceptive effectiveness.

Key to Acronyms: ARV = antiretroviral; ATV = atazanavir; ATV/r = ritonavir-boosted atazanavir; AUC = area under the curve; C_{min} = minimum plasma concentration; COBI = cobicistat; DMPA = depot medroxyprogesterone acetate; DRV/r = ritonavir-boosted darunavir; DTG = dolutegravir; EFV = efavirenz; ETR = etravirine; EVG = elvitegravir; FPV = fosamprenavir; FPV/r = ritonavir-boosted fosamprenavir; IDV = indinavir; LPV/r = ritonavir-boosted lopinavir; MVC = maraviroc; NFV = nelfinavir; NNRTI = non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NVP = nevirapine; PI = protease inhibitor; RAL = raltegravir; RPV = ritonavir-boosted tipranavir

Source: Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in HIV-1-infected adults and adolescents. Department of Health and Human Services. Available at http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lyguidelines/AdultandAdolescentGL.pdf. Tables 15a, 15b, and 15d. Accessed May 15, 2015.

References

- 1. American College of O, Gynecologists. ACOG Committee Opinion number 313, September 2005. The importance of preconception care in the continuum of women's health care. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2005;106(3):665-666. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16135611.
- 2. Johnson K, Posner SF, Biermann J, et al. Recommendations to improve preconception health and health care--United States. A report of the CDC/ATSDR Preconception Care Work Group and the Select Panel on Preconception Care. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* 2006;55(RR-6):1-23. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16617292.
- 3. Cohn SE, Umbleja T, Mrus J, Bardeguez AD, Andersen JW, Chesney MA. Prior illicit drug use and missed prenatal vitamins predict nonadherence to antiretroviral therapy in pregnancy: adherence analysis A5084. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2008;22(1):29-40. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18442305.
- 4. Elgalib A, Hegazi A, Samarawickrama A, et al. Pregnancy in HIV-infected teenagers in London. *HIV Med*. 2011;12(2):118-123. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20807252.
- 5. Kost K, Finer LB, Singh S. Variation in state unintended pregnancy rates in the United States. *Perspect Sex Reprod Health*. 2012;44(1):57-64. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22405153.
- 6. Sun M, Peipert JF, Zhao Q, et al. Trends in contraceptive use among women with human immunodeficiency virus. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2012;120(4):783-790. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22996095.
- 7. Sutton MY, Patel R, Frazier EL. Unplanned pregnancies among HIV-infected women in care-United States. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;65(3):350-358. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24189153.
- 8. Finer LB, Zolna MR. Shifts in intended and unintended pregnancies in the United States, 2001-2008. *Am J Pub Health*. 2014;104 Suppl 1:S43-48. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24354819.
- Finocchario-Kessler S, Dariotis JK, Sweat MD, et al. Do HIV-infected women want to discuss reproductive plans with providers, and are those conversations occurring? *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2010;24(5):317-323. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20482467.
- 10. Finocchario-Kessler S, Sweat MD, Dariotis JK, et al. Childbearing motivations, pregnancy desires, and perceived partner response to a pregnancy among urban female youth: does HIV-infection status make a difference? *AIDS Care*. 2012;24(1):1-11. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21777077.
- 11. Finger JL, Clum GA, Trent ME, Ellen JM, Adolescent Medicine Trials Network for HIVAI. Desire for pregnancy and risk behavior in young HIV-positive women. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2012;26(3):173-180. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22482121.
- 12. Rahangdale L, Stewart A, Stewart RD, et al. Pregnancy intentions among women living with HIV in the United States. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;65(3):306-311. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24525467.
- 13. Lampe MA. Human immunodeficiency virus-1 and preconception care. *Matern Child Health J.* 2006;10(5 Suppl):S193-195. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16832609.
- 14. Aaron EZ, Criniti SM. Preconception health care for HIV-infected women. *Top HIV Med.* 2007;15(4):137-141. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17721000.
- 15. Anderson J. Women and HIV: motherhood and more. *Curr Opin Infect Dis*. 2012;25(1):58-65. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22156896.
- 16. Jones D, Chakhtoura N, Cook R. Reproductive and maternal healthcare needs of HIV infected women. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep.* 2013;10(4):333-341. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23918674.
- 17. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, et al. Incorporating HIV prevention into the medical care of persons living with HIV: Recommendations of CDC, the Health Resources and Services Administration, the National Institutes of Health, and the HIV Medicine Association of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* 2003;52(RR-12):1-24. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12875251.
- 18. Gosselin JT, Sauer MV. Life after HIV: examination of HIV serodiscordant couples' desire to conceive through assisted reproduction. *AIDS Behav*. 2011;15(2):469-478. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20960049.
- 19. Finocchario-Kessler S, Sweat MD, Dariotis JK, et al. Understanding high fertility desires and intentions among a sample of urban women living with HIV in the United States. *AIDS Behav*. 2010;14(5):1106-1114. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19908135.
- 20. Cotter AM, Garcia AG, Duthely ML, Luke B, O'Sullivan MJ. Is antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy associated with an increased risk of preterm delivery, low birth weight, or stillbirth? *J Infect Dis.* 2006;193(9):1195-1201. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16586354.

- 21. Tuomala RE, Shapiro DE, Mofenson LM, et al. Antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy and the risk of an adverse outcome. *N Engl J Med*. 2002;346(24):1863-1870. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12063370.
- 22. Stek AM. Antiretroviral medications during pregnancy for therapy or prophylaxis. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep.* 2009;6(2):68-76. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19358777.
- 23. Centers for Disease C, Prevention. Update to CDC's U.S. Medical Eligibility Criteria for Contraceptive Use, 2010: revised recommendations for the use of hormonal contraception among women at high risk for HIV infection or infected with HIV. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2012;61(24):449-452. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22717514.
- 24. Carten ML, Kiser JJ, Kwara A, Mawhinney S, Cu-Uvin S. Pharmacokinetic interactions between the hormonal emergency contraception, levonorgestrel (Plan B), and Efavirenz. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol*. 2012;2012:137192. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22536010.
- 25. World Health Organization. Hormonal contraceptive methods for women at high risk of HIV and living with HIV: 2014 guidance statment. 2014. Available at http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/128537/1/WHO_RHR_14.24_eng.pdf?ua=1. Accessed May 15, 2015.
- 26. Vogler MA, Patterson K, Kamemoto L, et al. Contraceptive efficacy of oral and transdermal hormones when co-administered with protease inhibitors in HIV-1-infected women: pharmacokinetic results of ACTG trial A5188. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2010;55(4):473-482. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20842042.
- 27. Cohn SE, Park JG, Watts DH, et al. Depo-medroxyprogesterone in women on antiretroviral therapy: effective contraception and lack of clinically significant interactions. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2007;81(2):222-227. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17192768.
- 28. Hoyt MJ, Storm DS, Aaron E, Anderson J. Preconception and contraceptive care for women living with HIV. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol*. 2012;2012:604183. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23097595.
- Nanda K, Amaral E, Hays M, Viscola MA, Mehta N, Bahamondes L. Pharmacokinetic interactions between depot medroxyprogesterone acetate and combination antiretroviral therapy. *Fertil Steril*. 2008;90(4):965-971. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17880953.
- 30. Sevinsky H, Eley T, Persson A, et al. The effect of efavirenz on the pharmacokinetics of an oral contraceptive containing ethinyl estradiol and norgestimate in healthy HIV-negative women. *Antivir Ther*. 2011;16(2):149-156. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21447863.
- 31. Robinson JA, Jamshidi R, Burke AE. Contraception for the HIV-positive woman: a review of interactions between hormonal contraception and antiretroviral therapy. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol*. 2012;2012:890160. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22927715.
- 32. Tseng A, Hills-Nieminen C. Drug interactions between antiretrovirals and hormonal contraceptives. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol*. 2013;9(5):559-72. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23425052.
- 33. Landolt NK, Phanuphak N, Ubolyam S, et al. Efavirenz, in contrast to nevirapine, is associated with unfavorable progesterone and antiretroviral levels when co-administered with combined oral contraceptives. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;62(5):534-9. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23187949.
- 34. Atrio J, Stanczyk FZ, Neely M, Cherala G, Kovacs A, Mishell DR, Jr. Effect of protease inhibitors on steady-state pharmacokinetics of oral norethindrone contraception in HIV-infected women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;65(1):72-77. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24025339.
- 35. Crauwels HM, van Heeswijk RP, Buelens A, Stevens M, Hoetelmans RM. Lack of an effect of rilpivirine on the pharmacokinetics of ethinylestradiol and norethindrone in healthy volunteers. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2014;52(2):118-128. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24161160.
- 36. Landolt NK, Phanuphak N, Ubolyam S, et al. Significant Decrease of Ethinylestradiol With Nevirapine, and of Etonogestrel With Efavirenz in HIV-Positive Women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;66(2):e50-52. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24608892.
- 37. Perry SH, Swamy P, Preidis GA, Mwanyumba A, Motsa N, Sarero HN. Implementing the Jadelle implant for women living with HIV in a resource-limited setting in sub-Saharan Africa: concerns for drug interactions leading to unintended pregnancies. *AIDS*. 2014. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24401645.
- 38. Thurman AR, Anderson S, Doncel GF. Effects of hormonal contraception on antiretroviral drug metabolism, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics. *Am J Reprod Immunol*. 2014;71(6):523-530. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24521428.

Reproductive Options for HIV-Concordant and Serodiscordant Couples (Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Panel's Recommendations

For Couples Who Want to Conceive

For Concordant (Both Partners are HIV-Infected) and Discordant Couples:

- Expert consultation is recommended so that approaches can be tailored to couples' specific needs (AIII).
- Partners should be screened and treated for genital tract infections before attempting to conceive (AII).
- The HIV-infected partner(s) should attain maximum viral suppression before attempting conception (AIII).

For Discordant Couples:

- The HIV-infected partner should be receiving combination antiretroviral therapy and demonstrate sustained suppression of plasma viral load below the limits of detection (AI).
- Periconception administration of antiretroviral pre-exposure prophylaxis for HIV-uninfected partners may offer an additional tool to reduce the risk of sexual transmission (CIII). The utility of pre-exposure prophylaxis for the uninfected partner when the infected partner is receiving combination antiretroviral therapy with maximal viral suppression has not been studied.

Discordant Couples with HIV-Infected Women:

 The safest conception option is artificial insemination, including the option of self-insemination with a partner's sperm during the periovulatory period (AIII).

Discordant Couples with HIV-Infected Men:

- The use of donor sperm from an HIV-uninfected man with artificial insemination is the safest option (AIII).
- When the use of donor sperm is unacceptable, the use of sperm preparation techniques coupled with either intrauterine insemination or in vitro fertilization should be considered (All).
- Semen analysis is recommended for HIV-infected men before conception is attempted to prevent unnecessary exposure to infectious genital fluid when the likelihood of conception is low because of semen abnormalities (AIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong: B = Moderate: C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

For couples in which one or both partners are HIV-infected, optimal health should be attained before attempting conception; infected partners should be receiving combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) and demonstrate sustained suppression of plasma viral load below the limits of detection.

For concordant or serodiscordant couples who want to conceive, expert consultation is recommended so that approaches can be tailored to specific needs, which may vary from couple to couple.

Before attempting to conceive, both partners should be screened for genital tract infections. Treatment of such infections is important because genital tract inflammation is associated with genital tract shedding of HIV.¹⁻⁵

Serodiscordant Couples

Before conception is attempted, the HIV-infected partner should be receiving cART and demonstrate sustained suppression of plasma viral load below the limits of detection. Observational studies have demonstrated a decreased rate of transmission of HIV in heterosexual serodiscordant couples among whom the index partners were on cART compared with those not on therapy. HPTN 052 was a randomized clinical trial designed to evaluate whether immediate versus delayed initiation of cART by HIV-infected individuals with CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts of 350 to 550 cells/mm³ could prevent sexual transmission of HIV among serodiscordant couples. Most of the participants were from Africa (54%), with 30% from Asia and 16% from North and South America. This study showed that earlier initiation of cART led to a 96% reduction in transmission of HIV to the uninfected partner. Of 28 cases of HIV infection

documented to be genetically linked to the infected partner, 27 occurred in the 877 couples in which the HIV-infected partner delayed initiation of cART until the CD4 cell count fell below 250 cells/mm³, whereas only one case of HIV infection occurred in the 886 couples with an HIV-infected partner who began immediate cART; 17 of the 27 transmissions in the delayed-therapy group occurred in individuals with CD4 cell counts >350 cells/mm³. The majority of transmissions (82%) were observed in participants from Africa. Thus this randomized trial clearly demonstrated that provision of treatment to infected individuals can reduce the risk of transmission to their uninfected sexual partners.

Use of cART reduces but may not completely eliminate the risk of HIV sexual transmission in couples who have decided to conceive through unprotected intercourse.¹⁰ It is important to recognize that no single method (including treatment of the infected partner) is fully protective against transmission of HIV. Effective cART that decreases plasma viral load to undetectable levels is also associated with decreased concentration of virus in genital secretions. In a prospective study of 2,521 African HIV-infected serodiscordant couples, higher genital HIV RNA concentrations were associated with greater risk of heterosexual HIV-1 transmission and this effect was independent of plasma HIV concentrations.¹¹ Each log₁₀ increase in genital HIV-1 RNA levels increased the risk of female-to-male or male-to-female HIV transmission by 1.7-fold.¹¹ Discordance between plasma and genital viral loads has been reported, and individuals with an undetectable plasma viral load may have detectable genital tract virus.¹²⁻¹⁴ In addition, antiretroviral (ARV) drugs vary in their ability to penetrate the genital tract.¹⁵

Starting cART before conception in HIV-infected women may also reduce the risk of perinatal transmission. Data suggest that early and sustained control of HIV viral replication may be associated with decreasing residual risk of perinatal transmission, ^{16,17} but not complete elimination of the risk of perinatal transmission. ¹⁷ In addition, reports are mixed on the possible effects of cART on prematurity and low birthweight, with some but not all data suggesting that such outcomes may be more frequent in women on ARV drugs at conception. ¹⁸⁻²⁰

The implications of initiating therapy before conception solely for prevention of sexual and/or perinatal transmission should be discussed with the couple. These issues include the potential risks versus benefits of stopping or continuing the regimen after conception in the man or postpartum in the woman, and the need for strict adherence to achieve a plasma viral load below the limits of detection. Consultation with an expert in HIV care is strongly recommended.

For HIV-discordant couples in which the woman is the HIV-infected partner, the safest form of conception is artificial insemination, including the option to self-inseminate with the partner's sperm during the periovulatory period. Condom use should be advised at all times.

For HIV-discordant couples in which the man is the HIV-infected partner, the use of donor sperm from an HIV-uninfected man with artificial insemination is the safest option. When the use of donor sperm is unacceptable, the use of sperm preparation techniques coupled with either intrauterine insemination or *in vitro* fertilization with intracytoplasmic sperm injection has been reported to be effective in avoiding seroconversion in uninfected women and offspring in several studies. Sperm preparation should utilize optimal methods that can detect the presence of HIV. Couples should also consider the cost and other possible complications of *in vitro* fertilization. More data are needed to demonstrate the complete efficacy of these techniques, and couples should be cautioned that there may be a small risk of transmission of HIV to the uninfected partner and to their offspring. Semen analysis is recommended for HIV-infected men before conception is attempted because HIV, and possibly cART, may be associated with a higher prevalence of semen abnormalities such as low sperm count, low motility, higher rate of abnormal forms, and low semen volume. If such abnormalities are present, the uninfected female partner may be exposed unnecessarily and for prolonged periods to her partner's infectious genital fluids when the likelihood of conceiving naturally is low or nonexistent.

Discordant couples who do not have access to these reproduction services (i.e., artificial insemination, sperm preparation, *in vitro* fertilization) and who still want to try to conceive after comprehensive counseling

should be advised that timed, periovulatory unprotected intercourse after the infected partner has achieved plasma viral load below the limits of detection (with use of condoms at all other times) may reduce but not completely eliminate the risk of sexual transmission.²² HIV-uninfected women who become pregnant should be regularly counseled regarding consistent condom use to decrease their risk of sexual transmission of HIV and the possible risk of perinatal transmission (see Monitoring of HIV Uninfected Pregnant Women with a Partner Known to be HIV Infected).

Periconception pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) may offer an additional option to minimize risk of transmission of HIV within discordant couples. PrEP is use of ARV medications by an HIV-uninfected individual to maintain blood and genital drug levels sufficient to prevent acquisition of HIV. Many studies have demonstrated that PrEP reduces the risk of HIV acquisition in both men and women, with minimal risk of incident ARV resistance. Other trials failed to demonstrate PrEP efficacy, likely related to suboptimal levels of adherence. 9,28-33 Table 4 summarizes clinical trials of PrEP.34

Table 4. Clinical Trials of Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis

Trial	Study Population	Location	Intervention	Outcome	Comments
TDF2	1,219 sexually active adults; 55% male, 45% female; 94 % unmarried; approximately 90% aged 21–29	Botswana	Daily oral TDF/FTC	63% protection	>30% did not complete study; cannot draw definitive conclusions for women and men separately.
PIP	4,758 heterosexual serodiscordant couples; 38% HIV-negative female, 68% HIV-negative male partner; 98% married; median age 33	Botswana, Kenya, Rwanda, South Africa, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia	Daily oral TDF or TDF/FTC	67% protection with TDF alone; 75% protection with TDF/FTC	Discordant couples may be a distinct, unique population.
FEM-PrEP	1,951 heterosexual women aged 18–35 at high risk of infection	Kenya, South Africa, Tanzania	Daily oral TDF/FTC	Trial discontinued for futility in April 2011.	Adherence assessment with monthly clinical samples to measure drug concentration is pending.
VOICE MTN-003	5,029 heterosexual women aged 18–45 in high- prevalence areas	Uganda, South Africa, Zimbabwe	Daily oral TDF or daily oral TDF/FTC or daily topical TFV gel	No study drug significantly reduced the risk of HIV acquisition. HIV incidence was 5.7 per 100 person years; effectiveness was -48.8% for TDF, -4.2% for TDF/FTC, and 14.7% for TDF gel.	Adherence to study drugs was low; TFV was detected in 30% of the oral TDF arm, 29% in the oral TDF/FTC arm, and 25% in the TDF gel arm.
HPTN 052	1,763 heterosexual serodiscordant couples; 50% HIV-negative female, 50% HIV-negative male partner; 94% married; 61% aged 26–40 years	Botswana, Kenya, Malawi, South Africa, Zimbabwe, Brazil, India, Thailand	Immediate or delayed cART in HIV-infected partner	96% protection on immediate cART	Suppression of viraemia on therapy assured by routine monitoring.

Key to Acronyms: cART = combination antiretroviral therapy; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; TFV = tenofovir; FTC = emtricitabine

Source: Adapted from: Kashuba et al., Pre-exposure prophylaxis for HIV prevention: how to predict success: Table Antiretroviral-based HIV prevention studies. *Lancet* 2012;379(9835): 2409-2411.

PPrEP may offer an additional strategy for safer conception. Couples should be advised to use condoms at all times except during periovulatory intercourse. Several studies evaluating the efficacy of PrEP in heterosexual discordant couples planning pregnancy are ongoing but complete data are not yet available. One study evaluated timed intercourse with PrEP in 46 heterosexual HIV-discordant couples with an HIV-uninfected female partner. The male HIV-infected partners were receiving cART and had undetectable plasma HIV RNA levels. One dose of oral tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (tenofovir) was taken by the women at luteinizing hormone peak and a second oral dose was taken 24 hours later. None of the women became HIV infected and pregnancy rates were high, reaching a plateau of 75% after 12 attempts.³⁵

Only daily dosing of combination tenofovir and emtricitabine is currently Food and Drug Administrationapproved for use as PrEP. Adherence is critical. The use of continued PrEP is recommended for anyone who is at ongoing risk of HIV acquisition.

Pregnancy and breastfeeding are not contraindications to PrEP.³⁶⁻⁴⁰ Currently, there is no reported increase in congenital anomalies among children born to women exposed to tenofovir (2.3%) or to emtricitabine (2.4%) during the first trimester.⁴¹ Data from studies of infants born to HIV infected mothers and exposed to tenofovir through breast milk suggest limited drug exposure.⁴²⁻⁴⁴ Condom use should be encouraged in pregnancy because several studies have reported increased incidence of HIV acquisition during pregnancy, which may also lead to increased perinatal transmission.

The utility of daily oral PrEP when the HIV-infected partner is receiving cART has not been studied. If clinicians elect to use PrEP for HIV-uninfected women or men in serodiscordant couples, the couples should be educated about the potential risks and benefits and all available alternatives for safer conception. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) recommends that an HIV-uninfected partner planning pregnancy with an HIV-infected partner start daily oral tenofovir plus emtricitabine beginning 1 month before conception is attempted and continued for 1 month after conception is attempted. 45 Recommended laboratory testing should include HIV diagnostic testing at baseline then every 3 months, renal function testing at baseline and then every 6 months, and pregnancy testing at baseline and every 3 months. Testing for hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection, should be performed when initiating PrEP. HBV -uninfected individuals should be vaccinated if they have not received HBV vaccination or they lack immunity to HBV. Individuals receiving PrEP should be educated about symptoms associated with acute HIV infection and advised to contact their providers immediately for further evaluation, should symptoms occur. HIVuninfected partners should undergo frequent HIV testing to detect HIV infection quickly. If HIV infection is documented, the PrEP ARV agents should be discontinued to minimize selection of drug-resistant virus, measures should be instituted to prevent perinatal transmission if pregnancy has occurred and attempts at conception stopped if pregnancy has not occurred, and the patient should be referred to an HIV specialist immediately. Individuals with chronic HBV should be monitored for possible hepatitis flares when PrEP is stopped. 46 Clinicians are strongly encouraged to register HIV-uninfected women who become pregnant while receiving PrEP with the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry.

Concordant Couples

Both partners should be on cART with maximum viral suppression before attempting conception. Periovulatory unprotected intercourse (with use of condoms at all other times) is a reasonable option. The risk of HIV superinfection or infection with a resistant virus is negligible when both partners are on cART and have fully suppressed plasma viral loads.⁴⁷

The National Perinatal HIV Hotline (1-888-448-8765) is a resource for a list of institutions offering reproductive services for HIV concordant/serodiscordant couples.

The CDC has issued guidelines for the use of PrEP in sexually active heterosexual adults. 45

Monitoring of HIV-Uninfected Pregnant Women with Partners Known to Be HIV-Infected

HIV-uninfected women who present during pregnancy and indicate that their partners are HIV-infected, like all pregnant women, should be notified that HIV screening is recommended and they will receive an HIV test as part of the routine panel of prenatal tests unless they decline. These women also should receive a second HIV test during the third trimester, preferably before 36 weeks' gestation, as is recommended for high-risk women. Furthermore, pregnant women who present in labor without results of third-trimester testing should be screened on the labor and delivery unit with an expedited serum HIV test, preferably a fourth-generation antigen/antibody expedited HIV test. If at any time during pregnancy a clinician suspects that a pregnant woman may be in the "window" period of seroconversion (i.e., she has signs or symptoms consistent with acute HIV infection), then a plasma HIV RNA test should be used in conjunction with an HIV antigen/antibody fourth-generation test. If the plasma HIV RNA is negative, it should be repeated in 2 weeks. HIV-uninfected pregnant women with HIV-infected partners should always use condoms during sexual intercourse to prevent acquisition of HIV. Women should be counseled regarding the symptoms of acute retroviral syndrome (i.e., fever, pharyngitis, rash, myalgia, arthralgia, diarrhea, and headache) and the importance of seeking medical care and testing if they experience such symptoms.

Pregnancy and breastfeeding are not contraindications to PrEP, and PrEP should be considered in HIV-seronegative pregnant women who are at ongoing risk of HIV acquisition. However, the use of daily oral PrEP during pregnancy and lactation has not been well studied (see section on Serodiscordant Couples).

Women who test HIV seropositive on either conventional or rapid HIV tests should receive appropriate evaluation and interventions to reduce perinatal transmission of HIV, including immediate initiation of appropriate cART and consideration of elective cesarean delivery according to established guidelines (see Transmission and Mode of Delivery). In cases where confirmatory test results are not readily available, such as with rapid testing during labor, it is still appropriate to initiate interventions to reduce perinatal transmission (see Infant Antiretroviral Prophylaxis).

Women with HIV-infected partners who test HIV seronegative should continue to be regularly counseled regarding consistent condom use to decrease their risk of sexual transmission of HIV. Women with primary HIV infection during pregnancy or lactation are at high risk of transmitting HIV to their infants.^{48,49}

References

- 1. Mitchell C, Hitti J, Paul K, et al. Cervicovaginal shedding of HIV type 1 is related to genital tract inflammation independent of changes in vaginal microbiota. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 2011;27(1):35-39. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20929397.
- 2. Johnson LF, Lewis DA. The effect of genital tract infections on HIV-1 shedding in the genital tract: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2008;35(11):946-959. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18685546.
- 3. Anderson BL, Firnhaber C, Liu T, et al. Effect of trichomoniasis therapy on genital HIV viral burden among African women. *Sex Transm Dis.* 2012;39(8):638-642. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22797689.
- 4. Blish CA, McClelland RS, Richardson BA, et al. Genital Inflammation Predicts HIV-1 Shedding Independent of Plasma Viral Load and Systemic Inflammation. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2012;61(4):436-440. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22878424.
- 5. Homans J, Christensen S, Stiller T, et al. Permissive and protective factors associated with presence, level, and longitudinal pattern of cervicovaginal HIV shedding. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2012;60(1):99-110. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22517416.
- 6. Donnell D, Baeten JM, Kiarie J, et al. Heterosexual HIV-1 transmission after initiation of antiretroviral therapy: a prospective cohort analysis. *Lancet*. 2010;375(9731):2092-2098. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20537376.
- 7. Del Romero J, Castilla J, Hernando V, Rodriguez C, Garcia S. Combined antiretroviral treatment and heterosexual transmission of HIV-1: cross sectional and prospective cohort study. *BMJ*. 2010;340:c2205. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20472675.
- 8. Lu W, Zeng G, Luo J, et al. HIV transmission risk among serodiscordant couples: a retrospective study of former plasma

- donors in Henan, China. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2010;55(2):232-238. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21423851.
- 9. Cohen MS, Chen YQ, McCauley M, et al. Prevention of HIV-1 infection with early antiretroviral therapy. *N Engl J Med.* 2011;365(6):493-505. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21767103.
- 10. Loutfy MR, Blitz S, Zhang Y, et al. Self-Reported Preconception Care of HIV-Positive Women of Reproductive Potential: A Retrospective Study. *J Int Assoc Provid AIDS Care*. 2013. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23918921.
- 11. Baeten JM, Kahle E, Lingappa JR, et al. Genital HIV-1 RNA predicts risk of heterosexual HIV-1 transmission. *Sci Transl Med*. 2011;3(77):77ra29. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21471433.
- 12. Cu-Uvin S, DeLong AK, Venkatesh KK, et al. Genital tract HIV-1 RNA shedding among women with below detectable plasma viral load. *AIDS*. 2010;24(16):2489-2497. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20736815.
- 13. Sheth PM, Kovacs C, Kemal KS, et al. Persistent HIV RNA shedding in semen despite effective antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS*. 2009;23(15):2050-2054. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19710596.
- 14. Politch JA, Mayer KH, Welles SL, et al. Highly active antiretroviral therapy does not completely suppress HIV in semen of sexually active HIV-infected men who have sex with men. *AIDS*. 2012. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22441253.
- 15. Taylor S, Davies S. Antiretroviral drug concentrations in the male and female genital tract: implications for the sexual transmission of HIV. *Curr Opin HIV AIDS*. 2010;5(4):335-343. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20543610.
- 16. Townsend CL, Cortina-Borja M, Peckham CS, de Ruiter A, Lyall H, Tookey PA. Low rates of mother-to-child transmission of HIV following effective pregnancy interventions in the United Kingdom and Ireland, 2000-2006. *AIDS*. 2008;22(8):973-981. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18453857.
- 17. Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, Rouzioux C, et al. Factors associated with mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 despite a maternal viral load <500 copies/ml at delivery: a case-control study nested in the French perinatal cohort (EPF-ANRS CO1). *Clin Infect Dis.* 2010;50(4):585-596. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20070234.
- 18. Kourtis AP, Schmid CH, Jamieson DJ, Lau J. Use of antiretroviral therapy in pregnant HIV-infected women and the risk of premature delivery: a meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2007;21(5):607-615. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17314523.
- 19. Rudin C, Spaenhauer A, Keiser O, et al. Antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy and premature birth: analysis of Swiss data. *HIV Med.* 2011;12(4):228-235. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20726902.
- 20. Jao J, Abrams EJ. Metabolic Complications of in utero Maternal HIV and Antiretroviral Exposure in HIV-exposed Infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2014;33(7):734-740. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24378947.
- 21. Aberg JA, Kaplan JE, Libman H, et al. Primary care guidelines for the management of persons infected with human immunodeficiency virus: 2009 update by the HIV medicine Association of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2009;49(5):651-681. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19640227.
- 22. Ethics Committee of the American Society for Reproductive M. Human immunodeficiency virus and infertility treatment. *Fertil Steril*. 2010;94(1):11-15. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20236636.
- 23. Semprini AE, Macaluso M, Hollander L, et al. Safe Conception For HIV-Discordant Couples: Insemination With Processed Semen From The HIV-Infected Partner. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2013. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23395921.
- 24. Garrido N, Meseguer M, Remohi J, Simon C, Pellicer A. Semen characteristics in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)- and hepatitis C (HCV)-seropositive males: predictors of the success of viral removal after sperm washing. *Hum Reprod.* 2005;20(4):1028-1034. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15608027.
- 25. Dulioust E, Du AL, Costagliola D, et al. Semen alterations in HIV-1 infected men. *Hum Reprod.* 2002;17(8):2112-2118. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12151446.
- 26. Cardona-Maya W, Velilla P, Montoya CJ, Cadavid A, Rugeles MT. Presence of HIV-1 DNA in spermatozoa from HIV-positive patients: changes in the semen parameters. *Curr HIV Res*. 2009;7(4):418-424. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19601777.
- 27. Bujan L, Sergerie M, Moinard N, et al. Decreased semen volume and spermatozoa motility in HIV-1-infected patients under antiretroviral treatment. *J Androl*. 2007;28(3):444-452. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17215546.
- 28. Abdool Karim Q, Abdool Karim SS, Frohlich JA, et al. Effectiveness and safety of tenofovir gel, an antiretroviral microbicide, for the prevention of HIV infection in women. *Science*. 2010;329(5996):1168-1174. Available at

- http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20643915.
- 29. Grant RM, Lama JR, Anderson PL, et al. Preexposure chemoprophylaxis for HIV prevention in men who have sex with men. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;363(27):2587-2599. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21091279.
- 30. Thigpen MC, Kebaabetswe PM, Paxton LA, et al. Antiretroviral Preexposure Prophylaxis for Heterosexual HIV Transmission in Botswana. *N Engl J Med*. 2012. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22784038.
- 31. Aaron E, Cohan D. Preexposure prophylaxis for the prevention of HIV transmission to women. *AIDS*. 2013;27(1):F1-5. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22914582.
- 32. Baeten J, Celum C. Oral antiretroviral chemoprophylaxis: current status. *Curr Opin HIV AIDS*. 2012;7(6):514-519. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22964886.
- 33. Marrazo J, Ramjee G, al e. Pre-exposure prophylaxis for HIV in women: daily oral tenofovir, oral tenofovir/emtricitabine, or vaginal tenofovir gel in the VOICE study (MTN 003), abstract 26LB. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2013. Atlanta, GA.
- 34. Kashuba AD, Patterson KB, Dumond JB, Cohen MS. Pre-exposure prophylaxis for HIV prevention: how to predict success. *Lancet*. 2012;379(9835):2409-2411. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22153566.
- 35. Vernazza PL, Graf I, Sonnenberg-Schwan U, Geit M, Meurer A. Preexposure prophylaxis and timed intercourse for HIV-discordant couples willing to conceive a child. *AIDS*. 2011;25(16):2005-2008. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21716070.
- 36. Gray RH, Li X, Kigozi G, et al. Increased risk of incident HIV during pregnancy in Rakai, Uganda: a prospective study. *Lancet*. 2005;366(9492):1182-1188. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16198767.
- 37. Morrison CS, Wang J, Van Der Pol B, Padian N, Salata RA, Richardson BA. Pregnancy and the risk of HIV-1 acquisition among women in Uganda and Zimbabwe. *AIDS*. 2007;21(8):1027-1034. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17457097.
- 38. Moodley D, Esterhuizen TM, Pather T, Chetty V, Ngaleka L. High HIV incidence during pregnancy: compelling reason for repeat HIV testing. *AIDS*. 2009;23(10):1255-1259. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19455017.
- 39. Moodley D, Esterhuizen T, Reddy L, et al. Incident HIV infection in pregnant and lactating women and its effect on mother-to-child transmission in South Africa. *J Infect Dis*. 2011;203(9):1231-1234. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21398393.
- 40. Taha TE, James MM, Hoover DR, et al. Association of recent HIV infection and in-utero HIV-1 transmission. *AIDS*. 2011;25(11):1357-1364. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21572305.
- 41. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 Jan 1989–31 July 2014. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2014. Available at http://www.APRegistry.com.
- 42. Johnson LF, Stinson K, Newell ML, et al. The contribution of maternal HIV seroconversion during late pregnancy and breastfeeding to mother-to-child transmission of HIV. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2012;59(4):417-425. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22193774.
- 43. Benaboud S, Pruvost A, Coffie PA, et al. Concentrations of tenofovir and emtricitabine in breast milk of HIV-1-infected women in Abidjan, Cote d'Ivoire, in the ANRS 12109 TEmAA Study, Step 2. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2011;55(3):1315-1317. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21173182.
- 44. Mirochnick M, Best BM, Clarke DF. Antiretroviral pharmacology: special issues regarding pregnant women and neonates. *Clinics in Perinatology*. 2010;37(4):907-927, xi. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21078458.
- 45. CDC. Preexposure Prophylaxis for the Prevention of HIV in the United States. 2014. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/guidelines/PrEPguidelines2014.pdf.
- 46. Lampe MA, Smith DK, Anderson GJ, Edwards AE, Nesheim SR. Achieving safe conception in HIV-discordant couples: the potential role of oral preexposure prophylaxis (PrEP) in the United States. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2011;204(6):488 e481-488. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21457911.
- 47. Waters L, Smit E. HIV-1 superinfection. *Curr Opin Infect Dis*. 2012;25(1):42-50. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22156898.
- 48. Marinda ET, Moulton LH, Humphrey JH, et al. In utero and intra-partum HIV-1 transmission and acute HIV-1 infection during pregnancy: using the BED capture enzyme-immunoassay as a surrogate marker for acute infection. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2011;40(4):945-954. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21471020.
- 49. Humphrey JH, Marinda E, Mutasa K, et al. Mother to child transmission of HIV among Zimbabwean women who seroconverted postnatally: prospective cohort study. *BMJ*. 2010;341:c6580. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21177735.

Antepartum Care (Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

General Principles Regarding Use of Antiretroviral Drugs during Pregnancy

Panel's Recommendations

- Initial evaluation of HIV-infected pregnant women should include assessment of HIV disease status and recommendations regarding
 initiation of combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) or the need for any modification if currently receiving cART (AIII). The National
 Perinatal HIV Hotline (888-448-8765) provides free clinical consultation on all aspects of perinatal HIV care.
- All pregnant HIV-infected women should receive cART to prevent perinatal transmission regardless of plasma HIV RNA copy number
 or CD4 T lymphocyte count (AI). The goal of cART is to maintain a viral load below the limit of detection throughout pregnancy.
- Combined antepartum, intrapartum, and infant antiretroviral prophylaxis is recommended because antiretroviral drugs reduce
 perinatal transmission by several mechanisms, including lowering maternal antepartum viral load and providing infant pre- and postexposure prophylaxis (AI).
- The known benefits and potential risks of all medication use, including antiretroviral use, during pregnancy should be discussed with all HIV-infected women (AIII).
- The importance of adherence to antiretroviral regimens should be emphasized in patient counseling (All).
- Antiretroviral drug-resistance studies should be performed before starting or modifying ARV drug regimens in women whose HIV RNA levels are above the threshold for resistance testing (i.e., >500 to 1,000 copies/mL) (see <u>Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy</u>) (AIII). In pregnant women not already receiving cART, consideration should be given to initiating cART before results of drug-resistance testing are available because earlier viral suppression has been associated with lower risk of transmission. If cART is initiated before results are available, the regimen should be modified, if necessary, based on resistance assay results (BIII).
- Coordination of services among prenatal care providers, primary care and HIV specialty care providers, and when appropriate, mental health and drug abuse treatment services, and public assistance programs, is essential to ensure that infected women adhere to their antiretroviral drug regimens (AIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes: III = Expert opinion

In addition to the standard antenatal assessments for all pregnant women, the initial evaluation of those who are HIV infected should include assessment of HIV disease status and recommendations for HIV-related medical care. This initial assessment should include the following:

- Review of prior HIV-related illnesses and past CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts and plasma HIV RNA levels:
- Current CD4 cell count:
- Current plasma HIV RNA level;
- Assessment of the need for prophylaxis against opportunistic infections such as *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia and *Mycobacterium avium* complex (see <u>Adult and Adolescent Opportunistic Infections</u> Guidelines):
- Screening for hepatitis A virus (HAV), hepatitis C virus and tuberculosis in addition to standard screening for hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection;
- Assessment of the need for immunizations per guidelines from the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), and the Infectious Diseases Society of America with particular attention to HAV, HBV, influenza, pneumococcus, and Tdap immunizations;^{1,2}
- Complete blood cell count and renal and liver function testing;

- HLA-B*5701 testing if abacavir use is anticipated (see <u>Table 7</u>);
- History of prior and current antiretroviral (ARV) drug use, including prior ARV use for prevention of perinatal transmission or treatment of HIV and history of adherence problems;
- Results of prior and current HIV ARV drug-resistance studies;
- History of adverse effects or toxicities from prior ARV regimens; and
- Assessment of supportive care needs such as mental health services, substance abuse treatment, and smoking cessation.

The National Perinatal HIV Hotline

The National Perinatal HIV Hotline (888-448-8765) is a federally funded service providing free clinical consultation to providers caring for HIV-infected women and their infants.

Mechanism of Action of Antiretrovirals in Prevention of Perinatal Transmission

ARV drugs for prevention of perinatal transmission of HIV are recommended for all pregnant women, regardless of CD4 cell counts and HIV RNA levels. ARV drugs can reduce perinatal transmission through a number of mechanisms. Antenatal drug administration decreases maternal viral load in blood and genital secretions. Although the risk of perinatal transmission in women with undetectable plasma HIV RNA levels appears to be extremely low, it has been reported even among women on combination antiretroviral therapy (cART).³⁻⁵ Low-level cervicovaginal HIV RNA and DNA shedding has been detected even in women treated with cART who have undetectable plasma viral load.⁶⁻⁸ Penetration of ARV drugs into the female genital tract has been shown to vary between drugs. 9-11 Another mechanism of protection is infant pre-exposure prophylaxis achieved by administering ARV drugs that cross the placenta and produce adequate systemic drug levels in the fetus. Infant post-exposure prophylaxis is achieved by administering drugs after birth. providing protection from cell-free or cell-associated virus that may have entered the fetal/infant systemic circulation during labor and delivery. The importance of the pre- and post-exposure components of prophylaxis in reducing perinatal transmission is demonstrated by the reduced efficacy of interventions that involve administration of ARVs only during labor and/or to the newborns. 12-18 Therefore, combined antepartum ARV prophylaxis, intrapartum continuation of current regimen with intravenous zidovudine added if the plasma viral load is >1,000 copies/mL, and infant ARV prophylaxis are recommended to prevent perinatal transmission of HIV.

General Principles of Drug Selection

In general, guidelines for the use of cART for the benefit of maternal health during pregnancy are the same as for women who are not pregnant, with some modifications based on concerns about specific drugs and limited experience during pregnancy with newer drugs.

The known benefits and known and unknown risks of ARV drug use during pregnancy should be considered and discussed with women (see <u>Table 7</u> and <u>Supplement: Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy</u>). Potential risks of these drugs should be placed into perspective by reviewing the substantial benefits of ARV drugs for maternal health and in reducing the risk of transmission of HIV to infants. Counseling of pregnant women about ARV use should be <u>directive but</u> non-coercive, and providers should help them make informed decisions regarding use of ARV drugs.

Discussions with women about initiation of cART drug regimens should include information about:

- Maternal risk of disease progression and the benefits and risks of initiation of therapy for maternal health;
- Benefit of cART for preventing perinatal transmission of HIV;⁴
- Benefits of therapy for reducing sexual transmission to discordant partners when viral suppression is maintained;¹⁹

- The need for strict adherence to the prescribed drug regimen to avoid resistance;
- Potential adverse effects of ARV drugs for mothers, fetuses, and infants, including potential interactions with other medications the women may already be receiving;
- The limited long-term outcome data for women with higher CD4 cell counts who choose to stop cART after delivery rather than continuing therapy; and
- The limited long-term outcome data for infants after *in utero* drug exposure.

Transplacental passage of ARVs is an important mechanism of infant pre-exposure prophylaxis. Thus, when selecting an ARV regimen for a pregnant woman, at least one nucleoside/nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitor agent with high placental transfer should be included as a component of the cART regimen (see Table 7). 20-23

In women with plasma HIV RNA levels above the threshold for resistance testing (i.e., >500 to 1,000 copies/mL), ARV drug-resistance studies should be performed before starting cART. As with non-pregnant, HIV-infected adults, cART may be initiated before genotype results are available under certain circumstances. Starting cART pending genotype results is particularly relevant after the first trimester because taking cART for 24 weeks or more has been associated with reduced transmission rates compared to a shorter duration of cART. If cART is initiated before results are available the regimen should be modified, if necessary, based on resistance assay²⁴ (see <u>Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy</u>). Counseling should emphasize the importance of adherence to the ARV drug regimen to minimize the development of resistance.

Support services, mental health services, smoking cessation, and drug abuse treatment may be required, depending on a woman's individual circumstances. Coordination of services among prenatal care providers, primary care and HIV specialty care providers, mental health and drug abuse treatment services, and public assistance programs is essential to ensure that infected women adhere to their ARV drug regimens.

All HIV-infected pregnant women should be started on cART during pregnancy to minimize the risk of transmission. Providers should work with women to develop long-range plans regarding continuity of medical care. Considerations regarding postpartum continuation of cART for maternal therapeutic indications are the same as for non-pregnant individuals.

Medical care of HIV-infected pregnant women requires coordination and communication between HIV specialists and obstetric providers. General counseling should include current knowledge about risk factors for perinatal transmission. Risk of perinatal transmission of HIV has been associated with potentially modifiable factors, including cigarette smoking, illicit drug use, genital tract infections, and unprotected sexual intercourse with multiple partners during pregnancy. Besides improving maternal health, cessation of cigarette smoking and drug use, treatment of genital tract infections, and use of condoms with sexual intercourse during pregnancy may reduce risk of perinatal transmission. In addition, the CDC and American Academy of Pediatrics recommend that HIV-infected women in the United States (including those receiving cART) refrain from breastfeeding to avoid postnatal transmission of HIV to their infants through breast milk, 30,31 and avoid pre-mastication of food for their infants, a potential risk factor for transmission. 32

References

- 1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Guidelines for vaccinating pregnant women. 2007. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/pubs/downloads/b preg guide.pdf. Accessed June 26, 2015.
- 2. Rubin LG, Levin MJ, Ljungman P, et al. 2013 IDSA clinical practice guideline for vaccination of the immunocompromised host. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2014;58(3):e44-100. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24311479.
- 3. Warszawski J, Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, et al. Mother-to-child HIV transmission despite antiretroviral therapy in the ANRS French Perinatal Cohort. *AIDS*. 2008;22(2):289-299. Available at

- http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18097232.
- 4. Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, Rouzioux C, et al. Factors associated with mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 despite a maternal viral load <500 copies/ml at delivery: a case-control study nested in the French perinatal cohort (EPF-ANRS CO1). *Clin Infect Dis.* 2010;50(4):585-596. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20070234.
- 5. European Collaborative S. Mother-to-child transmission of HIV infection in the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2005;40(3):458-465. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15668871.
- 6. Launay O, Tod M, Tschope I, et al. Residual HIV-1 RNA and HIV-1 DNA production in the genital tract reservoir of women treated with HAART: the prospective ANRS EP24 GYNODYN study. *Antivir Ther*. 2011;16(6):843-852. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21900716.
- 7. Cu-Uvin S, DeLong AK, Venkatesh KK, et al. Genital tract HIV-1 RNA shedding among women with below detectable plasma viral load. *AIDS*. 2010;24(16):2489-2497. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20736815.
- 8. Henning TR, Kissinger P, Lacour N, Meyaski-Schluter M, Clark R, Amedee AM. Elevated cervical white blood cell infiltrate is associated with genital HIV detection in a longitudinal cohort of antiretroviral therapy-adherent women. *J Infect Dis.* 2010;202(10):1543-1552. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20925530.
- 9. Yeh RF, Rezk NL, Kashuba AD, et al. Genital tract, cord blood, and amniotic fluid exposures of seven antiretroviral drugs during and after pregnancy in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected women. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2009;53(6):2367-2374. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19307360.
- 10. Dumond JB, Yeh RF, Patterson KB, et al. Antiretroviral drug exposure in the female genital tract: implications for oral pre- and post-exposure prophylaxis. *AIDS*. 2007;21(14):1899-1907. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17721097.
- 11. Else LJ, Taylor S, Back DJ, Khoo SH. Pharmacokinetics of antiretroviral drugs in anatomical sanctuary sites: the male and female genital tract. *Antivir Ther*. 2011;16(8):1149-1167. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22155899.
- 12. Jackson JB, Musoke P, Fleming T, et al. Intrapartum and neonatal single-dose nevirapine compared with zidovudine for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 in Kampala, Uganda: 18-month follow-up of the HIVNET 012 randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2003;362(9387):859-868. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/13678973.
- 13. Petra Study T. Efficacy of three short-course regimens of zidovudine and lamivudine in preventing early and late transmission of HIV-1 from mother to child in Tanzania, South Africa, and Uganda (Petra study): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2002;359(9313):1178-1186. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11955535.
- 14. Moodley D, Moodley J, Coovadia H, et al. A multicenter randomized controlled trial of nevirapine versus a combination of zidovudine and lamivudine to reduce intrapartum and early postpartum mother-to-child transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. *J Infect Dis*. 2003;187(5):725-735. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12599045.
- 15. Taha TE, Kumwenda NI, Gibbons A, et al. Short postexposure prophylaxis in newborn babies to reduce mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1: NVAZ randomised clinical trial. *Lancet*. 2003;362(9391):1171-1177. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14568737.
- 16. Gaillard P, Fowler MG, Dabis F, et al. Use of antiretroviral drugs to prevent HIV-1 transmission through breast-feeding: from animal studies to randomized clinical trials. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2004;35(2):178-187. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14722452.
- 17. Gray GE, Urban M, Chersich MF, et al. A randomized trial of two postexposure prophylaxis regimens to reduce mother-to-child HIV-1 transmission in infants of untreated mothers. *AIDS*. 2005;19(12):1289-1297. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16052084.
- 18. Nielsen-Saines K, Watts H, Veloso VG, al e. Phase III randomized trial of the safety and efficacy of three neonatal antiretroviral postpartum regimens for the prevention of intrapartum HIV-1 transmission: NICHD HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 study results. *N Engl J Med*. 2012.
- 19. Cohen MS, Chen YQ, McCauley M, et al. Prevention of HIV-1 infection with early antiretroviral therapy. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;365(6):493-505. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21767103.
- 20. Hirt D, Urien S, Rey E, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of emtricitabine in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected pregnant women and their neonates. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2009;53(3):1067-1073. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19104016.

- 21. Hirt D, Urien S, Ekouevi DK, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of tenofovir in HIV-1-infected pregnant women and their neonates (ANRS 12109). *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2009;85(2):182-189. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18987623.
- 22. Moodley D, Pillay K, Naidoo K, et al. Pharmacokinetics of zidovudine and lamivudine in neonates following coadministration of oral doses every 12 hours. *J Clin Pharmacol*. 2001;41(7):732-741. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11452705.
- 23. Wade NA, Unadkat JD, Huang S, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of stavudine in HIV-infected pregnant women and their infants: Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group protocol 332. *J Infect Dis*. 2004;190(12):2167-2174. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15551216.
- 24. Tariq S, Townsend CL, Cortina-Borja M, et al. Use of zidovudine-sparing HAART in pregnant HIV-infected women in Europe: 2000-2009. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2011;57(4):326-333. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21499113.
- 25. Burns DN, Landesman S, Muenz LR, et al. Cigarette smoking, premature rupture of membranes and vertical transmission of HIV-1 among women with low CD4 levels. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol*. 1994;7(7):718-726. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=7911527&dopt=Abstract.
- 26. Turner BJ, Hauck WW, Fanning TR, Markson LE. Cigarette smoking and maternal-child HIV transmission. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol*. 1997;14(4):327-337. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9111474.
- 27. Rodriguez EM, Mofenson LM, Chang BH, et al. Association of maternal drug use during pregnancy with maternal HIV culture positivity and perinatal HIV transmission. *AIDS*. 1996;10(3):273-282. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=8882667&dopt=Abstract.
- 28. Bulterys M, Landesman S, Burns DN, et al. Sexual behavior and injection drug use during pregnancy and vertical transmission of HIV-1. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Human Retrovirol*. 1997;15(1):76-82. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=9215658&dopt=Abstract.
- 29. Matheson PB, Thomas PA, Abrams EJ, et al. with the New York City Perinatal HIV Transmission Collaborative Study Group. Heterosexual behavior during pregnancy and perinatal transmission of HIV-1. *AIDS*. 1996;10(11):1249-1256. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8883587.
- 30. Branson BM, Handsfield HH, Lampe MA, et al. Revised recommendations for HIV testing of adults, adolescents, and pregnant women in health-care settings. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* 2006;55(RR-14):1-17; quiz CE11-14. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16988643.
- 31. Committee On Pediatric AIDS. Infant feeding and transmission of human immunodeficiency virus in the United States. *Pediatrics*. 2013;131(2):391-396. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23359577.
- 32. Gaur AH, Freimanis-Hance L, Dominguez K, et al. Knowledge and practice of prechewing/prewarming food by HIV-infected women. *Pediatrics*. 2011;127(5):e1206-1211. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21482608.

Teratogenicity (Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Panel's Recommendations

- All cases of antiretroviral (ARV) drug exposure during pregnancy should be reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry (see http://www.APRegistry.com) (AIII).
- Non-pregnant women of childbearing potential should undergo pregnancy testing before initiation of efavirenz and receive
 counseling about the potential risk to the fetus and desirability of avoiding pregnancy while on efavirenz-containing regimens (AIII).
 - Alternate ARV regimens that do not include efavirenz should be considered in women who are planning to become pregnant or are sexually active and not using effective contraception, assuming these alternative regimens are not thought to compromise a woman's health (BIII).
- Efavirenz can be continued in women receiving an efavirenz-based regimen who present for antenatal care in the first trimester, because the risk of neural tube defects is restricted to the first 5 to 6 weeks of pregnancy. Pregnancy is rarely recognized before 5 to 6 weeks, and unnecessary changes in ARV drugs during pregnancy may be associated with loss of viral control and increased risk of perinatal transmission. In such situations, fetal ultrasound is recommended at 18 to 20 weeks to assess anatomy (see HIV-Infected Pregnant Women Who are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Treatment) (CIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

First-Trimester Exposure and Birth Defects

The potential harm to the fetus from maternal ingestion of a specific drug depends not only on the drug itself but also on the dose ingested; the gestational age of the fetus at exposure; the duration of exposure; the interaction with other agents to which the fetus is exposed; and, to an unknown extent, the genetic makeup of mother and fetus.

Information regarding the safety of drugs in pregnancy is derived from animal toxicity data, anecdotal experience, registry data, and clinical trials. Drug choice should be individualized and must be based on discussion with the woman and available data from preclinical and clinical testing of the individual drugs. Preclinical data include results of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests for carcinogenicity, clastogenicity/mutagenicity, and reproductive and teratogenic effects. However, the predictive value of such tests for adverse effects in humans is unknown. For example, of approximately 1,200 known animal teratogens, only about 30 are known to be teratogenic in humans. Limited data exist regarding placental passage, pharmacokinetics and safety in pregnancy, and long-term safety in exposed infants of Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved antiretroviral (ARV) drugs (see Supplement: Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy).

In general, reports of birth defects in fetuses/infants of women enrolled in observational studies who receive ARV regimens during pregnancy are reassuring and find no difference in rates of birth defects for first-trimester compared with later exposures.²⁻⁵ In the primary analysis by the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry of prospective cases of ARV exposure during pregnancy provided by health care providers, prevalence of birth defects was 2.8 per 100 live births among women with a first-trimester exposure to any ARV (203 of 7,135 exposures; 95% confidence interval [CI], 2.5–3.3). The prevalence of defects is not significantly different from that in women with an initial exposure during the second and/or third trimester (2.8 per 100 live births) (prevalence ratio 1.01; 95% CI, 0.84, 1.21).⁶ In a recent study from France that included 13,124 live births that occurred between 1994 and 2010, 5,388 (42%) had first-trimester exposure to ARV drugs. The authors reported a significant adjusted association between first-trimester zidovudine exposure and congenital heart defects (adjusted odds ratio [AOR] 2.2; 95% CI, 1.3–3.7). Because all infants in this study underwent echocardiography, the clinical significance of the cardiac findings is uncertain.⁷ The authors also reported significant associations between first-trimester didanosine (AOR 1.44, 1.08–1.92) and indinavir

(AOR 1.66, 1.09–2.53) exposure and head and neck defects.⁸ However, for both these drugs, the absolute numbers of defects in the exposed groups were low, leading to large confidence intervals and reinforcing caution in drawing any conclusions. In the primary analysis, no association was seen between first-trimester efavirenz exposure and birth defects. In an analysis from the Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study (PHACS) that included 2,580 live births, first-trimester ARV exposure overall was not associated with an increased risk of birth defects.⁹ In adjusted analyses, the only individual ARV drug for which first-trimester exposure was associated with birth defects was atazanavir (discussed below). In a comparison between 417 HIV- and ARV-exposed, uninfected infants and unexposed controls tested at ages 2 to 7 years, no clinically significant differences were found in echocardiographic parameters of left ventricular function and structure.¹⁰

Most studies evaluating a possible association between ARV exposure and birth defects do not evaluate maternal folate use or levels. Folate antagonists (e.g., trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole), which have been associated with an increased risk of birth defects with first-trimester use in some, but not all, studies, may be prescribed to women with advanced HIV disease. ¹¹ Therefore, it may be important to consider the role of folate antagonists as well as folic acid supplementation when evaluating any potential association between ARV drugs and birth defects. ¹² Maternal tobacco and alcohol use may also serve as confounders. ¹⁰ However, concerns have been raised about the risk of several ARV agents.

Specific Drugs

Efavirenz

Efavirenz use during pregnancy has received increased scrutiny because of the results of a small study in non-human primates. Significant malformations were observed in 3 of 20 infant cynomolgus monkeys receiving efavirenz from gestational days 20 to 150 at a dose resulting in plasma concentrations comparable to systemic human exposure at therapeutic dosage. The malformations included anencephaly and unilateral anophthalmia in one, microphthalmia in another, and cleft palate in the third. Among pregnancies prospectively reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry through January 2014 that had exposure to efavirenz-based regimens, a 2.3% incidence of overall birth defects was seen with first-trimester exposure, a proportion not significantly different from that observed among U.S. births in the general population. Defects reported prospectively included one report of myelomeningocele and a separate report of anophthalmia. The case of anophthalmia included severe oblique facial clefts and amniotic banding that is known to be associated with anophthalmia. In addition, six cases of central nervous system defects, including myelomeningocele, have been retrospectively reported in infants born to mothers receiving efavirenz during the first trimester. However, retrospective reports can be biased toward reporting of more unusual and severe cases and are less likely to be representative of the general population experience.

A meta-analysis including data from 23 studies reporting on 2,026 first-trimester exposures found no increased risk of overall birth defects in infants born to women on efavirenz during the first trimester compared with those on other ARV drugs during the first trimester (relative risk 0.78; 95% CI, 0.56–1.08). One neural tube defect was observed, giving an incidence of 0.05% (95% CI, <0.01 to 0.28). However, the number of reported first-trimester efavirenz exposures still remains insufficient to rule out a 2- to 3-fold increase in low-incidence birth defects (incidence of neural tube defects in the general U.S. population is 0.02% to 0.2%). Description of the control of t

In contrast to the meta-analysis, the Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group (PACTG) protocols 219 and 219C studies reported a higher defect rate in infants with first-trimester exposure to efavirenz compared with those without first-trimester efavirenz exposure (AOR 4.31; 95% CI, 1.56–11.86). However, only 32 infants had efavirenz exposure. PACTG protocol P1025 is a companion study of PACTG 219 with considerable overlap in cases enrolled. Although P1025 reports a significant increased risk of congenital anomalies in infants born between 2002 and 2007 with first-trimester exposure to efavirenz, there is overlap in the defect cases between the 2 studies and only 41 infants with efavirenz exposure are included in this analysis. In the French study discussed above, first-trimester efavirenz use was not associated with an increase in defects in the primary analysis using the European Surveillance of Congenital Abnormalities birth defect classification system. In a

secondary analysis using the Metropolitan Atlanta Congenital Defects Program (MACDP) birth defect classification used by the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, an association was found between first-trimester efavirenz exposure and neurologic defects. However, none of the four defects were neural tube defects, and none of the defects had common embryology. First-trimester efavirenz exposure was not associated with an increased risk of defects in the PHACS analysis. Thus, additional data are needed on first-trimester efavirenz exposures to be able to more conclusively determine whether risk of neural tube defects or other malformations is elevated.

Although a causal relationship has not been established between these events and the use of efavirenz, in light of similar findings in primates the FDA labeling advises that women avoid becoming pregnant while taking efavirenz and that efavirenz not be administered in the first trimester of pregnancy, as fetal harm may occur. Treatment with efavirenz should be avoided during the first 8 weeks of pregnancy (the primary period of fetal organogenesis) whenever possible. Women of childbearing potential should undergo pregnancy testing before initiation of efavirenz and should be counseled about the potential risk to the fetus and desirability of avoiding pregnancy while on efavirenz-containing regimens. Alternate combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) regimens that do not include efavirenz should be considered in women who are planning to become pregnant or who are sexually active and not using effective contraception if such alternative regimens are acceptable to the patient and will not compromise her health. However, the Panel now recommends that efavirenz can be continued in women who present for care in the first trimester and are receiving efavirenz-based cART that is effective in suppressing viral replication. This is because the neural tube closes at 36 to 39 days after the last menstrual period; hence, the risk of neural tube defects is restricted to the first 5 to 6 weeks of pregnancy (and pregnancy is rarely recognized before 5–6 weeks), and unnecessary changes in ARV drugs during pregnancy may be associated with a loss of virologic control and, thus, increased risk of transmission to the infant.¹⁷ In such situations, fetal ultrasound is recommended at 18 to 20 weeks to assess anatomy. For more details, see HIV-Infected Pregnant Women Who are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Treatment.

Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate

Tenofovir has not demonstrated teratogenicity in rodents or monkeys. In infant monkeys with *in utero* exposure to tenofovir at maternal doses resulting in levels approximately 25 times those used in humans, low birth weights and reductions in fetal bone porosity were seen. Chronic administration of tenofovir to immature animals of multiple species has resulted in reversible bone abnormalities; these effects were dose-, exposure-, age-, and species-specific. Data from the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry show a birth defect incidence of 2.3% in 1,982 women with first-trimester tenofovir exposure, similar to that in the general population.⁶

Other Drugs

As mentioned above, in the PHACS analysis atazanavir exposure (n = 222) in the first trimester was associated with an increased risk of birth defects, with an AOR of 1.93 (P = 0.004), primarily skin and musculoskeletal defects. In contrast, no increase in defect rate was detected in the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry among 922 births after first-trimester exposure to atazanavir.

The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry includes additional analyses of drugs for which adequate numbers of first-trimester exposures have been reported to warrant separate analyses. For abacavir, atazanavir, darunavir, didanosine, efavirenz, indinavir, and stavudine, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures have been monitored to detect at least a 2-fold increase in risk of overall birth defects, and no such increases have been detected to date. For emtricitabine, lamivudine, lopinavir, nelfinavir, nevirapine, ritonavir, tenofovir, and zidovudine, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures have been monitored to detect at least a 1.5-fold increase in risk of overall birth defects and a 2-fold increase in risk of birth defects in the more common classes, cardiovascular and genitourinary systems. No such increases have been detected to date. A modest (but statistically significant) increase in overall birth defect rates for didanosine and nelfinavir is observed when compared with the U.S. population-based MACDP surveillance data. The lower bounds of the CIs for didanosine and nelfinavir (3.0% and 2.9%, respectively) are slightly above the higher bound (2.76%) for the

MACDP rate. No specific pattern of defects has been detected with either didanosine or nelfinavir, and the clinical relevance of this statistical finding is unclear. The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry will continue to monitor didanosine and nelfinavir for any signal or pattern of birth defects.

See <u>Supplement: Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnancy</u> for detailed information on individual drugs.

Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Reporting

Health care providers who are caring for HIV-infected pregnant women and their newborns are strongly advised to report instances of prenatal exposure to ARV drugs (either alone or in combination) to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry as early in pregnancy as possible. This registry is an epidemiologic project to collect observational, nonexperimental data regarding ARV exposure during pregnancy for the purpose of assessing the potential teratogenicity of these drugs. Registry data will be used to supplement animal toxicology studies and assist clinicians in weighing the potential risks and benefits of treatment for individual patients. The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry is a collaborative project of pharmaceutical manufacturers with an advisory committee of obstetric and pediatric practitioners. The registry does not use patient names, and registry staff obtain birth outcome follow-up information from the reporting physician.

Referrals should be directed to:

Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Research Park 1011 Ashes Drive Wilmington, NC 28405 Telephone: 1–800–258–4263

Fax: 1–800–800–1052 http://www.APRegistry.com

References

- 1. Mills JL. Protecting the embryo from X-rated drugs. *N Engl J Med*. 1995;333(2):124-125. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7777019.
- 2. Watts DH, Huang S, Culnane M, et al. Birth defects among a cohort of infants born to HIV-infected women on antiretroviral medication. *J Perinat Med.* 2011;39(2):163-170. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21142844.
- 3. Knapp KM, Brogly SB, Muenz DG, et al. Prevalence of congenital anomalies in infants with in utero exposure to antiretrovirals. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2012;31(2):164-170. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21983213.
- 4. daCosta TP, Machado ES, al e. Malformations among HIV vertically exposed newborns results from a Brazilian cohort study. Presented at: 6th IAS Conference on HIV Pathogenesis and Treatment and Prevention. 2011. Rome, Italy.
- 5. Floridia M, Mastroiacovo P, Tamburrini E, et al. Birth defects in a national cohort of pregnant women with HIV infection in Italy, 2001-2011. *BJOG*. 2013;120(12):1466-1475. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23721372.
- 6. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 Jan 1989 31 July 2014. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2014. Available at http://www.APRegistry.com.
- Mofenson LM, Watts DH. Safety of pediatric HIV elimination: the growing population of HIV- and antiretroviralexposed but uninfected infants. *PLoS Med*. 2014;11(4):e1001636. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781352.
- 8. Sibiude J, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Association between prenatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy and birth defects: an analysis of the French perinatal cohort study (ANRS CO1/CO11). *PLoS Med.* 2014;11(4):e1001635. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781315.
- 9. Williams PL, Crain MJ, Yildirim C, et al. Congenital anomalies and in utero antiretroviral exposure in human immunodeficiency virus-exposed uninfected infants. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2015;169(1):48-55. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25383770.

- Lipshultz SE, Williams PL, Zeldow B, et al. Cardiac effects of in-utero exposure to antiretroviral therapy in HIV-uninfected children born to HIV-infected mothers. AIDS. 2015;29(1):91-100. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25562493.
- 11. Ford N, Shubber Z, Jao J, Abrams EJ, Frigati L, Mofenson L. Safety of cotrimoxazole in pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;66(5):512-521. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24853309.
- 12. Jungmann EM, Mercey D, DeRuiter A, et al. Is first trimester exposure to the combination of antiretroviral therapy and folate antagonists a risk factor for congenital abnormalities? *Sex Transm Infect*. 2001;77(6):441-443. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11714944.
- 13. Efavirenz [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2010. Available at http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda docs/label/2010/021360s024lbl.pdf. Accessed August 18, 2014.
- 14. Ford N, Mofenson L, Shubber Z, et al. Safety of efavirenz in the first trimester of pregnancy: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2014;28 Suppl 2:S123-131. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24849471.
- 15. Watts DH. Teratogenicity risk of antiretroviral therapy in pregnancy. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep.* 2007;4(3):135-140. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17883999.
- 16. Brogly SB, Abzug MJ, Watts DH, et al. Birth defects among children born to human immunodeficiency virus-infected women: pediatric AIDS clinical trials protocols 219 and 219C. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2010;29(8):721-727. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20539252.
- 17. Floridia M, Ravizza M, Pinnetti C, et al. Treatment change in pregnancy is a significant risk factor for detectable HIV-1 RNA in plasma at end of pregnancy. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2010;11(6):303-311. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21239358.

Combination Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Pregnancy Outcome

(Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Panel's Recommendations

Clinicians should be aware of a possible small increased risk of preterm birth in pregnant women receiving protease-inhibitor (PI)-based combination antiretroviral therapy. However, given the clear benefits of such regimens for both a woman's health and the prevention of perinatal transmission, PIs should not be withheld for fear of altering pregnancy outcome (AII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

Earlier Studies (Prior to 2005)

Early data are conflicting as to whether receipt of combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) during pregnancy is associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes, specifically, preterm birth (<37 weeks' gestation). Previous studies were observational and included relatively small numbers of women who had received protease inhibitor (PI)-based cART. Inclusion of data necessary to control for maternal HIV disease stage as well as risk factors for adverse pregnancy outcomes varied, and no studies were able to assess the indication for cART.

An initial report from the European Collaborative Study and the Swiss Mother and Child HIV Cohort Study on HIV-infected women delivering between 1986 and 2000 demonstrated a roughly 2-fold increase in the odds of preterm birth for infants exposed to cART with or without PIs compared with no antiretroviral (ARV) drugs. Women initiating cART before pregnancy were twice as likely to deliver preterm as those who initiated ARVs during the third trimester (≥28 weeks' gestation). Exposure to nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI) single-drug prophylaxis (primarily zidovudine) was not associated with preterm birth.¹

In an updated report from the European Collaborative Study, which included women who delivered from 1986 to 2004, initiation of cART before and during pregnancy was associated with preterm birth, compared to mono- or dual-NRTI ARV regimens. Use of cART before pregnancy was associated with a 2.1-fold increased risk of preterm birth at <37 weeks and a 4.4-fold increased risk of preterm birth at <34 weeks. Initiation of cART during pregnancy was associated with a 1.9-fold increased risk of preterm delivery at <37 weeks and a 2.5-fold risk of preterm birth at <34 weeks.

In contrast, an analysis of seven prospective clinical studies of women delivering from 1990 to 1998 did not demonstrate an association between ARV regimens and adverse pregnancy outcomes. This analysis accounted for maternal CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell count, HIV disease stage, and history of adverse pregnancy outcomes. Compared to no ARVs or monotherapy, cART with or without PIs was not associated with increased rates of preterm birth, low birth weight, or stillbirth.³ A subsequent analysis of the Women and Infants Transmission Study extended to women delivering through 2002 (some of whom were included in the aforementioned meta-analysis) did not find significant associations between use of ARV drugs by class or by category and adverse pregnancy outcomes.⁴

Recent Studies (2005-Current)

Results of studies published since 2005 are conflicting with regard to an association between preterm birth and cART use. Multiple observational studies with similar limitations published through 2008 have detected small but significant increases in preterm birth with PI- and non-PI-based cART (odds ratio [OR] 1.2–1.8 in the largest studies). A meta-analysis of 14 European and American clinical studies demonstrated that use of cART during pregnancy did not increase the overall risk of preterm birth. A subgroup analysis demonstrated a modest increased risk of preterm birth with PI-based cART use compared to non-PI based cART (OR 1.35; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.08–1.7).

Subsequent studies that have controlled for maternal characteristics, including HIV disease severity, have not demonstrated an association between PI-based ARV regimens and adverse pregnancy outcomes, including preterm birth and low birth weight. Other reports have found increased rates of preterm birth when cART is compared with dual-ARV regimens and when non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor-based cART regimens were compared with other forms of cART.

Conflicting findings regarding preterm birth and cART use may be influenced by variability in the data available for analysis. For example, some studies have reported increased rates of preterm birth when cART is initiated before or in early pregnancy compared to later in pregnancy. Variables other than prevention of perinatal transmission, such as HIV disease severity, may affect the timing of cART initiation during pregnancy. These variables may be associated with preterm birth independent of cART use. ART in order to control for medical or obstetrical factors associated with iatrogenic preterm birth, two studies have assessed spontaneous preterm birth alone. One study included women initiating ARV drugs during pregnancy. Neither study reported an association between ARV use and preterm birth. 16,17

A U.S. study of women delivering from 2007 to 2010 found an increased risk of spontaneous and overall preterm birth with exposure to PI-based cART in the first trimester (<14 weeks' gestation) compared to exposure after the first trimester to PI- or non-PI-based cART (OR 1.59; 95% CI, 1.0–2.30 and OR 1.55; 95% CI, 1.16–2.17, respectively). Exposure to non-PI-based regimens in the first trimester was not associated with increased risk of preterm birth. In an analysis of women enrolled in the ANRS French Perinatal Cohort from 1990 to 2009, preterm birth rates increased over time. Preterm birth was associated with cART compared to either mono- or dual-ARV regimens (adjusted odds ratio [AOR] 1.69; 95% CI, 1.38–2.07). Preterm delivery rates were highest in those initiating ARV drugs before pregnancy (AOR 1.31; 95% CI, 1.11–1.55). A restricted analysis within this cohort comparing boosted versus non-boosted PI-based cART regimens reported an association with iatrogenic preterm delivery and boosted PI regimens (AOR 2.03; 95% CI, 2.06–3.89). There was no association with spontaneous preterm birth. The use of boosted PI regimens was associated with medical and obstetrical complications, suggesting that the association with iatrogenic preterm delivery was mediated by these complications.

A secondary analysis of a randomized clinical trial conducted in Botswana with pregnant women with CD4 cell counts >200 cells/mm³ found an increased rate of preterm birth in women randomized to a lopinavir/ritonavir PI-based cART regimen compared to a triple nucleoside-based cART regimen (21.4% vs. 11.8%, *P* = 0.003).²⁰ In a population-based observational study of 33,148 women from 6 sites in Botswana, which included 9,504 HIV-infected women, maternal HIV was significantly associated with increased risk of stillbirth, preterm birth, and small for gestational age (SGA).²¹ HIV-infected women initiating cART prior to conception compared to all other women were more likely to have preterm birth (AOR 1.2; 95% CI, 1.1–1.4), SGA (AOR 1.8; 95% CI, 1.6–2.1), and stillbirth (AOR 1.5; 95% CI, 1.2–1.8). Among HIV-infected women initiating ARV drugs during pregnancy, use of cART compared to use of zidovudine monotherapy was associated with higher odds of preterm birth (AOR 1.4; 95% CI, 1.2–1.8), SGA (AOR 1.5; 95% CI, 1.2–1.9), and stillbirth (AOR 2.5; 95% CI, 1.6–3.9). However, adverse pregnancy outcomes were also associated with maternal hypertension.

Clinicians should be aware of a possible increased risk of preterm birth with use of cART. Given the clear benefits for maternal health and reduction in perinatal transmission, these agents should not be withheld due to concern for increased risk of preterm delivery. Until more information is available, HIV-infected pregnant women receiving cART should continue their provider-recommended regimens and receive regular monitoring for pregnancy complications, including preterm birth.²²

Table 5. Results of Studies Assessing the Association Between Antiretroviral Regimens and Preterm Delivery (page 1 of 3)

Study Location(s); Dates of Study	Total Number of Pregnancies/ Total on ARV Drugs	Types of ARV Regimens Compared (Numbers)	Association Noted Between Pl- Containing or Other Multi-ARV Regimens and PTD	Notes
European Collaborative Study and Swiss Mother and Child HIV Cohort Study; 1986–2000¹	3,920/896	• Mono (573) • Multi, no PI (215) • Multi-PI (108)	• YES (compared with no ARV) • Multi: 1.82 (1.13–2.92) • Multi-PI: 2.60 (1.43–4.7)	Increase in PTD if ARV begun before pregnancy versus in third trimester
United States; 1990– 1998 ³	3,266/2,123	• Mono (1,590) • Multi (396) • Multi-PI (137)	• NO (compared with mono) • Multi: 0.95 (0.60–1.48) • Multi-PI: 1.45 (0.81–2.50)	7 prospective clinical studies
European Collaborative Study; 1986–2004 ²	4,372/2,033	• Mono (704) • Dual (254) • Multi (1,075)	 YES (compared with mono/dual) Multi in pregnancy: 1.88 (1.34–2.65) Multi pre-pregnancy: 2.05 (1.43–2.95) 	N/A
United States; 1990–2002 ⁴	2,543/not given	Early (<25 Weeks): • Mono (621) • Multi (≥2 without PI or NNRTI) (198) • Multi (with PI or NNRTI) (357) Late (≥32 Weeks): • Mono (932) • Multi (≥2 without PI or NNRTI) (258) • Multi (with PI or NNRTI) (588)	NO (compared with mono) No association between any ARV and PTD	PTD decreased with ARV compared with no ARV.
United States; 1990– 2002 ²³	1,337/999	• Mono (492) • Multi (373) • Multi-PI (134)	YES (compared with other multi) Multi-Pl: 1.8 (1.1–3.03)	Multi-PI reserved for advanced disease, those who failed other multi-ARV regimens.
Brazil, Argentina, Mexico, Bahamas; 2002–2005 ²⁴	681/681	Mono/dual NRTI (94) Multi-NNRTI (257) Multi-PI (330)	NO (compared with mono/dual NRTI) No association between any ARV regimen and PTD	All on ARV for at least 28 days during pregnancy Preeclampsia/ eclampsia, cesarean delivery, diabetes, low BMI associated with PTD

Table 5. Results of Studies Assessing the Association Between Antiretroviral Regimens and Preterm Delivery (page 2 of 3)

Study Location(s); Dates of Study	Total Number of Pregnancies/ Total on ARV Drugs	Types of ARV Regimens Compared (Numbers)	Association Noted Between PI-Containing or Other Multi-ARV Regimens and PTD	Notes
Meta-analysis, Europe and United	11,224/not given	Multi-no PI (including dual) or multi-PI (2,556)	YES (only comparing PI with multi)	• 14 studies, 5 in PTD- ARV comparison
States; 1986–2004 ⁹			• PI versus multi-no PI: 1.35 (1.08–1.70)	No overall increase in PTD with antepartum ARV
				PTD increased in those on ARV pre-pregnancy and in first trimester compared with later use
Italy; 2001–2006 ⁷	419/366	Multi-PI second trimester (97) Multi-PI third trimester (146)	YES Multi-PI second trimester: 2.24 (1.22–4.12) Multi-PI third trimester: 2.81	Multivariate association also with hepatitis C
			(1.46–5.39)	
United States; 1989– 2004 ⁶	8,793/6,228	• Mono (2,621) • Dual (1,044) • Multi-no PI (1,781)	YES (compared with dual) Multi-PI associated with PTD: 1.21 (1.04–1.40)	Lack of antepartum ARV also associated with PTD
		• Multi-PI (782)		 PTD and low birth weigh decreased over time.
United Kingdom, Ireland; 1990–2005 ⁵	5,009/4,445	Mono/dual (1,061) Multi-NNRTI or multi-PI	• YES (compared with mono/dual) • Multi: 1.51 (1.19–1.93)	Similar increased risk with PI or no-PI multi
		(3,384)		No association with duration of use
Germany, Austria; 1995–2001 ⁸	183/183	 Mono (77) Dual (31) Multi-PI (21) Multi-NNRTI (54)	YES (compared with mono) Multi-Pl: 3.40 (1.13–10.2)	N/A
United States; 2002–2007 ¹⁶	777/777	 Mono (6) Dual (11) Multi-no PI (202) Multi-PI (558)	NO (compared PI with all non-PI) Multi-PI: 1.22 (0.70–2.12)	All started ARV during pregnancy. Analyzed only spontaneous PTD
Swiss Mother and Child HIV Cohort Study; 1985–2007 ¹³	1,180/941	 Mono (94) Dual (53) Multi (PI or no PI) (409) Multi-PI (385)	• YES (compared with no ARV) • Multi: 2.5 (1.4–4.3)	No association of mono/dual with PTD compared with no ARV No confounding by duration of ARV or maternal risk factors

Table 5. Results of Studies Assessing the Association Between Antiretroviral Regimens and Preterm Delivery (page 3 of 3)

Study Location(s); Dates of Study	Total Number of Pregnancies/ Total on ARV Drugs	Types of ARV Regimens Compared (Numbers)	Association Noted Between PI-Containing or Other Multi-ARV Regimens and PTD	Notes
Botswana; 2006– 2008 ²⁰	530/530	Lopinavir/ritonavir plus zidovudine plus lamivudine (267) Abacavir plus zidovudine plus lamivudine (263)	YES Multi-PI versus multi-NRTI: 2.03 (1.26–3.27)	Secondary analysis of data from randomized, controlled clinical trial of ARV begun at 26–34 weeks for prevention of perinatal transmission All CD4 cell counts >200 cells/mm³
Botswana; 2007– 2010 ²⁵	4,347/3,659	 ARV, regimen unspecified (70) Mono (2,473) Multi, 91% NNRTI (1,116) 	No association between multi- cART and very PTD (<32 weeks' gestation)	Observational multi- ART before conception associated with very small for gestational age and maternal hypertension during pregnancy
Spain; 2000–2008 ¹¹	803/739	Mono/dual (32) Multi-no PI (281) Multi-PI (426)	NO No association between ARV and PTD	Greatest PTD risk if no antepartum ARV received
Spain; 1986–2010 ¹⁷	519/371	Mono/dual NRTI (73) All multi (298) Multi-PI (178)	NO (compared with no ARV plus mono/dual) Spontaneous PTD not associated with multi-ARV or multi-PI before or during pregnancy	latrogenic PTD associated with multi- ARV given in second half of pregnancy and with prior PTD
Botswana; 2009– 2011 ²¹	9,504/7,915	 Mono (4,625) All multi (3,290) Multi-PI (312)	 YES (multi-ARV before and during pregnancy compared to mono) 1.2 (1.1–1.4) and 1.4 (1.2–1.8) YES (multi-PI compared to multi-no PI before pregnancy 2.0 (1.1–3.6) 	cART group classified by initiation before and during pregnancy
United States; 2007– 2010 ¹⁸	1,869/1,810	 Mono/dual (138) Multi-NRTI (193) Multi-NNRTI (160) Multi-PI (1,319) 	 YES (compared with no ARV in first trimester) Multi-PI in first trimester vs. none in first trimester PTD 1.55 (1.16–2.07); spontaneous PTD 1.59 (1.10–2.30) 	N/A

Key to Acronyms: ARV = antiretroviral; BMI = body mass index; dual = two ARV drugs; mono = single ARV drug; multi = three or more ARV drugs; multi-PI = combination ARV with PI; NNRTI = non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NRTI = nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; PI = protease inhibitor; PTD = preterm delivery

References

- 1. European Collaborative S, Swiss M, Child HIVCS. Combination antiretroviral therapy and duration of pregnancy. *AIDS*. 2000;14(18):2913-2920. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11398741.
- Thorne C, Patel D, Newell ML. Increased risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes in HIV-infected women treated with highly active antiretroviral therapy in Europe. *AIDS*. 2004;18(17):2337-2339. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15577551.
- 3. Tuomala RE, Shapiro DE, Mofenson LM, et al. Antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy and the risk of an adverse outcome. *N Engl J Med*. 2002;346(24):1863-1870. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12063370.
- 4. Tuomala RE, Watts DH, Li D, et al. Improved obstetric outcomes and few maternal toxicities are associated with antiretroviral therapy, including highly active antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2005;38(4):449-473. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15764963.
- 5. Townsend CL, Cortina-Borja M, Peckham CS, Tookey PA. Antiretroviral therapy and premature delivery in diagnosed HIV-infected women in the United Kingdom and Ireland. *AIDS*. 2007;21(8):1019-1026. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17457096.
- Schulte J, Dominguez K, Sukalac T, Bohannon B, Fowler MG, Pediatric Spectrum of HIVDC. Declines in low birth weight and preterm birth among infants who were born to HIV-infected women during an era of increased use of maternal antiretroviral drugs: Pediatric Spectrum of HIV Disease, 1989-2004. *Pediatrics*. 2007;119(4):e900-906. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17353299.
- 7. Ravizza M, Martinelli P, Bucceri A, et al. Treatment with protease inhibitors and coinfection with hepatitis C virus are independent predictors of preterm delivery in HIV-infected pregnant women. *J Infect Dis.* 2007;195(6):913-914; author reply 916-917. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17299723.
- 8. Grosch-Woerner I, Puch K, Maier RF, et al. Increased rate of prematurity associated with antenatal antiretroviral therapy in a German/Austrian cohort of HIV-1-infected women. *HIV Med.* 2008;9(1):6-13. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18199167.
- 9. Kourtis AP, Schmid CH, Jamieson DJ, Lau J. Use of antiretroviral therapy in pregnant HIV-infected women and the risk of premature delivery: a meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2007;21(5):607-615. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17314523.
- 10. Dola CP, Khan R, Denicola N, et al. Combination antiretroviral therapy with protease inhibitors in HIV-infected pregnancy. *J Perinat Med*. 2011;40(1):51-55. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22044007.
- 11. Gonzalez-Tome MI, Cuadrado I, al e. Risk factors of preterm delivery and low birth weight in a multicenter cohort of HIV-infected pregnant women. Presented at: 18th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2011. Boston, MA.
- 12. Beckerman K, Albano J, al e. Exposure to combination antiretroviral (cARV) regimens containing protease inhibitors (PI) during pregnancy and revalence of low birth weight/preterm delivery (LBW/PTD) among women with low pre-existing risk for LBW/PTD: a stratified analysis of 10,082 pregnancies. Presented at: 6th IAS Conference on HIV Pathogenesis and Treatment and Prevention. 2011. Rome, Italy.
- 13. Rudin C, Spaenhauer A, Keiser O, et al. Antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy and premature birth: analysis of Swiss data. *HIV Med.* 2011;12(4):228-235. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20726902.
- 14. van der Merwe K, Hoffman R, Black V, Chersich M, Coovadia A, Rees H. Birth outcomes in South African women receiving highly active antiretroviral therapy: a retrospective observational study. *J Int AIDS Soc.* 2011;14:42. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21843356.
- 15. Machado ES, Hofer CB, Costa TT, et al. Pregnancy outcome in women infected with HIV-1 receiving combination antiretroviral therapy before versus after conception. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2009;85(2):82-87. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18987014.
- 16. Patel K, Shapiro DE, Brogly SB, et al. Prenatal protease inhibitor use and risk of preterm birth among HIV-infected women initiating antiretroviral drugs during pregnancy. *J Infect Dis*. 2010;201(7):1035-1044. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20196654.
- 17. Lopez M, Figueras F, Hernandez S, et al. Association of HIV infection with spontaneous and iatrogenic preterm delivery: effect of HAART. *AIDS*. 2012;26(1):37-43. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22008651.
- 18. Watts DH, Williams PL, Kacanek D, et al. Combination antiretroviral use and preterm birth. *J Infect Dis*. 2013;207(4):612-621. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23204173.

- 19. Sibiude J, Warszawski J, Tubiana R, et al. Premature delivery in HIV-infected women starting protease inhibitor therapy during pregnancy: role of the ritonavir boost? *Clin Infect Dis.* 2012;54(9):1348-1360. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22460969.
- 20. Powis KM, Kitch D, Ogwu A, et al. Increased risk of preterm delivery among HIV-infected women randomized to protease versus nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor-based HAART during pregnancy. *J Infect Dis*. 2011;204(4):506-514. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21791651.
- 21. Chen JY, Ribaudo HJ, Souda S, et al. Highly active antiretroviral therapy and adverse birth outcomes among HIV-infected women in Botswana. *J Infect Dis.* 2012;206(11):1695-1705. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23066160.
- 22. The American College of Obstetricians Gynecologists Committee on Practice Bulletins-Obstetrics. Practice bulletin no. 130: prediction and prevention of preterm birth. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2012;120(4):964-973. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22996126.
- 23. Cotter AM, Garcia AG, Duthely ML, Luke B, O'Sullivan MJ. Is antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy associated with an increased risk of preterm delivery, low birth weight, or stillbirth? *J Infect Dis.* 2006;193(9):1195-1201. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16586354.
- 24. Szyld EG, Warley EM, Freimanis L, et al. Maternal antiretroviral drugs during pregnancy and infant low birth weight and preterm birth. *AIDS*. 2006;20(18):2345-2353. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17117021.
- 25. Parekh N, Ribaudo H, Souda S, et al. Risk factors for very preterm delivery and delivery of very-small-for-gestational-age infants among HIV-exposed and HIV-unexposed infants in Botswana. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2011;115(1):20-25. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21767835.

Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs during Pregnancy (Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Panel's Recommendations

- In general, the same regimens as recommended for treatment of non-pregnant adults should be used in pregnant women unless there are known adverse effects for women, fetuses, or infants that outweigh benefits (AII).
- Multiple factors must be considered when choosing a regimen for a pregnant woman including comorbidities, convenience, adverse effects, drug interactions, resistance testing results, pharmacokinetics, and experience with use in pregnancy (AIII).
- Pharmacokinetic changes in pregnancy may lead to lower plasma levels of drugs and necessitate increased dosages, more frequent dosing, or boosting, especially of protease inhibitors (All).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

Antiretroviral (ARV) drug recommendations for HIV-infected, pregnant women have been based on the concept that drugs of known benefit to women should not be withheld during pregnancy unless there are known adverse effects to the mother, fetus, or infant <u>and</u> unless these adverse effects outweigh the benefits to the woman. Pregnancy should not preclude the use of optimal drug regimens. The decision to use any ARV drug during pregnancy should be made by a woman after discussing with her health care provider the known and potential benefits and risks to her and her fetus.

The Panel on Treatment of HIV-Infected Pregnant Women and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) reviews clinical trial data published in peer-reviewed journals and data prepared by manufacturers for Food and Drug Administration review related to treatment of HIV-infected adult women, both pregnant and non-pregnant. The durability, tolerability, and simplicity of a medication regimen are particularly important for ensuring adherence and preserving future treatment options. Regimen selection should be individualized and the following factors should be considered:

- Potential teratogenic effects and other short- and long-term adverse effects on fetuses or newborns including preterm birth, mutagenicity, and carcinogenicity,
- Experience with use in pregnancy,
- Potential drug interactions with other medications,
- Results of genotypic resistance testing and prior antiretroviral exposure,
- Pharmacokinetic (PK) changes in pregnancy and degree of placental transfer,
- Potential adverse maternal drug effects that may be exacerbated during pregnancy,
- Comorbidities,
- Ability of patient to adhere to regimen, and
- Convenience.

Information used by the Panel for recommendations on specific drugs or regimens for pregnant women includes:

- Data from randomized clinical trials and prospective cohort studies that demonstrate durable viral suppression as well as immunologic and clinical improvement;
- Incidence rates and descriptions of short- and long-term drug toxicity of ARV regimens, with special attention to maternal toxicity and potential teratogenicity and fetal safety;
- Specific knowledge about drug tolerability and simplified dosing regimens;
- Known efficacy of ARV drug regimens in reducing mother-to-child transmission of HIV;

- PK data during the pregnancy; and
- Data from animal teratogenicity studies.

Physiologic changes that occur during pregnancy can affect drug absorption, distribution, biotransformation, and elimination, thereby also affecting requirements for drug dosing and potentially altering the susceptibility of pregnant women to drug toxicity.^{2,3} During pregnancy, gastrointestinal transit time becomes prolonged; body water and fat increase throughout gestation and are accompanied by increases in cardiac output, ventilation, and liver and renal blood flow; plasma protein concentrations decrease; renal sodium reabsorption increases; and changes occur in cellular transporters and drug metabolizing enzymes in the liver and intestine. Placental transport of drugs, compartmentalization of drugs in the embryo/fetus and placenta, biotransformation of drugs by the fetus and placenta, and elimination of drugs by the fetus also can affect drug PK in the pregnant woman.

Currently available data on the PKs and dosing of ARV drugs in pregnancy are summarized in <u>Table 7</u>. In general, the PKs of nucleoside/nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) and non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs) are similar in pregnant and non-pregnant women (although data on etravirine are limited), whereas protease inhibitor (PI) PKs are more variable, particularly in later pregnancy. Current data suggest that with standard adult dosing, plasma concentrations of nelfinavir and lopinavir/ritonavir, atazanavir, and darunavir are reduced during the second and/or third trimesters (see <u>Table 7</u>). The need for a dose adjustment depends on the PI, an individual patient's treatment experience, and use (if any) of concomitant medications with potential for drug interactions.⁴⁻¹¹ Raltegravir levels in the third trimester were quite variable but not significantly different than postpartum or historical data in non-pregnant individuals.^{12,13} Data on enfuvirtide, maraviroc, dolutegravir, and elvitegravir in pregnancy are too limited to allow recommendations on dosing.

Although clinical data are more limited on ARV drugs in pregnant women than in non-pregnant individuals, sufficient data exist on which to base recommendations related to drug choice for many of the available ARV drugs. Drugs and drug regimens for pregnant antiretroviral-naive women are classified as preferred, alternative, insufficient data to recommend use, and not recommended (<u>Table 6</u>).

Categories of ARV regimens include:

- **Preferred:** Drugs or drug combinations are designated as preferred for use in ARV-naive pregnant women when clinical trial data in adults have demonstrated optimal efficacy and durability with acceptable toxicity and ease of use; pregnancy-specific PK data are available to guide dosing; and no established association with teratogenic effects or clinically significant adverse outcomes for mothers, fetuses, or newborns have been reported. Drugs in the preferred category may have toxicity concerns based on non-human data that have not been verified or established in humans. Therefore, it is important to read the full discussion of each drug in the Guidelines before administering any of these medications to your patients (also see <u>Appendix A: Supplement: Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy</u>). For example, efavirenz is now listed in the preferred category, but only with initiation after 8 weeks' gestation because of unresolved questions regarding teratogenicity.
- Alternative: Drugs or drug combinations are designated as alternatives for initial therapy in ARV-naive pregnant women when clinical trial data in adults show efficacy but any one or more of the following conditions apply: experience in pregnancy is limited; data are lacking on teratogenic effects on the fetus; or the drug or regimen is associated with dosing, tolerability, formulation, administration, or interaction issues.
- Insufficient Data to Recommend: The drugs and drug combinations in this category are approved for use in adults but lack pregnancy-specific PK or safety data or such data are too limited to make a recommendation for use in ARV-naive pregnant women.
- Not Recommended: Drugs and drug combinations listed in this category are not recommended for therapy in pregnant women because of inferior virologic response, potentially serious maternal or fetal safety concerns, or pharmacologic antagonism or are not recommended for ARV-naive populations regardless of pregnancy status.

In pregnant women, as in non-pregnant adults, a combination ARV treatment (cART) regimen with at least three agents is recommended. Recommendations for choice of ARV drug regimen during pregnancy must be individualized according to a pregnant woman's specific ARV history, the results of drug-resistance assays, and the presence of comorbidities. Women receiving cART may become pregnant and present for obstetrical care. In general, women who are already on a fully suppressive regimen should continue their regimens (see HIV-Infected Pregnant Women Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy).

Other HIV-infected women may not be receiving cART at the time they present for obstetrical care. Some women have never received ARV drugs in the past and some may have been treated in previous pregnancies. The following sections provide detailed discussions of recommendations based on maternal ARV history and current and previous resistance testing.

For ARV-naive women, a cART regimen including two NRTIs combined with a PI with low-dose ritonavir or an NNRTI or an integrase inhibitor is preferable (<u>Table 6</u>).

NRTIs and Pregnancy

Nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI) drugs are well-tolerated medications in general. However, NRTIs are known to induce some level of mitochondrial dysfunction because the drugs have varying affinity for mitochondrial gamma DNA polymerase. This affinity can interfere with mitochondrial replication, resulting in mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) depletion and dysfunction. These toxicities may be of particular concern for pregnant women and infants with *in utero* exposure to NRTI drugs, both because the intrauterine environment may affect later disease development in the child (fetal epigenetic programming), The and because mitochondria are exclusively inherited from the maternal ovum. The degrees to which these theoretical concerns, and even documented mitochondrial abnormalities, are clinically relevant is not yet known with certainty, but are very likely to be outweighed by the importance of maternal and infant ARV use to prevent perinatal HIV transmission. 20,21

Uncommon clinical disorders linked to mitochondrial toxicity include neuropathy, myopathy, cardiomyopathy, pancreatitis, hepatic steatosis, and lactic acidosis. Among these disorders, symptomatic lactic acidosis and hepatic steatosis may have a female preponderance.^{22,23} These syndromes have similarities to two rare but life-threatening syndromes that occur during pregnancy, most often during the third trimester: the hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes, and low platelets (HELLP) syndrome, and acute hepatic steatosis (with or without lactic acidosis). The frequency of HELLP syndrome or lactic acidosis and hepatic steatosis in pregnant HIV-infected women receiving NRTI drugs is unknown, but a small number of cases have been reported, including several in which didanosine and stavudine were used in combination during pregnancy. Nonfatal cases of lactic acidosis also have been reported in pregnant women receiving combination didanosine/stavudine.²⁴ Thus, clinicians should not prescribe combination didanosine/stavudine for pregnant (or even non-pregnant) adults (see <u>Adult and Adolescent ARV Guidelines</u>).

Some studies have reported that NRTI use in pregnant women is associated with depletion of mtDNA in the placenta, albeit without evidence of ultrastructural damage to placental cells; altered maternal and fetal mitochondrial protein synthesis; and reduced levels of fetal mtDNA.^{25,26} However, no adverse clinical outcomes were linked to these findings.

For ARV-naive pregnant women, abacavir in combination with lamivudine is considered a preferred dual NRTI combination. This combination offers the advantage of once daily dosing and is well tolerated in pregnancy.²⁷ Testing for the HLA-B*5701 allele should be performed and documented as negative before starting abacavir, and women should be educated about symptoms of hypersensitivity reactions.

Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (tenofovir) with emtricitabine or lamivudine is the NRTI component in some preferred regimens for non-pregnant adults and, based on increased experience with use in pregnancy, oncedaily dosing, enhanced activity against hepatitis B, and less frequent toxicity compared to zidovudine/lamivudine, is considered a preferred combination in pregnancy. Although there have been concerns about

bone and growth abnormalities in infants exposed to tenofovir *in utero*, the duration and clinical significance of study findings require further evaluation (see <u>Tenofovir Disoproxil Furmarate</u>).

Based on efficacy studies in preventing perinatal transmission and extensive experience with safe use in pregnancy, zidovudine/lamivudine also remains a preferred dual NRTI combination for ARV-naive pregnant women.

NNRTIs and Pregnancy

Efavirenz is an alternative NNRTI for non-pregnant adults. Although increasing data on use of efavirenz in pregnancy are reassuring, because of concerns regarding potential teratogenicity, efavirenz^{28,29} is not recommended for initiation in ARV-naive women in the first 8 weeks of pregnancy (see <u>Teratogenicity</u> and <u>HIV-Infected Pregnant Women Who are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Treatment</u>).

Efavirenz remains a preferred agent for initial therapy in ARV-naive pregnant women because of extensive experience with use in pregnancy and because of its availability in a once-daily single-pill regimen which can facilitate better adherence. Efavirenz based ARV regimens should be initiated after the first eight weeks of pregnancy with accurate dating parameters. Rilpivirine is part of an alternative regimen for non-pregnant adults with pre-treatment HIV RNA <100,000 copies/mL and CD4 T lymphocyte cell count >200 cells/mm³ and there is sufficient data from use in pregnancy to recommend it similarly as an alternative agent for ARV-naive pregnant women. Nevirapine is not recommended for ARV-naive pregnant women or for non-pregnant adults because of greater potential for adverse events, complex lead-in dosing, and low barrier to resistance. Safety and PK data on etravirine in pregnancy are insufficient to recommend use of these NNRTI drugs in ARV-naive women.

PIs and Pregnancy

Atazanavir/ritonavir and darunavir/ritonavir are the preferred PI drugs for use in ARV-naive pregnant women, based on efficacy studies in adults and experience with use in pregnancy (see Table 7 for dosing considerations). The alternative PI is lopinavir/ritonavir for which there is extensive clinical experience and PK data in pregnancy, but which requires twice daily dosing in pregnancy and can cause issues with nausea. PK data and extensive clinical experience do exist for nelfinavir in pregnancy, but the rate of virologic response to nelfinavir-based regimens was lower than lopinavir/ritonavir or efavirenz-based regimens in clinical trials of initial therapy in non-pregnant adults. Because of its lower antiviral activity, nelfinavir use is not recommended. Saguinavir is not recommended in ARV-naive pregnant women because it requires a baseline electrocardiogram due to potential PR and QT prolongation, has a high pill burden, and is not recommended for use in initial therapy for non-pregnant adults. Indinavir may be associated with nephrolithiasis and has a higher pill burden than many other PI drugs; therefore, it is also not recommended for use in ARV-naive pregnant women. Both atazanavir and indinavir are associated with increased indirect bilirubin levels, which theoretically may increase the risk of hyperbilirubinemia in neonates although pathologic elevations have not been seen in studies to date. In an analysis from PHACS, in utero exposure to atazanavir compared to other drugs was associated with risk of late language emergence at 12 months, but that was no longer significant at 24 months.^{31,32} Data on use in pregnancy are too limited to recommend routine use of fosamprenavir and tipranavir/ritonavir in pregnant women, although they can be considered for women who are intolerant of other agents or who require tipranavir/ritonavir because of resistance.

Entry and Fusion Inhibitors and Pregnancy

Safety and PK data in pregnancy are insufficient to recommend use of the entry inhibitors enfuvirtide and maraviroc in ARV-naive women during pregnancy. Use of these agents can be considered for women who have failed therapy with several other classes of ARV drugs after consultation with HIV and obstetric specialists.

Integrase Inhibitors and Pregnancy

PK, safety and other data on the use of the integrase inhibitor raltegravir during pregnancy are available and increasing; cART regimens including raltegravir can be considered as preferred regimens in ARV-naive pregnant women as they are for ARV-naive non-pregnancy adults. ^{13,33-37} Clinical trial data from non-pregnant adults suggest a more rapid viral decay with the use of raltegravir compared to efavirenz. ³⁸ Case series have reported rapid viral decay with the use of raltegravir initiated late in pregnancy to achieve viral suppression and reduce the risk of perinatal HIV transmission, but no comparative data are available in pregnancy. ^{33,36,39-42} The rate of viral decay with raltegravir compared to efavirenz in late-presenting pregnant women is currently under investigation. ⁴³ A case report of marked elevation of liver transaminases after initiation of raltegravir in late pregnancy, which resolved rapidly after stopping the drug, suggests that monitoring of transaminases may be indicated with use of this strategy. ⁴⁴ There are currently no data on the use of dolutegravir or elvitegravir in pregnancy; thus these drugs cannot be recommended for ARV-naive pregnant women at this time.

Pharmacologic Boosters

There are currently no data on the use of cobicistat in pregnancy; thus this drug cannot be recommended for ARV-naive pregnant women at this time.

References

- 1. Minkoff H, Augenbraun M. Antiretroviral therapy for pregnant women. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1997;176(2):478-489. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9065202.
- 2. Mirochnick M, Capparelli E. Pharmacokinetics of antiretrovirals in pregnant women. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2004;43(15):1071-1087. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15568888.
- 3. Roustit M, Jlaiel M, Leclercq P, Stanke-Labesque F. Pharmacokinetics and therapeutic drug monitoring of antiretrovirals in pregnant women. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2008;66(2):179-195. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18537960.
- Reyataz [package insert]. Bristol-Myers Squibb. 2011. Available at http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda docs/label/2011/021567s025lbl.pdf. Accessed June 17, 2016.
- 5. Stek AM, Mirochnick M, Capparelli E, et al. Reduced lopinavir exposure during pregnancy. *AIDS*. 2006;20(15):1931-1939. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16988514.
- 6. Villani P, Floridia M, Pirillo MF, et al. Pharmacokinetics of nelfinavir in HIV-1-infected pregnant and nonpregnant women. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2006;62(3):309-315. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16934047.
- 7. Bryson YJ, Mirochnick M, Stek A, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of nelfinavir when used in combination with zidovudine and lamivudine in HIV-infected pregnant women: Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group (PACTG) Protocol 353. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2008;9(2):115-125. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18474496.
- 8. Mirochnick M, Best BM, Stek AM, et al. Lopinavir exposure with an increased dose during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2008;49(5):485-491. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18989231.
- 9. Read JS, Best BM, Stek AM, et al. Pharmacokinetics of new 625 mg nelfinavir formulation during pregnancy and postpartum. *HIV Med*. 2008;9(10):875-882. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18795962.
- 10. Bouillon-Pichault M, Jullien V, Azria E, et al. Population analysis of the pregnancy-related modifications in lopinavir pharmacokinetics and their possible consequences for dose adjustment. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2009;63(6):1223-1232. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19389715.
- 11. Best BM, Stek AM, Mirochnick M, et al. Lopinavir tablet pharmacokinetics with an increased dose during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2010;54(4):381-388. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20632458.
- 12. Watts DH, Stek A, Best BM, et al. Raltegravir pharmacokinetics during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25162818.
- 13. Blonk M, Colbers A, Hidalgo-Tenorio C, et al. Raltegravir in HIV-1 infected pregnant women: pharmacokinetics, safety and efficacy. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25944344.
- 14. Brinkman K, ter Hofstede HJ, Burger DM, Smeitink JA, Koopmans PP. Adverse effects of reverse transcriptase inhibitors: mitochondrial toxicity as common pathway. *AIDS*. 1998;12(14):1735-1744. Available at

- http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9792373.
- 15. Birkus G, Hitchcock MJ, Cihlar T. Assessment of mitochondrial toxicity in human cells treated with tenofovir: comparison with other nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2002;46(3):716-723. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11850253.
- 16. Saitoh A, Haas RH, Naviaux RK, Salva NG, Wong JK, Spector SA. Impact of nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors on mitochondrial DNA and RNA in human skeletal muscle cells. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2008;52(8):2825-2830. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18541728.
- 17. Calkins K, Devaskar SU. Fetal origins of adult disease. *Curr Probl Pediatr Adolesc Health Care*. 2011;41(6):158-176. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21684471.
- 18. Hogg K, Price EM, Hanna CW, Robinson WP. Prenatal and perinatal environmental influences on the human fetal and placental epigenome. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2012;92(6):716-726. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23047650.
- 19. Kirmse B, Hobbs CV, Peter I, et al. Abnormal newborn screens and acylcarnitines in HIV-exposed and ARV-exposed infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2013;32(2):146-150. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22935866.
- 20. Mofenson LM, Watts DH. Safety of pediatric HIV elimination: the growing population of HIV- and antiretroviral-exposed but uninfected infants. *PLoS Med*. 2014;11(4):e1001636. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781352.
- 21. Jao J, Abrams EJ. Metabolic complications of in utero maternal HIV and antiretroviral exposure in HIV-exposed infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2014;33(7):734-740. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24378947.
- 22. Bolhaar MG, Karstaedt AS. A high incidence of lactic acidosis and symptomatic hyperlactatemia in women receiving highly active antiretroviral therapy in Soweto, South Africa. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2007;45(2):254-260. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17578788.
- 23. Currier JS. Sex differences in antiretroviral therapy toxicity: lactic acidosis, stavudine, and women. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2007;45(2):261-262. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17578789.
- 24. Mandelbrot L, Kermarrec N, Marcollet A, et al. Case report: nucleoside analogue-induced lactic acidosis in the third trimester of pregnancy. *AIDS*. 2003;17(2):272-273. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12545093.
- 25. Hernandez S, Moren C, Lopez M, et al. Perinatal outcomes, mitochondrial toxicity and apoptosis in HIV-treated pregnant women and in-utero-exposed newborn. *AIDS*. 2012;26(4):419-428. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22156962.
- 26. Gingelmaier A, Grubert TA, Kost BP, et al. Mitochondrial toxicity in HIV type-1-exposed pregnancies in the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Antivir Ther*. 2009;14(3):331-338. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19474467.
- 27. Shapiro RL, Hughes MD, Ogwu A, et al. Antiretroviral regimens in pregnancy and breast-feeding in Botswana. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;362(24):2282-2294. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20554983.
- 28. Ford N, Calmy A, Mofenson L. Safety of efavirenz in the first trimester of pregnancy: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2011;25(18):2301-2304. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21918421.
- 29. Ford N, Mofenson L, Shubber Z, et al. Safety of efavirenz in the first trimester of pregnancy: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2014;28 Suppl 2:S123-131. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24849471.
- 30. Floridia M, Ravizza M, Masuelli G, et al. Atazanavir and lopinavir profile in pregnant women with HIV: tolerability, activity and pregnancy outcomes in an observational national study. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2014;69(5):1377-1384. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24370933.
- 31. Rice ML, Zeldow B, Siberry GK, et al. Evaluation of risk for late language emergence after in utero antiretroviral drug exposure in HIV-exposed uninfected infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2013;32(10):e406-413. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24067563.
- 32. Sirois PA, Huo Y, Williams PL, et al. Safety of perinatal exposure to antiretroviral medications: developmental outcomes in infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2013;32(6):648-655. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23340561.
- 33. Taylor N, Touzeau V, Geit M, et al. Raltegravir in pregnancy: a case series presentation. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2011;22(6):358-360. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21680678.

- 34. Best BM, Capparelli EV, Stek A, et al. Raltegravir pharmacokinetics during pregnancy. Presented at: ICAAC. 2010. Boston. MA.
- 35. McKeown DA, Rosenvinge M, Donaghy S, et al. High neonatal concentrations of raltegravir following transplacental transfer in HIV-1 positive pregnant women. *AIDS*. 2010;24(15):2416-2418. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20827058.
- 36. Pinnetti C, Baroncelli S, Villani P, et al. Rapid HIV-RNA decline following addition of raltegravir and tenofovir to ongoing highly active antiretroviral therapy in a woman presenting with high-level HIV viraemia at week 38 of pregnancy. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2010;65(9):2050-2052. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20630894.
- 37. Jaworsky D, Thompson C, Yudin MH, et al. Use of newer antiretroviral agents, darunavir and etravirine with or without raltegravir, in pregnancy: a report of two cases. *Antivir Ther*. 2010;15(4):677-680. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20587860.
- 38. Lennox JL, DeJesus E, Lazzarin A, et al. Safety and efficacy of raltegravir-based versus efavirenz-based combination therapy in treatment-naive patients with HIV-1 infection: a multicentre, double-blind randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2009;374(9692):796-806. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19647866.
- 39. Westling K, Pettersson K, Kaldma A, Naver L. Rapid decline in HIV viral load when introducing raltegravir-containing antiretroviral treatment late in pregnancy. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2012;26(12):714-717. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23101466.
- 40. Cha A, Shaikh R, Williams S, Berkowitz LL. Rapid reduction in HIV viral load in late pregnancy with raltegravir: a case report. *J Int Assoc Provid AIDS Care*. 2013;12(5):312-314. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23695227.
- 41. De Hoffer L, Di Biagio A, Bruzzone B, et al. Use of raltegravir in a late presenter HIV-1 woman in advanced gestational age: case report and literature review. *J Chemother*. 2013;25(3):181-183. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23783144.
- 42. Nobrega I, Travassos AG, Haguihara T, Amorim F, Brites C. Short communication: Use of raltegravir in late-presenting HIV-infected pregnant women. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 2013;29(11):1451-1454. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23731224.
- 43. Evaluating the Response to Two Antiretroviral Medication Regimens in HIV-Infected Pregnant Women, Who Begin Antiretroviral Therapy between 28 and 36 Weeks of Pregnancy, for the prevention of mother-to-child transmission. United States National Institute of Health website http://ClinicalTrials.gov. 2015. Available at https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01618305?term=p1081&rank=1. Accessed May 27, 2015.
- 44. Renet S, Closon A, Brochet MS, Bussieres JF, Boucher M. Increase in transaminase levels following the use of raltegravir in a woman with a high HIV viral load at 35 weeks of pregnancy. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can.* 2013;35(1):68-72. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23343800.

Table 6. What to Start: Initial Combination Regimens for Antiretroviral-Naive Pregnant Women (page 1 of 2)

These recommendations are for pregnant women who have never received antiretroviral therapy (ART) previously (i.e., antiretroviral-naive) and are predicated on lack of evidence of resistance to regimen components. See <u>Table 7</u> for more information on specific drugs and dosing in pregnancy. Within each drug class, regimens are listed alphabetically, and the order does not indicate a ranking of preference. It is recommended that women who become pregnant while on a stable ARV regimen with viral suppression remain on that same regimen.

Drug	Comments
Preferred Regimens	
available in pregnancy, and no evidence to da	onstrating optimal efficacy and durability with acceptable toxicity and ease of use, PK data the of teratogenic effects or established adverse outcomes for mother/fetus/newborn. To be preferred for women who may stop ART during the postpartum period.
Preferred Two-NRTI Backbone	
ABC/3TC	Available as FDC. Can be administered once daily. ABC <u>should not be used</u> in patients who test positive for HLA-B*5701 <u>because of risk of hypersensitivity reaction. ABC/3TC with ATV/r or with EFV is not recommended if pretreatment HIV RNA >100,000 copies/mL.</u>
TDF/FTC or 3TC	TDF/FTC available as FDC. Either TDF/FTC or TDF and 3TC can be administered once daily. TDF has potential renal toxicity, thus TDF-based dual NRTI combinations should be used with caution in patients with renal insufficiency.
ZDV/3TC	Available as FDC. NRTI combination with most experience for use in pregnancy but has disadvantages of requirement for twice-daily administration and increased potential for hematologic toxicities.
Preferred PI Regimens	
ATV/r plus a Preferred Two-NRTI Backbone	Once-daily administration. Extensive experience in pregnancy. Maternal hyperbilirubinemia
DRV/r plus a Preferred Two-NRTI Backbone	Better tolerated than LPV/r. PK data available. Increasing experience with use in pregnancy. Must be used twice daily in pregnancy.
Preferred NNRTI Regimen	
EFV plus a Preferred Two-NRTI Backbone Note: May be initiated after the first 8 weeks of pregnancy	Concern because of birth defects seen in primate study; risk in humans is unclear (see Teratogenicity and Table 7). Postpartum contraception must be ensured. Preferred regimen in women who require co-administration of drugs with significant interactions with PIs or the convenience of co-formulated, single-tablet, once-daily regimen.
Preferred Integrase Inhibitor Regimen	
RAL plus a Preferred Two-NRTI Backbone	PK data available and increasing experience in pregnancy. Rapid viral load reduction. Useful when drug interactions with PI regimens are a concern. Twice-daily dosing required.
Alternative Regimens	
Regimens with clinical trial data demonstrating limited, data are lacking or incomplete on terat	efficacy in adults but one or more of the following apply: experience in pregnancy is ogenicity, or regimen is associated with dosing, formulation, toxicity, or interaction issues
PI Regimens	
LPV/r plus a Preferred Two-NRTI Backbone	Abundant experience and established PK in pregnancy. More nausea than preferred agents. Twice-daily administration. Once-daily LPV/r is not recommended for use in pregnant women.
NNRTI Regimen	
RPV/TDF/FTC (or RPV plus a Preferred Two-NRTI Backbone)	RPV not recommended with pretreatment HIV RNA >100,000 copies/mL or CD4 cell count <200 cells/mm³. Do not use with PPIs. PK data available in pregnancy but relatively little experience with use in pregnancy. Available in co-formulated single-pill once daily regimen.

Table 6. What to Start: Initial Combination Regimens for Antiretroviral-Naive Pregnant Women (page 2 of 2)

Drug	Comments	
Insufficient Data in Pregnancy to Recomme	end Routine Use in ART-Naive Women	
Drugs that are approved for use in adults but lack adequate pregnancy-specific PK or safety data		
DTG	No data on use of DTG in pregnancy	
EVG/COBI/TDF/FTC Fixed Drug Combination	No data on use of EVG/COBI component in pregnancy.	
FPV	Limited data on use in pregnancy.	
MVC	MVC requires tropism testing before use. Few case reports of use in pregnancy.	
СОВІ	No data on use of COBI (including co-formulations with ATV or DRV) in pregnancy.	
Not Recommended Drugs whose use is not recommended becaus populations	e of toxicity, lower rate of viral suppression or because not recommended in ART-naive	
ABC/3TC/ZDV	Generally not recommended due to inferior virologic efficacy.	
d4T	Not recommended due to toxicity.	
ddl	Not recommended due to toxicity.	
IDV/r	Nephrolithiasis, maternal hyperbilirubinemia.	
NFV	Lower rate of viral suppression with NFV compared to LPV/r or EFV in adult trials.	
RTV	RTV as a single PI is not recommended because of inferior efficacy and increased toxicity.	
SQV/r	Not recommended based on potential toxicity and dosing disadvantages. Baseline ECG is recommended before initiation of SQV/r because of potential PR and QT prolongation; contraindicated with pre-existing cardiac conduction system disease. Limited data in pregnancy. Large pill burden. Twice daily dosing required.	
ETR	Not recommended in ART-naive populations	
NVP	Not recommended because of greater potential for adverse events, complex lead-in dosing, and low barrier to resistance. NVP should be used with caution when initiating ART in women with CD4 cell count >250 cells/mm³. Use NVP and ABC together with caution; both can cause hypersensitivity reactions within the first few weeks after initiation.	
T20	Not recommended in ART-naive populations	
TPV/r	Not recommended in ART-naive populations	

Key to Acronyms: 3TC = lamivudine; ABC = abacavir; ART = antiretroviral therapy; ARV = antiretroviral; ATV/r = atazanavir/ritonavir; CD4 = CD4 T lymphocyte cell; COBI = cobicistat; d4T = stavudine; ddI = didanosine; DTG = dolutegravir; DRV/r = darunavir/ritonavir; ECG = electrocardiogram; EFV = efavirenz; ETR = etravirine; EVG = elvitegravir; FDC = fixed-dose combination; FPV = fosamprenavir; FTC = emtricitabine; IDV/r = indinavir/ritonavir; LPV/r = lopinavir/ritonavir; MVC = maraviroc; NFV = nelfinavir; NRTI = nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NNRTI = non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NVP = nevirapine; PI = protease inhibitor; PPI = proton pump inhibitor; PK = pharmacokinetic; RAL = raltegravir; RPV = rilpivirine; RTV = ritonavir; SQV/r = saquinavir/ritonavir; T20 = enfuvirtide; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; TPV = tipranavir; ZDV = zidovudine

Table 7. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy^a (page 1 of 16)

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy
	NRTIs alone is not recommended	regimens, usually including two NRTIs for treatment of HIV infection. See text for	with either an NNRTI or one or more PIs. or discussion of potential maternal and
Abacavir (ABC) Ziagen (3TC/ABC) Epzicom	ABC (Ziagen) Tablet: • 300 mg Solution: • 20 mg/mL Epzicom: • ABC 600 mg plus 3TC 300 mg tablet	Standard Adult Doses ABC (Ziagen): • 300 mg twice daily or 600 mg once daily, without regard to food Epzicom: • 1 tablet once daily without regard to food Trizivir:	High placental transfer to fetus. ^b No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 2-fold increase in overall birth defects). Hypersensitivity reactions occur in approximately 5% to 8% of non-pregnant individuals; a much smaller percentage are fatal and are usually associated with
(ZDV/3TC/ABC) Trizivir (DTG/ABC/3TC) Triumeq	Trizivir: • ABC 300 mg plus 3TC 150 mg plus ZDV 300 mg tablet Triumeg: • Dolutegravir 50 mg plus ABC 600 mg plus 3TC 300 mg	1 tablet twice daily without regard to food PK in Pregnancy: PK not significantly altered in pregnancy. Dosing in Pregnancy: No change in dose indicated.	re-challenge. Rate in pregnancy is unknown. Testing for HLA-B*5701 identifies patients at risk of reactions and should be done and documented as negative before starting ABC. Patients should be educated regarding symptoms of hypersensitivity reaction.
Didanosine (ddl) Videx Videx EC	ddl (Videx) Buffered Tablets (Non-EC): No longer available Solution: 10 mg/mL oral solution Videx EC (EC Beadlets) Capsules: 125 mg 200 mg 250 mg 400 mg Generic Delayed-Release Capsules: 200 mg 250 mg 400 mg - 250 mg - 400 mg	Standard Adult Doses Body Weight ≥60 kg: • 400 mg once daily With TDF: • 250 mg once daily; take 1/2 hour before or 2 hours after a meal. Body Weight <60kg: • 250 mg once daily With TDF: • 200 mg once daily; take 1/2 hour before or 2 hours after a meal. Note: Preferred dosing with oral solution is twice daily (total daily dose divided into 2 doses); take 1/2 hour before or 2 hours after a meal. PK in Pregnancy: • PK not significantly altered in pregnancy. Dosing in Pregnancy: • No change in dose indicated.	Low-moderate placental transfer to fetus. ^b In the APR, an increased rate of birth defects with ddl compared to general population was noted after both first-trimester (20/413, 4.8%; 95% CI, 3.0% to 7.4%) and later exposure (20/460, 4.3%; 95% CI 2.7% to 6.6%). No specific pattern of defects was noted and clinical relevance is uncertain. ddl should not be used with d4T. Lactic acidosis, sometimes fatal, has been reported in pregnant women receiving ddl and d4T together.

Table 7. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy^a (page 2 of 16)

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy
Emtricitabine	FTC (Emtriva)	Standard Adult Dose(s)	High placental transfer to fetus.b
(FTC)	Capsules:	FTC (Emtriva)	No evidence of human teratogenicity
Emtriva	• 200 mg	<u>Capsule</u> :	(can rule out 2-fold increase in overall
	Oral Solution:	• 200 mg once daily without regard to	birth defects).
	• 10 mg/mL	food	If HBV-coinfected, it is possible that a
(FTC/TDF)	Truvada:	Oral Solution:	hepatitis B flare may occur if the drug is stopped postpartum; see HIV/Hepatitis B
Truvada	• FTC 200 mg plus TDF 300 mg	• 240 mg (24 mL) once daily without regard to food	Virus Coinfection.
	tablet	Truvada:	
(FTC/TDF/EFV)	Atripla:	• 1 tablet once daily without regard to	
Atripla	• FTC 200 mg plus TDF 300 mg	food	
(570 (70 5 (90)))	plus EFVc 600 mg tablet	Atripla:	
(FTC/TDF/RPV) Complera	Complera: • FTC 200 mg plus TDF 300 mg	1 tablet once daily at or before	
Compiora	plus RPV 25 mg tablet	bedtime. Take on an empty	
(FTC/TDF/EVG/	Stribild:	stomach to reduce side effects.	
COBI)	• FTC 200 mg plus TDF 300 mg	Complera:	
Stribild	plus EVG 150 mg plus COBI	1 tablet once daily with food	
	150 mg tablet	Stribild:	
		• 1 tablet once daily with food	
		PK in Pregnancy: • PK not significantly altered in	
		pregnancy.	
		Dosing in Pregnancy:	
		No change in dose indicated.	
Lamivudine	3TC (Epivir)	Standard Adult Dose(s)	High placental transfer to fetus. ^b
(3TC)	Tablets:	3TC (Lamivudine):	No evidence of human teratogenicity
Epivir	• 150 mg	• 150 mg twice daily or 300 mg once	(can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall
	• 300 mg	daily, without regard to food	birth defects).
	Oral Solution:	Combivir:	If HBV-coinfected, it is possible that an
	• 10 mg/mL	1 tablet twice daily without regard to food	HBV flare may occur if the drug is stopped; see HIV/Hepatitis B Virus
(3TC/ZDV)	Combivir:		Coinfection.
Combivir	• 3TC 150 mg plus ZDV 300 mg	Epzicom:1 tablet once daily without regard to	
(070 (486)	tablet	food	
(3TC/ABC) Epzicom	Epzicom:	Trizivir:	
	• 3TC 300 mg plus ABC 600 mg tablet	• 1 tablet twice daily without regard to	
(3TC/ZDV/ABC)	Trizivir:	food	
Trizivir	• 3TC 150 mg plus ZDV 300 mg	PK in Pregnancy:	
	plusABC 300 mg tablet	PK not significantly altered in	
(3TC/ABC/DTG)	Triumeq:	pregnancy.	
Triumeq	• 3TC 300 mg plus ABC 600 mg	Dosing in Pregnancy: No change in dose indicated.	
	plus DTG 50 mg	- INO Grange in dose indicated.	

Table 7. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy^a (page 3 of 16)

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy
Stavudine (d4T) Zerit	d4T (Zerit) Capsules: 15 mg 20 mg 30 mg 40 mg Oral Solution: 1 mg/mL following reconstitution	Standard Adult Dose(s) Body Weight ≥60 kg: • 40 mg twice daily without regard to meals Body Weight <60 kg: • 30 mg twice daily without regard to meals PK in Pregnancy: • PK not significantly altered in pregnancy. Dosing in Pregnancy: • No change in dose indicated.	High placental transfer. ^b No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 2-fold increase in overall birth defects). d4T should not be used with ddl or ZDV. Lactic acidosis, sometimes fatal, has been reported in pregnant women receiving ddl and d4T together.
Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate (TDF) Viread (TDF/FTC) Truvada (TDF/FTC/EFV) Atripla (TDF/FTC/RPV) Complera (TDF/FTC/EVG/COBI) Stribild	TDF (Viread) Tablet: • 300 mg Powder: • 40 mg/1 g oral powder Truvada: • TDF 300 mg plus FTC 200 mg tablet Atripla: • TDF 300 mg plus FTC 200 mg plus EFV° 600 mg tablet Complera: • TDF 300 mg plus FTC 200 mg plus RPV 25 mg tablet Stribild: • TDF 300 mg plus FTC 200 mg plus EVG 150 mg plus COBI 150 mg tablet	Standard Adult Dose TDF (Viread) Tablet: • 300 mg once daily without regard to food Powder: • 8 mg/kg (up to maximum 300 mg), take with food Truvada: • 1 tablet once daily without regard to food Atripla: • 1 tablet once daily at or before bedtime. Take on an empty stomach to reduce side effects. Complera: • 1 tablet once daily with food Stribild: • 1 tablet once daily with food PK in Pregnancy: • AUC lower in third trimester than postpartum but trough levels adequate Dosing in Pregnancy:	High placental transfer to fetus. ^b No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects). Studies in monkeys (at doses approximately 2-fold higher than that for human therapeutic use) show decreased fetal growth and reduction in fetal bone porosity within 2 months of starting maternal therapy. Human studies demonstrate no effect on intrauterine growth, but data are conflicting about potential effects on growth outcomes later in infancy. If HBV-coinfected, it is possible that an HBV flare may occur if TDF is stopped; see HIV/Hepatitis B Virus Coinfection. Renal function should be monitored because of potential for renal toxicity.

Table 7. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy^a (page 4 of 16)

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy
Zidovudine (AZT, ZDV) <i>Retrovir</i>	ZDV (Retrovir) Capsule: • 100 mg Tablet: • 300 mg Oral Solution: • 10 mg/mL Intravenous Solution: • 10 mg/mL	Standard Adult Dose(s) ZDV (Retrovir): • 300 mg BID or 200 mg TID, without regard to food Active Labor: • 2 mg/kg IV loading dose, followed by 1 mg/kg/hour continuous infusion from beginning of active labor until delivery Combivir:	High placental transfer to fetus.b No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).
(ZDV/3TC) Combivir (ZDV/3TC/ABC) Trizivir	Combivir: • ZDV 300 mg plus 3TC 150 mg tablet Trizivir: • ZDV 300 mg plus 3TC 150 mg plus ABC 300 mg tablet	Tablet twice daily, without regard to food Trizivir: Tablet twice daily, without regard to food PK in Pregnancy: PK not significantly altered in pregnancy. Dosing in Pregnancy: No change in dose indicated.	

Table 7. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy^a (page 5 of 16)

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy
	ended for use in combination regimin women; unclear if increased in p		reactions, including hepatic toxicity and
			Moderate placental transfer to fetus. ^b Potential fetal safety concern: cynomolgus monkeys receiving EFV during the first trimester at a dose resulting in plasma levels comparable to systemic human therapeutic exposure had 3 of 20 infants with significant CNS or other malformations. In humans, there is no increase in overall birth defects with first-trimester EFV exposure. However, in humans with first-trimester exposure, there have been 6 retrospective case report of CNS defects and 1 prospective case report of anophthalmia with facial clefts. The relative risk with first-trimester exposure is unclear. Non-pregnant women of childbearing potential should undergo pregnancy testing before EFV initiation and counseling about potential risk to the fetus and desirability of avoiding pregnancy while on EFV-containing regimens. Alternate ARV regimens that do not include EFV should be strongly considered in women who are planning to become pregnant or who are sexually active and not using effective contraception. Because the risk of neural tube defects is restricted to the first 5–6 weeks of pregnancy and pregnancy is rarely recognized before 4–6 weeks of pregnancy, and unnecessary ARV drug changes during pregnancy may be associated with loss of viral control and increased risk of perinatal transmission, EFV may be continued in pregnant women receiving an EFV-based regimen who present for antenatal care in the first
			trimester (see HIV-Infected Pregnant Women Who are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Treatment).

Table 7. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy^a (page 6 of 16)

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy
Etravirine (ETR) Intelence	Tablets: • 25 mg • 100 mg • 200 mg	Standard Adult Dose(s): • 200 mg twice daily with food PK in Pregnancy: • PK data in pregnancy (n = 26) suggest 1.2–1.6 fold increased etravirine exposure during pregnancy. Dosing in Pregnancy: • No change in dose indicated.	Variable placental transfer, usually in the moderate to high categories, ranging from 0.19–4.25 (data from 18 mother-infant pairs). ^b Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits.
Nevirapine (NVP) Viramune Viramune XR (Extended Release) Note: Generic available for 200 mg tablets	NVP (Viramune) Tablets: • 200 mg Oral Suspension: • 50 mg/5 mL Viramune XR Tablets: • 100 mg • 400 mg	Standard Adult Dose: • 200 mg once daily Viramune immediate release for 14 days (lead-in period); thereafter, 200 mg twice daily or 400 mg (Viramune XR tablet) once daily, without regard to food. • Repeat lead-in period if therapy is discontinued for >7 days. • In patients who develop mild-to-moderate rash without constitutional symptoms during lead-in, continue lead-in dosing until rash resolves, but ≤28 days total. PK in Pregnancy: • PK not significantly altered in pregnancy. Dosing in Pregnancy: • No change in dose indicated.	High placental transfer to fetus. ^b No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects and 2-fold increase in risk of birth defects in more common classes, cardiovascular and genitourinary). Increased risk of symptomatic, often rash-associated, and potentially fatal liver toxicity among women with CD4 counts ≥250/mm³ when first initiating therapy; pregnancy does not appear to increase risk. NVP should be initiated in pregnant women with CD4 cell counts ≥250 cells/mm³ only if benefit clearly outweighs risk because of potential increased risk of life-threatening hepatotoxicity in women with high CD4 cell counts. Elevated transaminase levels at baseline may increase the risk of NVP toxicity. Women who become pregnant while taking NVP-containing regimens and are tolerating them well can continue therapy, regardless of CD4 cell count.

Table 7. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy^a (page 7 of 16)

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy
Rilpivirine (RPV) Endurant (RPV/TDF/FTC) Complera	RPV (Endurant) Tablets: • 25 mg Complera: • RPV 25 mg plus TDF 300 mg plus FTC 200 mg tablet	Standard Adult Dose RPV (Endurant): • 25 mg once daily with food Complera: • 1 tablet once daily with food PK in Pregnancy: • RPV AUC and trough concentration reduced 20% to 30% in pregnancy compared with postpartum, but nearly all pregnant women exceeded target exposure. Dosing in Pregnancy: • No dosing adjustment is needed for RPV during pregnancy.	Moderate to high placental transfer to fetus. ^b No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits. Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans.

Table 7. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy^a (page 8 of 16)

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy			
Protease Inhibitors Pls are recommended for use in combination regimens with 2 NRTI drugs. Hyperglycemia, new onset or exacerbation of diabetes mellitus, and diabetic ketoacidosis reported with PI use; unclear if pregnancy increases risk. Conflicting data regarding preterm delivery in women receiving PIs (see Combination Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Pregnancy Outcomes).						
Atazanavir	Atazanavir (Reyataz)	Standard Adult Dose	Low placental transfer to fetus.b			
(ATV)	Capsules:	Atazanavir (Reyataz)	No evidence of human teratogenicity			
Reyataz	• 100 mg	ARV-Naive Patients	(can rule out 2-fold increase in overall			
Note: Must be	• 150 mg	Without RTV Boosting:	birth defects).			
combined with low-	• 200 mg	• ATV 400 mg once daily with food; ATV	Must be given as low-dose RTV-boosted			
dose RTV boosting in pregnancy	• 300 mg	without RTV boosting is not recommended	regimen in pregnancy.			
	Oral Powder:	when used with TDF, H2-receptor antagonists, or PPIs, or during pregnancy.	Effect of in utero ATV exposure on infant			
Atazanavir/ Cobicistat	• 50 mg packet		indirect bilirubin levels is unclear. Non-			
(ATV/COBI)	Evotaz:	With RTV Boosting:	pathologic elevations of neonatal			
Evotaz	• ATV 300 mg plus COBI	ATV 300 mg plus RTV 100 mg once daily with food	hyperbilirubinemia have been observed in some but not all clinical trials to date.			
	150 mg	When combined with EFV in ARV-naive				
	-	patients: ATV 400 mg plus RTV 100 mg once daily with food	Oral powder (but <u>not</u> capsules) contains phenylalanine, which can be harmful to patients with phenylketonuria.			
		ARV-Experienced Patients:	patients with pricing iteration.			
		ATV 300 mg plus RTV 100 mg once daily with food				
		Do not use with PPIs or EFV.				
		If combined with an H ₂ -receptor antagonist: ATV 300 mg plus RTV 100 mg once daily with food				
		If combined with an H ₂ -receptor antagonist and TDF: ATV 400 mg plus RTV 100 mg once daily with food				
		Powder Formulation:				
		No dosing has been established for body weight >25 kg.				
		Evotaz:				
		One tablet once daily with food.				
		PK in Pregnancy				
		Atazanavir (Reyataz):				
		 ATV concentrations reduced during pregnancy; further reduced when given concomitantly with TDF or H₂-receptor antagonist. 				
		Evotaz:				
		No PK studies in human pregnancy.				
		Dosing in Pregnancy				
		Atazanavir (Reyataz):				
		Use of unboosted ATV is not recommended during pregnancy.				

Table 7. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy^a (page 9 of 16)

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	eviation) Formulation Dosing Recommendations		Use in Pregnancy	
		 Use of ATV not recommended for treatment-experienced pregnant women taking TDF and an H₂-receptor antagonist. Use of an increased dose (400 mg ATV plus 100 mg RTV once daily with food) during the second and third trimesters results in plasma concentrations equivalent to those in non-pregnant adults on standard dosing. Although some experts recommend increased ATV dosing in all women during the second and third trimesters, the package insert recommends increased ATV dosing only for ARV-experienced pregnant women in the second and third trimesters also receiving either TDF or an H₂-receptor antagonist. 		
		Evotaz: • Insufficient data to make dosing recommendation.		
Darunavir (DRV) Prezista Note: Must be combined with low-dose ritonavir (RTV) or cobicistat (COBI) boosting Darunavir/ Cobicistat (DRV/COBI) Prezcobix	Tablets: • 75 mg • 150 mg • 600 mg • 800 mg Oral Suspension: • 100 mg/mL Tablet (Co-Formulated): • DRV 800 mg plus COBI 150 mg	recommendation. Standard Adult Dose ARV-Naive Patients: DRV 800 mg plus RTV 100 mg once daily with food DRV 800 mg plus COBI 150 mg once daily with food ARV-Experienced Patients: If No DRV Resistance Mutations: DRV 800 mg plus RTV 100 mg once daily with food DRV 800 mg plus COBI 150 mg once daily with food DRV 800 mg plus RTV 100 mg once daily with food If Any DRV Resistance Mutations: DRV 600 mg plus RTV 100 mg twice daily with food PK in Pregnancy: Decreased exposure in pregnancy with use of DRV/RTV. Dosing in Pregnancy: Once-daily dosing with DRV/RTV is not recommended during pregnancy. Twice-daily DRV/RTV dosing recommended for all pregnant women. Increased twice-daily DRV dose (DRV 800 mg plus RTV 100 mg with food) during pregnancy is being investigated. No pregnancy PK/safety data for DRV/COBI co-formulation, so not recommended for use in pregnancy.	Low placental transfer to fetus. ^b No evidence of teratogenicity in mice, rats, or rabbits. No evidence of human teratogenicity. Must be given as low-dose, RTV-boosted regimen.	

Table 7. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy^a (page 10 of 16)

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy
Fosamprenavir (FPV) Lexiva (a prodrug of amprenavir) Note: Must be combined with low-dose RTV boosting in pregnancy	Tablets: • 700 mg Oral Suspension: • 50 mg/mL	Standard Adult Dose ARV-Naive Patients: • FPV 1400 mg twice daily without food, or • FPV 1400 mg plus RTV 100 or 200 mg once daily without food, or • FPV 700 mg plus RTV 100 mg twice daily without food PI-Experienced Patients (Once-Daily Dosing Not Recommended): • FPV 700 mg plus RTV 100 mg twice daily without food Co-Administered with EFV: • FPV 700 mg plus RTV 100 mg twice daily without food; or • FPV 1400 mg plus RTV 300 mg once daily without food PK in Pregnancy: • With RTV boosting, AUC is reduced during the third trimester. However, exposure is greater during the third trimester with boosting, and trough concentrations achieved during the third trimester were adequate for patients without PI resistance mutations. Dosing in Pregnancy: • Use of unboosted FPV or oncedaily FPV with RTV boosting is not recommended during pregnancy. No change in standard boosted twice-daily dose (FPV 700 mg plus RTV 100 mg twice daily without food) indicated.	Low placental transfer to fetus. ^b Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. Increased fetal loss in rabbits but no increase in defects in rats and rabbits. Must be given as low-dose RTV-boosted regimen in pregnancy.

Table 7. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy^a (page 11 of 16)

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy	
Indinavir (IDV) Crixivan Note: Must be combined with low-dose RTV boosting in pregnancy	Capsules: • 100 mg • 200 mg • 400 mg	Standard Adult Dose Without RTV Boosting: IDV 800 mg every 8 hours, taken 1 hour before or 2 hours after meals; may take with skim milk or low-fat meal. With RTV Boosting: IDV 800 mg plus RTV 100 mg twice daily without regard to meals PK in Pregnancy: IDV exposure markedly reduced when administered without RTV boosting during pregnancy. IDV exposure low with IDV 400 mg/RTV 100 mg dosing during pregnancy; no PK data available on alternative boosted dosing regimens in pregnancy.	Minimal placental transfer to fetus. ^b No evidence of human teratogenicity in cases reported to the APR (can rule out 2-fold increase in overall birth defects). Must be given as low-dose, RTV-boosted regimen in pregnancy. Theoretical concern regarding increased indirect bilirubin levels, which may exacerbate physiologic hyperbilirubinemia in neonates. Minimal placental passage mitigates this concern.	
		Dosing in Pregnancy: Use of unboosted IDV is not recommended during pregnancy.		
Lopinavir/Ritonavir (LPV/r) Kaletra	Tablets (Co-Formulated): • LPV 200 mg plus RTV 50 mg • LPV 100 mg plus RTV 25 mg Oral Solution: • LPV 400 mg plus RTV 100 mg/5 mL	Standard Adult Dose: LPV 400 mg plus RTV 100 mg twice daily, or LPV 800 mg plus RTV 100 mg once daily Tablets: Take without regard to food. Oral Solution: Take with food. With EFV or NVP (PI-Naive or PI-Experienced Patients): LPV 500 mg plus RTV 125 mg tablets twice daily without regard to meals (use a combination of two LPV 200 mg plus RTV 50 mg tablets and one LPV 100 mg plus RTV 50 mg tablets and one LPV 100 mg plus RTV 53 mg plus RTV 133 mg oral solution (6.5 mL) twice daily with food With twice-daily dosing, LPV exposure is reduced in pregnant women receiving standard adult doses; increasing the dose by 50% results in exposure equivalent to that seen in non-pregnant adults receiving standard doses.	Low placental transfer to fetus. ^b No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 2-fold increase in overall birth defects). Oral solution contains 42% alcohol and 15% propylene glycol and is not recommended for use in pregnancy. Once-daily LPV/r dosing is not recommended during pregnancy.	

Table 7. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy^a (page 12 of 16)

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy
		No PK data are available for once-daily dosing in pregnancy.	
Nelfinavir (NFV) Viracept	Tablets: • 250 mg • 625 mg (Tablets can be dissolved in small amount of water.) Powder for Oral Suspension: • 50 mg/g	 Dosing in Pregnancy: Once daily dosing is not recommended during pregnancy. Some experts recommend that an increased dose (i.e., LPV 600 mg plus RTV 150 mg twice daily without regard to meals) should be used in the second and third trimesters, especially in PI-experienced pregnant women and women who start treatment during pregnancy with a baseline viral load >50 copies/mL. If standard dosing is used, monitor virologic response and LPV drug levels, if available. Standard Adult Dose: 1250 mg twice daily or 750 mg three times daily with food PK in Pregnancy: 	Minimal to low placental transfer to fetus. ^b No evidence of human teratogenicity; can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects and 2-fold increase in risk of birth defects in more common classes, cardiovascular, and genitourinary. Contains aspartame; should not be used in individuals with
		 Dosing in Pregnancy: Three-times-daily dosing with 750 mg with food not recommended during pregnancy. No change in standard dose (1250 mg twice daily with food) indicated. 	phenylketonuria.
Saquinavir (SQV) Invirase Note: Must be combined with low-	Tablet: • 500 mg Capsule: • 200 mg	Standard Adult Dose: • SQV 1000 mg plus RTV 100 mg twice a day with food or within 2 hours after a meal PK in Pregnancy:	Low placental transfer to fetus. ^b Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits. Must be boosted with low-dose RTV.
dose RTV for PK boosting		 Based on limited data, SQV exposure may be reduced in pregnancy but not sufficient to warrant a dose change. Dosing in Pregnancy: No change in dose indicated. 	Baseline ECG recommended before starting because PR and/or QT interval prolongations have been observed. Contraindicated in patients with preexisting cardiac conduction system disease.

Table 7. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy^a (page 13 of 16)

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy
Tipranavir (TPV) Aptivus Note: Must be combined with RTV for PK boosting	Capsules: • 250 mg Oral Solution: • 100 mg/mL	Standard Adult Dose: • TPV 500 mg plus RTV 200 mg twice daily With RTV Tablets: • Take with food. With RTV Capsules or Solution: • Take without regard to food; however, administering with food may help make the dose more tolerable. PK in Pregnancy: • Limited PK data in human pregnancy. Dosing in Pregnancy: • Insufficient data to make dosing recommendation.	Moderate placental transfer to fetus reported in one patient. ^b Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits. Must be given as low-dose RTV-boosted regimen.
Entry Inhibitors			
Enfuvirtide (T20) Fuzeon	Injectable: • Supplied as lyophilized powder. Each vial contains 108 mg of T20; reconstitute with 1.1 mL of sterile water for injection for SQ delivery of approximately 90 mg/ 1 mL.	T20 is indicated for advanced HIV disease and must be used in combination with other ARVs to which the patient's virus is susceptible by resistance testing. Standard Adult Dose: 90 mg (1 mL) twice daily without regard to meals PK in Pregnancy: No PK data in human pregnancy. Dosing in Pregnancy: Insufficient data to make dosing recommendation.	Minimal to low placental transfer to fetus. ^b No data on human teratogenicity.
Maraviroc (MVC) Selzentry	Tablets: • 150 mg • 300 mg	Standard Adult Dose: • 300 mg twice daily with or without food • Maraviroc must be used in combination with other ARVs in HIV-1-infected adults with only CCR5-tropic virus. Dose Adjustments: • Increase to 600 mg BID when used with potent CYP3A inducers: EFV, ETR, and rifampin. • Decrease to 150 mg BID when used with CYP3A inhibitors: all PIs except tipranavir/ritonavir, itraconazole. PK in Pregnancy: • No PK studies in human pregnancy Dosing in Pregnancy: • Insufficient data to make dosing recommendation.	No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits.

Table 7. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy^a (page 14 of 16)

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations			Use in Pregnancy
Integrase Inhibitors		1			
Dolutegravir (DTG) <i>Tivicay</i>	Tablets: • 50 mg	Standard Adult Dose ARV-Naive or ARV-Experienced but Integrase Inhibitor-Naive Patients DTG (Tivicay): • 1 tablet once daily, without regard to food. DTG/ABC/3TC (Triumeq): • 1 tablet once daily, without regard to food. ARV-Naive or ARV-Experienced but Integrase Inhibitor-Naive if Given with EFV, FPV/r, TPV/r, or Rifampin; or Integrase Inhibitor-Experienced DTG (Tivicay): • 1 tablet twice daily, without regard to food. PK in Pregnancy: • No PK data in human pregnancy. Dosing in Pregnancy: • Insufficient data to make dosing recommendation.			Unknown placental transfer to fetus. Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No
(DTG/ABC/3TC) Triumeq	Triumeg: • DTG 50 mg plus ABC 600 mg plus 300-mg 3TC tablet				evidence of teratogenicity in mice, rats, or rabbits.
Elvitegravir (EVG) Vitekta Elvitegravir/ Cobicistat/ Emtricitabine/	Tablet (Vitekta): • 85 mg • 150 mg Tablet (Stribild): • EVG 150 mg plus COBI 150 mg plus FTC 200	Standard Adult Dose (Vitekta): • EVG (as Vitekta) must be used in combination with an HIV PI co-administered with RTV and another ARV drug. Recommended Elvitegravir Dosage Taken Once Daily with Food (All Drugs Administered Orally)			No data on placental transfer of EVG/COBI are available. Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits.
Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate	mg plus TDF 300 mg	Dosage of Elvitegravir	Dosage of Concomitant PI	Dosage of Concomitant RTV	
(EVG/COBI/FTC/ TDF) Stribild		85 mg once daily	Atazanavir 300 mg once daily Lopinavir 400 mg twice daily	100 mg once daily 100 mg twice daily	
		150 mg once daily	Darunavir 600 mg twice daily Fosamprenavir 700 mg twice daily	100 mg twice daily 100 mg twice daily	
			Tipranavir 500 mg twice daily	200 mg twice daily	
		One tablet PK in Pregna No PK stud Dosing in Pregnation Output Dosing in Pregnation Dosing i	lies in human pregr egnancy: data to make dosir	nancy.	

Table 7. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy^a (page 15 of 16)

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy	
Raltegravir (RAL) Isentress Film-Coated Tablets: • 400 mg Chewable Tablets: • 25 mg • 100 mg		Standard Adult Dose: • 400 mg twice daily without regard to food With Rifampin: • 800 mg twice daily without regard to food PK in Pregnancy: • Decreased levels in third trimester not of sufficient magnitude to warrant change in dosing. Dosing in Pregnancy: • No change in dose indicated.	High placental transfer to fetus. ^b Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. Increased skeletal variants in rats, no increase in defects in rabbits. Case report of markedly elevated liver transaminases with use in late pregnancy. Severe, potentially lifethreatening and fatal skin and hypersensitivity reactions have been reported in non-pregnant adults. Chewable tablets contain phenylalanine.	
Pharmacoenhancers				
Cobicistat (COBI) Tybost Elvitegravir/Cobicistat/ Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate/Emtricitabine (EVG/COBI/ TDF/FTC) Stribild Atazanavir/Cobicistat (ATV/COBI) Evotaz Darunavir/Cobicistat (DRV/COBI) Prezcobix	Tablet: • 150mg Tablet (Coformulated): • EVG 150 mg plus COBI 150 mg plus TDF 300 mg plus FTC 200 mg Tablet (Coformulated): • ATV 300 mg plus COBI 150 mg Tablet (Coformulated): • DRV 800 mg plus COBI 150 mg	Standard Adult Dose Tybost: • As an alternative PK booster with atazanavir or darunavir: One tablet (150 mg) once daily with food. Stribild, Evotaz, Prezcobix: • One tablet once daily with food. PK in Pregnancy: • No PK studies in human pregnancy. Dosing in Pregnancy: • Insufficient data to make dosing recommendation.	No data on placental transfer of COBI are available. Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits.	
Ritonavir (RTV) Norvir	Capsules: • 100 mg Tablets: • 100 mg Oral Solution: • 80 mg/mL	Standard Adult Dose as PK Booster for Other Pls: • 100–400 mg per day in 1–2 divided doses (refer to other Pls for specific dosing recommendations.) Tablet: • Take with food. Capsule or Oral Solution: • To improve tolerability, recommended to take with food if possible. PK in Pregnancy: • Lower levels during pregnancy compared with postpartum. Dosing in Pregnancy: No dosage adjustment necessary when used as booster.	Low placental transfer to fetus. ^b No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 2-fold increase in overall birth defects). Should only be used as low dose booster for other Pls. Oral solution contains 43% alcohol and therefore may not be optimal for use in pregnancy.	

Table 7. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy^a (page 16 of 16)

High: >0.6

Moderate: 0.3-0.6

Low: <0.3

Key to Abbreviations: 3TC = lamivudine; ABC = abacavir; APR = Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry; ARV = antiretroviral; ATV = atazanavir; AUC = area under the curve; CD4 = CD4 T lymphocyte; CI = confidence interval; CNS = central nervous system; COBI = cobicistat; d4T = stavudine; ddI = didanosine; DTG = dolutegravir; DRV = darunavir; EC = enteric coated; ECG = electrocardiogram; EFV = efavirenz; EVG = elvitegravir; FDA = Food and Drug Administration; FPV/r = fosamprenavir/ritonavir; FTC = emtricitabine; HBV = hepatitis B virus; IDV = indinavir; IV = intravenous; LPV = lopinavir; LPV/r = lopinavir/ritonavir; MVC = maraviroc; NFV = nelfinavir; NNRTI = non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NRTI = nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; PI = protease inhibitor; PK = pharmacokinetic; RAL = raltegravir; RPV = rilpivirine; SQ = subcutaneous; SQV = saquinavir; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; TID = three times a day; TPV = tipranavir; TPV/r = tipranavir/ritonavir; T20 = enfuvirtide; ZDV = zidovudine

^a Individual antiretroviral drug dosages may need to be adjusted in renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see <u>Adult Guidelines, Appendix</u> B, Table 7).

^b Placental transfer categories—Mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

^c See <u>Teratogenicity</u> for discussion of EFV and risks in pregnancy.

HIV-Infected Pregnant Women Who Have Never Received Antiretroviral Drugs (Antiretroviral Naive) (Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Panel's Recommendations

- All HIV-infected pregnant women should receive combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) to reduce the risk of perinatal transmission of HIV (AI). The choice of regimen should take into account current adult treatment guidelines, what is known about the use of specific drugs in pregnancy, and the risk of teratogenicity (see <u>Table 6</u> and <u>Table 7</u>).
- Consideration should be given to initiating cART as soon as HIV is diagnosed during pregnancy; earlier viral suppression is
 associated with lower risk of transmission. This decision may be influenced by CD4 T lymphocyte count, HIV RNA levels, and
 maternal conditions (e.g., nausea and vomiting) (AIII). The benefits of early cART must be weighed against potential fetal effects of
 drug exposure.
- Antiretroviral drug-resistance studies should be performed to guide selection of antiretroviral regimens in women whose HIV RNA
 levels are above the threshold for resistance testing (i.e., >500 to 1,000 copies/mL) unless drug-resistance studies have already
 been performed (see Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy) (AI). If cART is initiated before the results
 of the drug-resistance assays are available, the antiretroviral regimen should be modified, if necessary, based on the resistance
 assay results (BIII).
- If there is no evidence of resistance, cART regimens that are preferred for the treatment of antiretroviral-naive HIV-infected pregnant women include: a dual nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor combination (abacavir/lamivudine, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate/emtricitabine or lamivudine, or zidovudine/lamivudine) and either a ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitor (atazanavir/ritonavir or darunavir/ritonavir), a non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (efavirenz initiated after 8 weeks of pregnancy), or an integrase inhibitor (raltegravir) (see Table 6) (AIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

Pregnant women with HIV infection should receive standard clinical, immunologic, and virologic evaluation. They should be counseled about and offered combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) containing at least 3 drugs for their own health and for prevention of perinatal transmission of HIV, consistent with the principles of treatment for non-pregnant adults. Use of a cART regimen that successfully reduces plasma HIV RNA to undetectable levels substantially lowers the risk of perinatal transmission of HIV, lessens the need for consideration of elective cesarean delivery as an intervention to reduce risk of transmission, and reduces risk of antiretroviral (ARV) drug resistance in the mother. In an analysis of perinatal transmission in a total of 12,486 infants delivered by HIV-infected women between 2000 and 2011 in the United Kingdom and Ireland, the overall perinatal transmission rate declined from 2.1% in 2000–2001 to 0.46% in 2010–2011. The transmission risk was significantly lower (0.09%) in women with viral loads <50 copies/mL compared with a risk of 1.0% in women with viral loads of 50–399 copies/mL, regardless of the type of ARV regimen or mode of delivery. The continued decline in perinatal transmission rates was attributed to increasing number of women on cART at the time of conception and reductions in the proportion of women either initiating cART late in pregnancy or never receiving cART prior to delivery. Similar data from Canada in 1,707 HIV-infected pregnant women followed between 1997 and 2010 showed perinatal transmission was 1% in all mothers receiving cART and 0.4% if more than 4 weeks of cART was received.³

ARV drug-resistance testing should be performed before starting an ARV regimen if plasma HIV RNA levels are above the threshold for resistance testing (that is, >500 to 1,000 copies/mL). For details regarding genotypic and phenotypic resistance testing, see <u>Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines</u>. Given the association of earlier viral suppression with lower risk of transmission as discussed above, <u>during pregnancy</u>, <u>cART should be initiated as soon as HIV is diagnosed</u> without waiting for the results of resistance testing, with modification of the regimen, if required, when test results return. Because clinically significant resistance to protease inhibitors (PI) is less common than resistance to non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs) in ARV-naive

individuals, a PI-based cART regimen generally should be considered in this situation.

Table 6 outlines the ARV regimens that are preferred for treatment of HIV-infected pregnant women who have never received ARV drugs. These recommendations are based on available data indicating acceptable toxicity profiles, ease of use, pharmacokinetic data in pregnancy, and lack of evidence of teratogenic effects or established adverse outcomes for mother, fetus or newborn in addition to optimal ARV efficacy and durability. Preferred regimens include a dual nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI) combination (abacavir/lamivudine, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (tenofovir)/emtricitabine or lamivudine, or zidovudine/lamivudine) in combination with either a ritonavir-boosted PI (atazanavir/ritonavir or darunavir/ritonavir), an NNRTI (efavirenz initiated after 8 weeks of pregnancy) or an integrase inhibitor (raltegravir). Alternative regimens include those demonstrated to be effective in adults but with more limited data on use in pregnancy, lack of or incomplete data on teratogenicity, and dosing, formulation, toxicity or interaction issues. Selection of these regimens should be based on individual patient characteristics and needs (see Table 7).

Susceptibility of fetuses to the potential teratogenic effects of drugs is dependent on multiple factors, including the gestational age of the fetus at exposure (see the <u>Teratogenicity</u> section). Although fetal effects of ARV drugs are not fully known, in general, reports of birth defects in fetuses/infants of women enrolled in observational studies who receive ARV regimens during pregnancy have been reassuring. There have been no differences in the rates of birth defects for first-trimester compared with either later gestational exposures or with rates reported in the general population.⁴⁻⁷ The decision about when to initiate cART should be carefully considered by health care providers and their patients. The discussion should include an assessment of a woman's health status and the benefits and risks to her health and the potential risks and benefits to the fetus.

Although most perinatal transmission events occur late in pregnancy or during delivery, recent analyses suggest that early control of viral replication may be important in preventing transmission. In a French study, lack of early and sustained control of maternal viral load appeared strongly associated with residual perinatal transmission of HIV.8 That study evaluated risk factors for perinatal transmission in women with HIV RNA <500 copies/mL at the time of delivery; overall HIV transmission was 0.5%. Women who transmitted were less likely to have received ARV drugs at the time of conception than nontransmitters and were less likely to have HIV RNA <500 copies/mL at 14, 28, and 32 weeks' gestation. By multivariate analysis, plasma viral load at 30 weeks' gestation was significantly associated with transmission. Among women starting ARV drugs during pregnancy, the gestational age at initiation of therapy did not differ between groups (30 weeks), but viral load tended to decrease earlier in the nontransmitters, although this was not statistically significant. The number of patients initiating therapy during pregnancy was too small to assess whether initiation of ARV drugs in the first trimester was associated with lower rates of transmission. These data suggest that early and sustained control of HIV viral replication is associated with decreasing residual risk of transmission and favor initiating cART sufficiently early in ARV-naive women to suppress viral replication by the third trimester. Other studies have demonstrated that baseline viral load is significantly associated with the likelihood of viral suppression by delivery, and thus, prompt initiation of cART would be particularly important in HIV-infected pregnant women who have high baseline viral loads. 9-11 However, the potential benefits of earlier initiation of cART must be balanced against the unknown long-term outcome of first-trimester ARV exposure to the fetus.

cART is recommended for all HIV-infected pregnant women, regardless of viral load. Although rates of perinatal transmission are low in women with undetectable or low HIV RNA levels, there is no threshold below which lack of transmission can be ensured. 12-14 The mechanism by which ARV drugs reduce perinatal transmission of HIV is multifactorial. Although lowering maternal antenatal viral load is an important component of prevention in women with higher viral load, ARV prophylaxis is effective even in women with low viral load. 15-19 Additional mechanisms of protection include pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) and post-exposure prophylaxis of the infant. With PrEP, passage of the ARV drug across the placenta results in presence of drug levels sufficient for inhibition of viral replication in the fetus, particularly during the birth process when there is intensive viral exposure. Therefore, whenever possible, cART regimens initiated during pregnancy should include zidovudine or another NRTI with high transplacental passage, such as lamivudine, emtricitabine, tenofovir, or abacavir (see

Table 7). 20-23 With post-exposure prophylaxis, ARV drugs are administered to the infant after birth.

Some women may wish to restrict fetal exposure to ARV drugs while reducing the risk of HIV transmission to their infants. Use of zidovudine alone during pregnancy for prophylaxis of perinatal transmission is not optimal, but it could be an option for women with low viral loads (i.e., <1,000 copies/mL) on no ARV drugs. In the UK study discussed above, transmission rates were 0.7% for women receiving a triple-ARV drug regimen combined with planned cesarean delivery or with planned vaginal delivery and 0.5% in 464 women with HIV RNA levels <10,000 copies/mL who received single-drug prophylaxis with zidovudine combined with planned cesarean delivery, not significantly different between groups. Zidovudine single-drug prophylaxis is recommended in the British HIV Association guidelines for women with CD4 T lymphocyte counts >350 cells/mm³ and HIV RNA levels <10,000 copies/mL and wild-type virus who do not require treatment for their own health.²⁴ Time-limited administration of zidovudine during the second and third trimesters is less likely to induce development of resistance in women with low viral loads than in those with higher viral loads. This lower rate of resistance is likely because of the low level of viral replication and the short duration of exposure.²5,26 Women's choices after counseling to use or not use ARV drugs during pregnancy should be respected.

Raltegravir has been suggested for use in late pregnancy in women who have high viral loads because of its ability to rapidly suppress viral load (approximately 2-log copies/mL decrease by Week 2 of therapy). Two recent case series have reported the effect of adding raltegravir to cART regimens. In one, 4 women diagnosed with HIV infection in the third trimester experienced a mean viral load decline per week of 1.12 log after raltegravir was added to a standard ARV regimen. In the second publication, raltegravir was either initiated as part of a combination ARV regimen in nine ARV-naive women or added to an existing ARV regimen in five women who conceived on cART but had persistent viremia. Raltegravir was initiated at a gestational age of 34 weeks or later. The median exposure time to raltegravir was 17 days and the mean viral load decline was 2.6 log. Although no raltegravir-related side effects were noted in these reports, marked elevations in hepatic transaminases were reported in a single HIV-infected pregnant woman when raltegravir was added to an ARV regimen. Because the efficacy and safety of this approach has only been described in anecdotal reports, it cannot be routinely recommended at this time for women who are ARV-naive.

The cART regimen initiated during pregnancy can be modified after delivery to include simplified regimens that were not used in pregnancy because pregnancy safety data were insufficient. Decisions regarding continuation of an ARV regimen or which specific ARV agents to use should be made by women in consultation with their HIV care providers, taking into account current recommendations and life circumstances (see General Principles Regarding Use of Antiretroviral Drugs during Pregnancy).

- 1. Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in HIV-1-infected adults and adolescents. 2014. Available at http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lyguidelines/AdultandAdolescentGL.pdf. Accessed June 1, 2015.
- Townsend CL, Byrne L, Cortina-Borja M, et al. Earlier initiation of ART and further decline in mother-to-child HIV transmission rates, 2000–2011. AIDS. 2014;28(7):1049-1057. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24566097.
- 3. Forbes JC, Alimenti AM, Singer J, et al. A national review of vertical HIV transmission. *AIDS*. 2012;26(6):757-763. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22210635.
- 4. daCosta TP, Machado ES, al e. Malformations among HIV vertically exposed newborns—results from a Brazilian cohort study. Presented at: 6th IAS Conference on HIV Pathogenesis and Treatment and Prevention. 2011. Rome, Italy.
- 5. Watts DH, Huang S, Culnane M, et al. Birth defects among a cohort of infants born to HIV-infected women on antiretroviral medication. *J Perinat Med*. 2011;39(2):163-170. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21142844.
- 6. Knapp KM, Brogly SB, Muenz DG, et al. Prevalence of congenital anomalies in infants with in utero exposure to antiretrovirals. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2012;31(2):164-170. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21983213.

- 7. Floridia M, Mastroiacovo P, Tamburrini E, et al. Birth defects in a national cohort of pregnant women with HIV infection in Italy, 2001-2011. *BJOG*. 2013;120(12):1466-1475. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23721372.
- 8. Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, Rouzioux C, et al. Factors associated with mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 despite a maternal viral load <500 copies/ml at delivery: a case-control study nested in the French perinatal cohort (EPF-ANRS CO1). *Clin Infect Dis.* 2010;50(4):585-596. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20070234.
- 9. Read PJ, Mandalia S, Khan P, et al. When should HAART be initiated in pregnancy to achieve an undetectable HIV viral load by delivery? *AIDS*. 2012;26(9):1095-1103. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22441248.
- 10. Katz IT, Shapiro R, Li D, et al. Risk factors for detectable HIV-1 RNA at delivery among women receiving highly active antiretroviral therapy in the women and infants transmission study. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2010;54(1):27-34. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20065861.
- 11. Aziz N, Sokoloff A, Kornak J, et al. Time to viral load suppression in antiretroviral-naive and -experienced HIV-infected pregnant women on highly active antiretroviral therapy: implications for pregnant women presenting late in gestation. *BJOG*. 2013. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23924192.
- 12. Cooper ER, Charurat M, Mofenson L, et al. Combination antiretroviral strategies for the treatment of pregnant HIV-1-infected women and prevention of perinatal HIV-1 transmission. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2002;29(5):484-494. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11981365.
- 13. Mofenson LM, Lambert JS, Stiehm ER, et al. Risk factors for perinatal transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 in women treated with zidovudine. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Study 185 Team. *N Engl J Med*. 1999;341(6):385-393. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10432323.
- 14. Garcia PM, Kalish LA, Pitt J, et al. Maternal levels of plasma human immunodeficiency virus type 1 RNA and the risk of perinatal transmission. Women and Infants Transmission Study Group. *N Engl J Med.* 1999;341(6):394-402. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10432324.
- 15. Ioannidis JP, Abrams EJ, Ammann A, et al. Perinatal transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 by pregnant women with RNA virus loads <1000 copies/ml. *J Infect Dis*. 2001;183(4):539-545. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11170978.
- 16. Wade NA, Birkhead GS, Warren BL, et al. Abbreviated regimens of zidovudine prophylaxis and perinatal transmission of the human immunodeficiency virus. *N Engl J Med.* 1998;339(20):1409-1414. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9811915.
- 17. Jackson JB, Musoke P, Fleming T, et al. Intrapartum and neonatal single-dose nevirapine compared with zidovudine for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 in Kampala, Uganda: 18-month follow-up of the HIVNET 012 randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2003;362(9387):859-868. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/13678973.
- Petra Study T. Efficacy of three short-course regimens of zidovudine and lamivudine in preventing early and late transmission of HIV-1 from mother to child in Tanzania, South Africa, and Uganda (Petra study): a randomised, doubleblind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2002;359(9313):1178-1186. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11955535.
- 19. Moodley D, Moodley J, Coovadia H, et al. A multicenter randomized controlled trial of nevirapine versus a combination of zidovudine and lamivudine to reduce intrapartum and early postpartum mother-to-child transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. *J Infect Dis*. 2003;187(5):725-735. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12599045.
- 20. Hirt D, Urien S, Rey E, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of emtricitabine in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected pregnant women and their neonates. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2009;53(3):1067-1073. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19104016.
- 21. Hirt D, Urien S, Ekouevi DK, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of tenofovir in HIV-1-infected pregnant women and their neonates (ANRS 12109). *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2009;85(2):182-189. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18987623.
- 22. Moodley D, Pillay K, Naidoo K, et al. Pharmacokinetics of zidovudine and lamivudine in neonates following coadministration of oral doses every 12 hours. *J Clin Pharmacol*. 2001;41(7):732-741. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11452705.
- 23. Wade NA, Unadkat JD, Huang S, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of stavudine in HIV-infected pregnant women and their infants: Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group protocol 332. *J Infect Dis*. 2004;190(12):2167-2174. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15551216.

- 24. Taylor GP, Clayden P, Dhar J, et al. British HIV Association guidelines for the management of HIV infection in pregnant women 2012. *HIV Med*. 2012;13 Suppl 2:87-157. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22830373.
- 25. Read P, Costelloe S, Mullen J, et al. New mutations associated with resistance not detected following zidovudine monotherapy in pregnancy when used in accordance with British HIV Association guidelines. *HIV Med.* 2008;9(7):448-451. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18840150.
- 26. Larbalestier N, Mullen J, O'Shea S, et al. Drug resistance is uncommon in pregnant women with low viral loads taking zidovudine monotherapy to prevent perinatal HIV transmission. *AIDS*. 2003;17(18):2665-2667. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14685064.
- 27. Grinsztejn B, Nguyen BY, Katlama C, et al. Safety and efficacy of the HIV-1 integrase inhibitor raltegravir (MK-0518) in treatment-experienced patients with multidrug-resistant virus: a phase II randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2007;369(9569):1261-1269. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17434401.
- 28. Papendorp SG, van den Berk GE. Preoperative use of raltegravir-containing regimen as induction therapy: very rapid decline of HIV-1 viral load. *AIDS*. 2009;23(6):739. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19279447.
- 29. Pinnetti C, Baroncelli S, Villani P, et al. Rapid HIV-RNA decline following addition of raltegravir and tenofovir to ongoing highly active antiretroviral therapy in a woman presenting with high-level HIV viraemia at week 38 of pregnancy. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2010;65(9):2050-2052. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20630894.
- 30. McKeown DA, Rosenvinge M, Donaghy S, et al. High neonatal concentrations of raltegravir following transplacental transfer in HIV-1 positive pregnant women. *AIDS*. 2010;24(15):2416-2418. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20827058.
- 31. Westling K, Pettersson K, Kaldma A, Naver L. Rapid decline in HIV viral load when introducing raltegravir-containing antiretroviral treatment late in pregnancy. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2012;26(12):714-717. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23101466.
- 32. Nobrega I, Travassos AG, Haguihara T, Amorim F, Brites C. Short communication: Use of raltegravir in late-presenting HIV-infected pregnant women. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 2013;29(11):1451-1454. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23731224.
- 33. Renet S, Closon A, Brochet MS, Bussieres JF, Boucher M. Increase in Transaminase Levels Following the Use of Raltegravir in a Woman With a High HIV Viral Load at 35 Weeks of Pregnancy. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can*. 2013;35(1):68-72. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23343800.

HIV-Infected Pregnant Women Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy (Last

updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Panel's Recommendations

- In general, HIV-infected pregnant women receiving combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) who present for care during the first trimester should continue treatment during pregnancy, assuming the regimen is tolerated and effective in suppressing viral replication (HIV-1 viral load less than lower limits of detection of the assay) (AII).
- The Panel recommends that efavirenz be continued in pregnant women receiving efavirenz-based cART who present for antenatal care in the first trimester, provided the regimen is achieving virologic suppression (CIII).
- HIV antiretroviral drug-resistance testing should be performed to assist in the selection of active drugs when changing antiretroviral regimens in pregnant women on therapy with virologic failure and HIV RNA levels >1,000 copies/mL (AI). In individuals with HIV RNA levels >500 but <1,000 copies/mL, testing may be unsuccessful but should still be considered (BII) (see <u>Lack of Viral Suppression</u>).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

Women who have been receiving combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) for their HIV infection should continue treatment during pregnancy, assuming it is effective in suppressing viral replication and well-tolerated. Discontinuation of therapy could lead to an increase in viral load with possible decline in immune status and disease progression as well as adverse consequences for the fetus, including increased risk of HIV transmission. Continuation of cART is recommended when pregnancy is identified in HIV-infected women receiving cART.

HIV-infected women receiving cART who present for care during the first trimester should be counseled regarding the benefits and potential risks of administration of antiretroviral (ARV) drugs during this period. Providers should emphasize that continuation of cART is recommended. There are concerns regarding efavirenz use in the first trimester and potential for neural tube defects, based on non-human primate data and retrospective case reports (for more details see <u>Teratogenicity</u>). However, a recent meta-analysis including data on 2,026 women with first-trimester efavirenz exposure from 21 prospective studies did not find an increased relative risk (RR) of overall birth defects in infants born to women receiving efavirenz-based versus non-efavirenz-based regimens (RR 0.78, 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.56–1.08). One neural tube defect was identified, resulting in an incidence of 0.05% (95% CI, <0.01 to 0.28) similar to the incidence of neural tube defects in the general population. Although a 2- to 3-fold increased incidence of a rare outcome (e.g., neural tube defects [0.02% to 0.2% incidence in the United States]) cannot be ruled out given the limited data on first-trimester efavirenz exposure, the available data suggest that first-trimester exposure is not associated with a large (i.e., 10-fold or more) increase in risk of neural tube defects.

The risk of neural tube defects is restricted to the first 5 to 6 weeks of pregnancy. Pregnancy is rarely recognized before 5 to 6 weeks, and changes in ARV drugs during pregnancy may be associated with lack of virologic suppression at the end of pregnancy and increased risk of perinatal transmission.² The Panel on Treatment of HIV-Infected Pregnant Women and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission recommends that efavirenz be continued in pregnant women receiving efavirenz-based cART who present for antenatal care in the first trimester, provided that the ARV regimen is resulting in virologic suppression.

Resistance testing should be performed in pregnant women on cART when a change in active drugs is being considered because of virologic failure with HIV RNA levels >1,000 copies/mL. In individuals with HIV RNA levels >500 but <1,000 copies/mL, testing may be unsuccessful but it still should be considered. The results can be used to select a new regimen with a greater likelihood of suppressing viral replication to undetectable levels.

Pregnant women for whom nevirapine-containing regimens result in virologic suppression and who are tolerating therapy may be continued on that regimen, regardless of current CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell count. Although hepatic toxicity is a concern in women starting a nevirapine-containing regimen who have CD4 cell counts >250 cells/mm³, an increased risk of hepatic toxicity has not been seen in women continuing nevirapine-based therapy that has resulted in CD4 counts >250 cell/mm³.

- 1. Ford N, Shubber Z, Jao J, Abrams EJ, Frigati L, Mofenson L. Safety of cotrimoxazole in pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24853309.
- 2. Floridia M, Ravizza M, Pinnetti C, et al. Treatment change in pregnancy is a significant risk factor for detectable HIV-1 RNA in plasma at end of pregnancy. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2010;11(6):303-311. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21239358.

HIV-Infected Pregnant Women Who Have Previously Received Antiretroviral Treatment or Prophylaxis but Are Not Currently Receiving Any Antiretroviral Medications (Last

updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Panel's Recommendations

- Obtain an accurate history of all prior antiretroviral regimens used for treatment of HIV disease or prevention of transmission, including virologic efficacy, tolerance to the medications, results of prior resistance testing, and any adherence issues (AIII).
- If HIV RNA is above the threshold for resistance testing (i.e., >500 copies/mL), antiretroviral drug-resistance studies should be performed before starting an antiretroviral drug regimen (see <u>Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy</u>) (AI).
- Consideration should be given to initiating combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) prior to receiving results of antiretroviral drugresistance studies in light of data demonstrating an association between earlier viral suppression and lower risk of HIV transmission. The antiretroviral regimen should be modified based on the results of the resistance assay, if necessary (BIII).
- Choose and initiate a cART regimen based on results of resistance testing if available and prior history of cART while avoiding drugs with known adverse potential for the mother or fetus/infant (AII).
- Consider obtaining a consultation with previously received antiretroviral drugs (BIII).
- Perform repeat antiretroviral drug-resistance testing (AI), assess adherence, and consult with an HIV treatment specialist to guide changes in ARV drugs in women who do not achieve virologic suppression on their antiretroviral regimens (AIII) (see Monitoring of the Woman and Fetus During Pregnancy).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong: B = Moderate: C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

During a previous pregnancy, HIV-infected women may have received antiretroviral (ARV) drugs solely for prevention of perinatal transmission. At any time in the past, they also may have discontinued ARV drugs given to them for treatment of their own disease. A small number of clinical trials or observational studies have generated information about effectiveness of combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) in individuals who previously received ARV prophylaxis. La Long-term data are limited about outcomes with therapy containing nevirapine initiated after the use of peripartum single-dose nevirapine. Diminished viral and clinical response to nevirapine-based cART has been observed if cART was initiated within 12 to 24 months after single-dose nevirapine exposure. Adding other ARV drugs to single-dose nevirapine (such as use of an ARV tail) decreases rates of nevirapine resistance [11-14] (see Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy).

There is concern that time-limited use of ARV drugs during pregnancy for prophylaxis of perinatal transmission may lead to genotypic resistance and, thus, reduced efficacy of these ARV drugs when used either for HIV therapy or during a subsequent pregnancy for prevention of perinatal transmission. Rates of resistance appear to be low, based on standard genotyping, after prophylaxis for prevention of perinatal transmission with cART consisting of zidovudine, lamivudine, and nevirapine. However, minority populations of virus with resistance to nevirapine or lamivudine have been detected using sensitive allele-specific polymerase chain reaction (PCR) techniques, particularly in women whose virus was inadequately suppressed during prophylaxis. Rates of minor, drug-resistant variants may be lower in women given longer or more complex ARV tails after stopping pregnancy-limited nevirapine-based cART. All, 14, 19-21 Only limited data are available on the impact of these resistance-conferring minority variants on prediction of virologic or clinical failure of subsequent cART, and the PCR-based assays are not widely available. However, in the OCTANE/A5208 study, while the presence of low-frequency minority viral variants with nevirapine resistance was associated with higher rates of viral failure in women starting nevirapine-based cART after receiving single-dose nevirapine for prevention of perinatal transmission, low-frequency minority

variants were not associated with higher rates of nevirapine-cART failure in women who had not had prior single-dose nevirapine exposure.²² Both standard and sensitive genotyping techniques appear to show a low rate of resistance to protease inhibitors (PIs) after pregnancy-limited use of PI-based combination ARV regimens for prophylaxis, but these results reflect assessments in only small numbers of women.^{18,23}

Treatment failure has not been demonstrated with reinitiation of cART regimens following prophylactic use in pregnancy for prevention of transmission. In ACTG 5227, 52 women who had previously received cART regimens for prevention of perinatal transmission, had no evidence of HIV drug resistance, and had an indication for restarting cART were prescribed a fixed-dose combination of efavirenz plus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate/emtricitabine once daily. After 6 months of therapy, 81% achieved plasma viral loads below the limit of detection; the virologic suppression rate was similar regardless of the drug class of the prior cART regimen and whether women had received such ARV regimens in one or more than one previous pregnancy. Data from the French Perinatal Cohort assessed virologic suppression with a PI-based cART regimen administered for prevention of perinatal transmission to women who had received ARV prophylaxis during a previous pregnancy. No differences in rates of undetectable viral load at delivery were noted among ARV-naive women when compared with those with previous prophylaxis or according to type of previous prophylaxis regimens received.²⁴ In addition, the National Study of HIV in Pregnancy and Childhood in the United Kingdom and Ireland found no increased risk of perinatal transmission in sequential pregnancies compared with one pregnancy at a time when most women received interventions for prevention of perinatal HIV transmission.²⁵ However, in a subsequent comparison between 5.372 ARV-naive pregnant women and 605 women who had previously received ARV but were on no ARV prior to the current pregnancy, ARV-experienced women had a slight increase in the risk of detectable viral load at delivery after receiving antenatal cART (aOR 1.27; 95% CI, 1.01–1.60). This risk was confined to those ARV-experienced women who received non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI)-based as opposed to PI-based therapy. ²⁶ Sufficiently large, prospective, observational studies and clinical trials are lacking by which we can definitively assess the effect of pregnancylimited ARV prophylaxis on virologic outcomes of subsequent ARV therapy.

It is reasonable to use results of initial resistance testing, if available, to make preliminary decisions about ARV regimens in women whose only previous exposure to ARV drugs was during pregnancy. However, interpretation of resistance testing after discontinuation of ARV drugs can be complex because drug-resistance testing is most accurate if performed while an individual is taking the ARV regimen or within 4 weeks of treatment discontinuation. In the absence of selective drug pressure, resistant virus may revert to wild-type virus, and although detection of drug-resistance mutations is informative for choosing a regimen, a negative finding does not rule out the presence of archived drug-resistant virus that could re-emerge once drugs are reinitiated. Therefore, when selecting a new regimen for use, all information including regimens received, viral response, laboratory testing (including HLA-B*5701 results), any tolerance or adherence problems, and the results of resistance testing should be taken into consideration. cART may be initiated before genotype results are available. Starting cART while genotype results are pending is particularly relevant after the first trimester as duration of cART ≥24 weeks has been associated with reduced transmission rates compared to shorter duration of cART. If cART is initiated before results are available the regimen should be modified, if necessary, based on resistance assay results. Careful monitoring of virologic response to the chosen ARV regimen is important.

If the chosen regimen produces an insufficient viral response, decisions about switching regimens should be guided by repeat resistance testing and assessment of medication adherence including, if available, relevant pharmacokinetic studies. These measures should be undertaken in consultation with an HIV treatment specialist.

Women may choose to discontinue cART for a variety of reasons, and the length of time between treatment termination and pregnancy may vary. In these cases, careful clinical and laboratory assessments are necessary before therapy is reinitiated during pregnancy. The evaluations should include a review of a woman's prior history of virologic response and medication toxicity and her adherence to therapy. The

appropriate choice of ARV regimen to be initiated during pregnancy will vary according to a woman's history of cART; the indication for stopping therapy; the effect of prior therapy on clinical, virologic, and immunologic status; and the results of past and current testing for resistance and for HLA-B*5701. It may be possible, for example, to restart the same regimen in a woman with a history of prior cART associated with successful suppression of viral load who then stopped all drugs simultaneously (or staggered discontinuation, if therapy was NNRTI-based) and who has no evidence of resistance. On the other hand, even health care providers experienced in HIV care may have difficulty with the selection of appropriate ARV regimens for women with advanced HIV disease, a history of extensive prior cART, or previous significant toxicity or nonadherence to ARV drugs. In such cases, restarting the prior regimen for a week or two before performing a resistance assay may yield more accurate results. In addition to obtaining genotypic resistance testing, it is strongly recommended that specialists in the treatment of HIV infection be consulted early during the pregnancy about the choice of a suitable cART regimen.

- 1. Vogler MA, Smeaton LM, Wright RL, et al. Combination antiretroviral treatment for women previously treated only in pregnancy: Week 24 results of AIDS clinical trials group protocol a5227. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;65(5):542-550. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24759064.
- 2. Aziz N, Sokoloff A, Kornak J, et al. Time to viral load suppression in antiretroviral-naive and -experienced HIV-infected pregnant women on highly active antiretroviral therapy: implications for pregnant women presenting late in gestation. *BJOG*. 2013. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23924192.
- 3. Huntington S, Thorne C, Anderson J, et al. Response to antiretroviral therapy (ART): comparing women with previous use of zidovudine monotherapy (ZDVm) in pregnancy with ART naive women. *BMC infectious diseases*. 2014;14:127. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24593018.
- 4. Geretti AM, Fox Z, Johnson JA, et al. Sensitive assessment of the virologic outcomes of stopping and restarting non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor-based antiretroviral therapy. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(7):e69266. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23874928.
- 5. Lockman S, Shapiro RL, Smeaton LM, et al. Response to antiretroviral therapy after a single, peripartum dose of nevirapine. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;356(2):135-147. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17215531.
- Chi BH, Sinkala M, Stringer EM, et al. Early clinical and immune response to NNRTI-based antiretroviral therapy among women with prior exposure to single-dose nevirapine. *AIDS*. 2007;21(8):957-964. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17457089.
- 7. Lockman S, Hughes MD, McIntyre J, et al. Antiretroviral therapies in women after single-dose nevirapine exposure. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;363(16):1499-1509. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20942666.
- Stringer JS, McConnell MS, Kiarie J, et al. Effectiveness of non-nucleoside reverse-transcriptase inhibitor-based antiretroviral therapy in women previously exposed to a single intrapartum dose of nevirapine: a multi-country, prospective cohort study. *PLoS Med.* 2010;7(2):e1000233. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20169113.
- 9. Mudiope PK, Kim S, Wabwire D, et al. Long-term clinical and immunologic outcomes of HIV-infected women with and without previous exposure to nevirapine. *Trop Med Int Health*. 2013;18(3):344-351. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23289497.
- 10. Coovadia A, Hunt G, Abrams EJ, et al. Persistent minority K103N mutations among women exposed to single-dose nevirapine and virologic response to nonnucleoside reverse-transcriptase inhibitor-based therapy. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2009;48(4):462-472. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19133804.
- Chi BH, Sinkala M, Mbewe F, et al. Single-dose tenofovir and emtricitabine for reduction of viral resistance to non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor drugs in women given intrapartum nevirapine for perinatal HIV prevention: an open-label randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2007;370(9600):1698-1705. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17997151.
- 12. McIntyre JA, Martinson N, Gray GE, et al. Single dose nevirapine combined with a short course of combivir for prevention of mother to child transmission of HIV-1 can significantly decrease the subsequent development of maternal and infant resistant virus. Presented at: 14th International HIV Drug Resistance Workshop, 2005. Québec City, Canada.
- 13. McMahon DK, Zheng L, Hitti J, et al. Greater suppression of nevirapine resistance with 21- vs 7-day antiretroviral regimens after intrapartum single-dose nevirapine for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2013;56(7):1044-1051. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23300238.

- 14. Palmer S, Boltz VF, Chow JY, et al. Short-course Combivir after single-dose nevirapine reduces but does not eliminate the emergence of nevirapine resistance in women. *Antivir Ther*. 2012;17(2):327-336. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22293443.
- 15. Perez H, Vignoles M, Laufer N, et al. Low rate of emergence of nevirapine and lamivudine resistance after post-partum interruption of a triple-drug regimen. *Antivir Ther*. 2008;13(1):135-139. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18389908.
- 16. Lehman DA, Chung MH, Mabuka JM, et al. Lower risk of resistance after short-course HAART compared with zidovudine/single-dose nevirapine used for prevention of HIV-1 mother-to-child transmission. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2009;51(5):522-529. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19502990.
- 17. Rowley CF, Boutwell CL, Lee EJ, et al. Ultrasensitive detection of minor drug-resistant variants for HIV after nevirapine exposure using allele-specific PCR: clinical significance. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 2010;26(3):293-300. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20334564.
- 18. Paredes R, Cheng I, Kuritzkes DR, Tuomala RE, with the Women and Infants Transmission Study Group. Postpartum antiretroviral drug resistance in HIV-1-infected women receiving pregnancy-limited antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS*. 2010;24(1):45-53. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19915448.
- 19. Hauser A, Sewangi J, Mbezi P, et al. Emergence of minor drug-resistant HIV-1 variants after triple antiretroviral prophylaxis for prevention of vertical HIV-1 transmission. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(2):e32055. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22384138.
- 20. Muro EP, Fillekes Q, Kisanga ER, et al. Intrapartum single-dose carbamazepine reduces nevirapine levels faster and may decrease resistance after a single dose of nevirapine for perinatal HIV prevention. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2012;59(3):266-273. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22134145.
- 21. Van Dyke RB, Ngo-Giang-Huong N, Shapiro DE, et al. A comparison of 3 regimens to prevent nevirapine resistance mutations in HIV-infected pregnant women receiving a single intrapartum dose of nevirapine. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2012;54(2):285-293. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22144539.
- 22. Boltz VF, Bao Y, Lockman S, et al. Low-frequency nevirapine (NVP)-resistant HIV-1 variants are not associated with failure of antiretroviral therapy in women without prior exposure to single-dose NVP. *J Infect Dis*. 2014. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24443547.
- 23. Gingelmaier A, Eberle J, Kost BP, et al. Protease inhibitor-based antiretroviral prophylaxis during pregnancy and the development of drug resistance. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2010;50(6):890-894. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20166821.
- 24. Briand N, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Previous antiretroviral therapy for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV does not hamper the initial response to PI-based multitherapy during subsequent pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2011;57(2):126-135. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21436712.
- 25. French CE, Thorne C, Tariq S, Cortina-Borja M, Tookey PA. Immunologic status and virologic outcomes in repeat pregnancies to HIV-positive women not on antiretroviral therapy at conception: a case for lifelong antiretroviral therapy? *AIDS*. 2014;28(9):1369-1372. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24685820.
- 26. French CE, Tookey PA, Cortina-Borja M, de Ruiter A, Townsend CL, Thorne C. Influence of short-course antenatal antiretroviral therapy on viral load and mother-to-child transmission in subsequent pregnancies among HIV-infected women. *Antivir Ther*. 2013;18(2):183-192. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23475123.

Monitoring of the Woman and Fetus During Pregnancy (Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Panel's Recommendations

- Plasma HIV RNA levels should be monitored at the initial visit (AI); 2 to 4 weeks after initiating (or changing) antiretroviral drug regimens (BI); monthly until RNA levels are undetectable (BIII); and then at least every 3 months during pregnancy (BIII). HIV RNA levels also should be assessed at approximately 34 to 36 weeks' gestation to inform decisions about mode of delivery (see <u>Transmission and Mode of Delivery</u>) and to inform decisions about optimal treatment of the newborn (see <u>Infant ARV Prophylaxis</u>) (AIII).
- CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell count should be monitored at the initial antenatal visit (AI) and at least every 3 months during
 pregnancy (BIII). Monitoring of CD4 cell count can be performed every 6 months in patients on combination antiretroviral therapy
 (cART) with consistently suppressed viral load who have CD4 counts well above the threshold for opportunistic infection risk) (CIII).
- Genotypic antiretroviral drug-resistance testing should be performed at baseline in all HIV-infected pregnant women with HIV RNA levels >1,000 copies/mL, testing may be unsuccessful but should still be considered (BII). Tests should be performed whether the women are antiretroviral-naive or currently on therapy (AIII).
- HIV drug-resistance studies should be performed before modifying antiretroviral regimens for those entering pregnancy with
 detectable HIV RNA levels that are above the threshold for resistance testing (i.e., >500 to 1,000 copies/mL) while receiving
 antiretroviral drugs. They should also be performed on women who have suboptimal viral suppression after starting ARV drugs
 during pregnancy (AII).
- Monitoring for complications of antiretroviral drugs during pregnancy should be based on what is known about the adverse effects of the drugs a woman is receiving (AIII).
- HIV-infected women taking cART during pregnancy should undergo standard glucose screening at 24 to 28 weeks' gestation (AIII).
 Some experts would perform earlier glucose screening in women receiving ongoing protease inhibitor-based regimens initiated before pregnancy, similar to recommendations for women with risk factors for glucose intolerance (BIII). For further information on protease inhibitors see Combination Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Pregnancy Outcome.
- Early ultrasound is recommended to confirm gestational age and, if scheduled cesarean delivery is necessary, to guide timing of the procedure (see <u>Transmission and Mode of Delivery</u>) (All).
- In women on effective cART, no perinatal transmissions have been reported after amniocentesis, but a small risk of transmission cannot be ruled out. Amniocentesis should be performed on HIV-infected women only after initiation of an effective cART regimen and, ideally, when HIV RNA levels are undetectable (BIII). In women with detectable HIV RNA levels in whom amniocentesis is deemed necessary, consultation with an expert should be considered (BIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

More frequent viral load monitoring is recommended in pregnant than non-pregnant individuals because of the importance of rapid and persistent viral suppression in preventing perinatal HIV transmission. In individuals who are adherent to their antiretroviral (ARV) regimen and do not harbor resistance mutations to the prescribed drugs, viral suppression is generally achieved in 12 to 24 weeks—although it may take longer in some patients and may be dependent on starting viral load. Most patients with adequate viral response at 24 weeks of treatment have had at least a 1 log viral load decrease within 1 to 4 weeks after starting therapy. Viral load should be monitored in HIV-infected pregnant women at the initial visit, 2 to 4 weeks after initiating or changing ARV regimens, monthly until undetectable, and at least every 3 months thereafter. If adherence is a concern, more frequent monitoring is recommended because of the potential increased risk of perinatal HIV infection associated with detectable HIV viremia during pregnancy.

Viral load also should be assessed at approximately 34 to 36 weeks' gestation to inform decisions about mode of delivery and about optimal treatment of the newborns (see Transmission and Mode of Delivery).

In HIV-infected pregnant women, CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell count should be monitored at the initial visit and at least every 3 months during pregnancy. CD4 cell counts can be performed every 6 months in patients who are clinically stable with consistently suppressed viral load who have CD4 counts well above

threshold for opportunistic infection risk). 1,3,4

Whenever feasible, ARV drug-resistance testing should be performed before initiation of ARV drugs if HIV RNA levels are above the threshold for resistance testing, but therapy should not be delayed once the blood is drawn and results are pending. If the results demonstrate resistance, then the regimen can subsequently be adjusted. Testing also should be performed on women taking an ARV regimen who have suboptimal viral suppression or who have persistent viral rebound to detectable levels after prior viral suppression on an ARV regimen (see Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy). Drug-resistance testing in the setting of virologic failure should be performed while patients are receiving ARV drugs or within 4 weeks after discontinuation of drugs. Even if more than 4 weeks have elapsed since the ARVs were discontinued, resistance testing can still provide useful information to guide therapy, though it may not detect previously selected resistance mutations. Genotypic testing is preferable to phenotypic testing because it costs less, has a faster turnaround time, and is more sensitive for detection of mixtures of wild-type and resistant virus.

Monitoring for potential complications of ARV drugs during pregnancy should be based on what is known about the adverse effects of the drugs a woman is receiving. For example, routine hematologic monitoring is recommended for women receiving zidovudine-containing regimens and routine renal monitoring should be recommended for women on tenofovir. Liver function should be monitored in all women receiving ARV drugs. Hepatic dysfunction has been observed in pregnant women on protease inhibitors (PI), and hepatic steatosis and lactic acidosis in pregnancy have been related to nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor use.

Women with CD4 cell counts >250 cells/mm³ were thought to be at risk of developing symptomatic, rash-associated hepatotoxicity within the first 18 weeks after initiation of nevirapine therapy. However, recent data either do not demonstrate the same association between nevirapine toxicity and CD4 cell counts among pregnant women,⁵ or demonstrate only a weak association.⁶ Additional data from a 2010 study suggest that abnormal liver transaminase levels at baseline may be more predictive of risk of nevirapine toxicity than CD4 cell count.⁶ Transaminase levels should be monitored more frequently and carefully in pregnant women initiating therapy with nevirapine, and they should also be watched for clinical symptoms of potential hepatotoxicity. The drug can be used cautiously with careful monitoring in women with mildly abnormal liver function tests at the time of ARV drug initiation.

Pregnancy increases the risk of hyperglycemia. PI drugs have been associated with increased risk of hyperglycemia, new-onset diabetes mellitus, exacerbation of existing diabetes mellitus, and diabetic ketoacidosis. However, the majority of studies in HIV-infected pregnant women have not shown an increased risk of glucose intolerance with PI-based regimens during pregnancy. A prospective study including detailed evaluations for glucose intolerance and insulin resistance among HIV-infected pregnant women did not find differences between women on PI-containing and non-PI-containing regimens. In both groups, the rate of impaired glucose tolerance was high (38%); but, this may be related to high body mass index and race/ethnicity among trial subjects. HIV-infected women receiving cART during pregnancy should receive the standard glucose screening at 24 to 28 weeks' gestation that is recommended for all pregnant women. Some experts would perform earlier glucose screening in women receiving ongoing PI-based cART initiated before pregnancy, similar to recommendations for women with risk factors for glucose intolerance.

Accurate estimation of date of delivery is critical to planning elective cesarean deliveries at 38 weeks' gestation to prevent perinatal transmission in HIV-infected women with elevated HIV RNA viral loads. Therefore, first-trimester ultrasound is recommended to confirm gestational age and to provide the most accurate estimation of gestational age at delivery (see <u>Transmission and Mode of Delivery</u>). In patients who are not seen until later in gestation, second-trimester ultrasound can be used for both anatomical survey and determination of gestational age.

Although data are still somewhat limited, the risk of HIV transmission does not appear to be increased with amniocentesis or other invasive diagnostic procedures in women receiving effective cART resulting in viral suppression. This is in contrast to the era before effective cART, during which invasive procedures such as

amniocentesis and chorionic villus sampling (CVS) were associated with a 2- to 4-fold increased risk of perinatal transmission of HIV. 16-19 Although no transmissions have occurred among 159 cases reported to date of amniocentesis or other invasive diagnostic procedures among women on effective cART, a small increase in risk of transmission cannot be ruled out. 20-23 HIV-infected women who have indications for invasive testing in pregnancy (e.g., abnormal ultrasound or aneuploidy screening) should be counseled about the potential risk of transmission of HIV along with other risks of the procedure and allowed to make an informed decision about testing. Some experts consider CVS and cordocentesis too risky to offer to HIV-infected women, and they recommend limiting invasive procedures to amniocentesis. At a minimum, HIV-infected pregnant women should receive effective cART before undergoing any invasive prenatal testing and, ideally, have an undetectable HIV RNA level at the time of the procedure. Consideration can also be given to noninvasive testing using cell-free fetal DNA to reduce the need for amniocentesis. 24 In women with detectable HIV RNA levels for whom amniocentesis is deemed necessary, consultation with an expert should be considered.

- Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in HIV-1-infected adults and adolescents. 2014. Available at http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lyguidelines/AdultandAdolescentGL.pdf. Accessed May 11, 2015.
- 2. Read PJ, Mandalia S, Khan P, et al. When should HAART be initiated in pregnancy to achieve an undetectable HIV viral load by delivery? *AIDS*. 2012;26(9):1095-1103. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22441248.
- 3. Gale HB, Gitterman SR, Hoffman HJ, et al. Is frequent CD4+ T-lymphocyte count monitoring necessary for persons with counts >=300 cells/muL and HIV-1 suppression? *Clin Infect Dis*. 2013;56(9):1340-1343. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23315315.
- 4. Girard PM, Nelson M, Mohammed P, Hill A, van Delft Y, Moecklinghoff C. Can we stop CD4+ testing in patients with HIV-1 RNA suppression on antiretroviral treatment? *AIDS*. 2013;27(17):2759-2763. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23842127.
- 5. Peters PJ, Polle N, Zeh C, et al. Nevirapine-Associated Hepatotoxicity and Rash among HIV-Infected Pregnant Women in Kenya. *J Int Assoc Physicians AIDS Care (Chic)*. 2012;11(2):142-149. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22020069.
- 6. Ford N, Calmy A, Andrieux-Meyer I, Hargreaves S, Mills EJ, Shubber Z. Adverse events associated with nevirapine use in pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2013. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23299174.
- Peters PJ, Stringer J, McConnell MS, et al. Nevirapine-associated hepatotoxicity was not predicted by CD4 count >/=250 cells/muL among women in Zambia, Thailand and Kenya. HIV Med. 2010;11(10):650-660. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20659176.
- 8. Food and Drug Administration. FDA Public Health Advisory: reports of diabetes and hyperglycemia in patients receiving protease inhibitors for treatment of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). Food and Drug Administration, Public Health Service, Department of Health and Human Services. Rockville, MD. June 11, 1997. Available at http://www.fda.gov/cder/news/proteaseletter.htm. Accessed May 11, 2015.
- 9. Eastone JA, Decker CF. New-onset diabetes mellitus associated with use of protease inhibitor [letter]. *Ann Intern Med*. 1997;127(10):948. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=9382376&dopt=Abstract.
- 10. Visnegarwala F, Krause KL, Musher DM. Severe diabetes associated with protease inhibitor therapy. *Ann Intern Med.* 1997;127(10):947. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9382374.
- 11. Dube MP, Sattler FR. Metabolic complications of antiretroviral therapies. *AIDS Clin Care*. 1998;10(6):41-44. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11365497.
- 12. Hitti J, Andersen J, McComsey G, et al. Protease inhibitor-based antiretroviral therapy and glucose tolerance in pregnancy: AIDS Clinical Trials Group A5084. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2007;196(4):331 e331-337. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17403409.
- 13. Bulletins ACoP. ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 58. Ultrasonography in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2004;104(6):1449-1458. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15572512.

- 14. Bennett KA, Crane JM, O'Shea P, Lacelle J, Hutchens D, Copel JA. First trimester ultrasound screening is effective in reducing postterm labor induction rates: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2004;190(4):1077-1081. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15118645.
- 15. American Council of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Committee opinion: method for estimating due date. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2014;124(5):863-866.
- Mandelbrot L, Mayaux MJ, Bongain A, et al. Obstetric factors and mother-to-child transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1: the French perinatal cohorts. SEROGEST French Pediatric HIV Infection Study Group. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1996;175(3 Pt 1):661-667. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8828431.
- 17. Tess BH, Rodrigues LC, Newell ML, Dunn DT, Lago TD. Breastfeeding, genetic, obstetric and other risk factors associated with mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 in Sao Paulo State, Brazil. Sao Paulo Collaborative Study for Vertical Transmission of HIV-1. *AIDS*. 1998;12(5):513-520. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9543450.
- 18. Shapiro DE, Sperling RS, Mandelbrot L, Britto P, Cunningham BE. Risk factors for perinatal human immunodeficiency virus transmission in patients receiving zidovudine prophylaxis. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group protocol 076 Study Group. *Obstet Gynecol*. 1999;94(6):897-908. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10576173.
- 19. Maiques V, Garcia-Tejedor A, Perales A, Cordoba J, Esteban RJ. HIV detection in amniotic fluid samples. Amniocentesis can be performed in HIV pregnant women? *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2003;108(2):137-141. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12781400.
- 20. Somigliana E, Bucceri AM, Tibaldi C, et al. Early invasive diagnostic techniques in pregnant women who are infected with the HIV: a multicenter case series. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2005;193(2):437-442. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16098867.
- 21. Coll O, Suy A, Hernandez S, et al. Prenatal diagnosis in human immunodeficiency virus-infected women: a new screening program for chromosomal anomalies. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2006;194(1):192-198. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16389031.
- 22. Ekoukou D, Khuong-Josses MA, Ghibaudo N, Mechali D, Rotten D. Amniocentesis in pregnant HIV-infected patients. Absence of mother-to-child viral transmission in a series of selected patients. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2008;140(2):212-217. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18584937.
- 23. Mandelbrot L, Jasseron C, Ekoukou D, et al. Amniocentesis and mother-to-child human immunodeficiency virus transmission in the Agence Nationale de Recherches sur le SIDA et les Hepatites Virales French Perinatal Cohort. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2009;200(2):160 e161-169. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18986640.
- 24. American Council of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. *Committee opinion: non-invasive prenatal testing for fetal aneuploidy*. 2012;545:1-3. Available at http://www.acog.org/Resources_And_Publications/Committee_Opinions/Commi

Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy (Last updated August

6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Panel's Recommendations

- HIV drug-resistance studies should be performed before starting antiretroviral (ARV) regimens in all ARV-naive pregnant women
 whose HIV RNA levels are above the threshold for resistance testing (i.e., >500 to 1,000 copies/mL) unless they have already been
 tested for ARV resistance (AIII).
- HIV drug-resistance studies should be performed before modifying ARV regimens for those entering pregnancy with detectable HIV RNA levels that are above the threshold for resistance testing (i.e., >500 to 1,000 copies/mL) while receiving ARV drugs or who have suboptimal virologic response to ARV drugs started during pregnancy (AII).
- Combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) should be initiated in pregnant women prior to receiving results of ARV-resistance studies. The ARV regimen should be modified, if necessary, based on the results of the resistance assay (BIII).
- Documented zidovudine resistance does not affect the indications for use of intrapartum zidovudine (BIII).
- The optimal prophylactic regimen for newborns of women with ARV resistance is unknown. Therefore, ARV prophylaxis for an infant born to a woman with known or suspected drug resistance should be determined in consultation with a pediatric HIV specialist, preferably before delivery (see Infant Antiretroviral Prophylaxis) (AIII).
- HIV-infected pregnant women should be given cART to maximally suppress viral replication, which is the most effective strategy for preventing development of resistance and minimizing risk of perinatal transmission (AII).
- All pregnant and postpartum women should be counseled about the importance of adherence to prescribed ARV medications to reduce the potential for development of resistance (All).
- To minimize development of resistance, pregnant women who receive a non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI)-based cART regimen that is discontinued after delivery should receive either dual nucleoside analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitor agents alone (AI) or with a protease inhibitor (BII) for 7 to 30 days (AII) after stopping the NNRTI drug. The optimal interval between stopping an NNRTI and the other ARV drugs is unknown (see Stopping Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Postpartum Follow-Up of HIV-Infected Women).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

Indications for Antiretroviral Drug-Resistance Testing in HIV-Infected Pregnant Women

Because identification of baseline resistance mutations allows for the selection of more effective and durable antiretroviral (ARV) regimens, genotypic resistance testing (in addition to a comprehensive history of ARV drug use) is recommended:

- Before initiating combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) in ARV-naive HIV-infected pregnant women
 with HIV RNA levels above the threshold for resistance testing (i.e., >500 to 1,000 copies/mL) who have
 not been previously tested for ARV resistance
- Before initiating cART in HIV-infected pregnant women who have received ARVs for prevention of
 perinatal transmission in prior pregnancies if HIV RNA levels are above the threshold for resistance
 testing (i.e., >500 to 1,000 copies/mL)
- Before modifying ARV regimens in HIV-infected pregnant women entering pregnancy with detectable HIV RNA levels that are above the threshold for resistance testing (i.e., >500 to 1,000 copies/mL) while receiving cART or who have suboptimal virologic response to ARV drugs started during pregnancy

In most settings, the results of resistance testing guide selection of the initial ARV regimen. However, given the association of earlier viral suppression with lower risk of perinatal transmission, in ARV-naive pregnant women, cART should be initiated without waiting for the results of resistance testing, with modification of

the regimen, if required, when test results return (see <u>HIV-Infected Pregnant Women Who Have Never</u> Received Antiretroviral Drugs (Antiretroviral Naive) section).

Incidence and Significance of Antiretroviral Drug Resistance in Pregnancy

The development of ARV drug resistance is one of the major factors leading to therapeutic failure in HIV-infected individuals. In addition, pre-existing resistance to a drug in a cART regimen may diminish the regimen's efficacy in preventing perinatal transmission. Infant treatment options also may be limited if maternal drug resistance is present or develops and resistant virus is transmitted to the fetus.

Several factors unique to pregnancy may increase the risk of development of resistance. If a non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI), with its long half-life and low genetic barrier to resistance, is combined with two nucleoside analogue drugs (which have much shorter half-lives) in the maternal ARV regimen, simultaneous postpartum discontinuation of all regimen components may result in prolonged NNRTI levels (at subtherapeutic levels) without detectable levels of the other drugs, which may increase the risk of development of NNRTI resistance (see Stopping Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy). Issues relating to discontinuation of NNRTI-based cART are discussed in Prevention of Antiretroviral Drugg Resistance. Problems such as nausea and vomiting in early pregnancy may compromise adherence and increase the risk of resistance in women receiving ARV drugs. Pharmacokinetic changes during pregnancy, such as increased plasma volume and renal clearance, may lead to subtherapeutic drug levels, increasing the risk that resistance will develop.

Impact of Resistance on the Risk of Perinatal Transmission of HIV and Maternal Response to Subsequent Therapy

Perinatal Transmission

Perinatal transmission of resistant virus has been reported but appears to be unusual. There is little evidence that the presence of resistance mutations increases the risk of transmission when current recommendations for ARV management in pregnancy are followed. A sub-study of the Women and Infants Transmission Study followed pregnant women receiving zidovudine alone for treatment of HIV infection in the early 1990s. In this study, detection of zidovudine resistance conferred an increased risk of transmission when analysis was adjusted for duration of membrane rupture and total lymphocyte count; however, women in this cohort had characteristics that would indicate a need for cART under the current Department of Health and Human Services recommendations for maternal health and for prevention of perinatal transmission. When transmitting mothers had mixed viral populations of wild-type virus and virus with low-level zidovudine resistance, only wild-type virus was detected in their infants,⁴ and other studies have suggested that drugresistance mutations may diminish viral fitness,⁵ possibly leading to a decrease in transmissibility. In another study, prevalence of ARV drug resistance among HIV-infected newborns in New York State was examined. Eleven (12.1%) of 91 infants born between 1989 and 1999 and 8 (19%) of 42 infants born between 2001 and 2002 had mutations associated with decreased drug susceptibility. However, perinatal exposure to ARVs was not found to be a significant risk factor for the presence of resistance during either time period.^{6,7} Neither resistance to NNRTI drugs that develops as a result of exposure to single-dose nevirapine nor exposure to single-dose nevirapine in a prior pregnancy has been shown to affect perinatal transmission rates.8

Maternal Response to Subsequent Treatment Regimens

Few studies have evaluated response to subsequent therapy in women who receive current cART regimens for both treatment and prophylaxis and then choose to discontinue the drugs postpartum. In theory, however, resistance should not occur if the regimen that was discontinued had fully suppressed viral replication. The French Perinatal Cohort evaluated the association between exposure to ARV drugs to prevent perinatal transmission during a previous pregnancy and presence of a detectable viral load with exposure to ARV drugs during the current pregnancy in women followed between 2005 and 2009. In 1,166 women not receiving ARVs at the time of conception, 869 were ARV-naive and 247 had received ARV drugs to prevent

perinatal transmission during a previous pregnancy. Previous ARV prophylaxis was protease inhibitor (PI)-based in 48%, non-PI-based in 4%, nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI) dual ARVs in 19%, and zidovudine as a single ARV in 29%. A PI-based ARV regimen was initiated in 90% of the women during the current pregnancy; in multivariate analysis, previous ARV exposure in a prior pregnancy was not associated with detectable viral load in the current pregnancy. A separate study (ACTG A5227) evaluated viral suppression in 52 women with prior combination ARV exposure to prevent perinatal transmission who had stopped ARVs at least 24 weeks before study entry and were now initiating cART (efavirenz, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, and emtricitabine) for treatment. None of the women had prior or recent resistance detected on standard bulk genotyping. Viral suppression was observed in 81% of women after 24 weeks of follow-up, with no difference in response by number of prior ARV exposures to prevent perinatal transmission or the drug class of prior exposure. Recent clinical series have confirmed this observation. 11,12

Management of Antiretroviral Drug Resistance during Pregnancy

For women who have documented zidovudine resistance and whose antepartum regimen does not include zidovudine, intravenous (IV) zidovudine still should be given during labor when indicated (i.e., HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL near delivery; see Intrapartum Antiretroviral Drug Therapy/Prophylaxis). Other ARVs should be continued orally during labor to the extent possible. The rationale for including zidovudine intrapartum when a woman is known to harbor virus with zidovudine resistance is based on several factors. Data thus far have suggested that only wild-type virus appears to be transmitted to infants by mothers who have mixed populations of wild-type virus and virus with low-level zidovudine resistance.⁴ Other studies have suggested that drug-resistance mutations may diminish viral fitness and possibly decrease transmissibility. The efficacy of the zidovudine prophylaxis appears to be based not only on a reduction in maternal HIV viral load but also on pre- and post-exposure prophylaxis in the infant. 13-15 Zidovudine crosses the placenta readily and has a high maternal-to-cord-blood ratio. In addition, zidovudine is metabolized to the active triphosphate within the placenta, ^{16,17} which may provide additional protection against transmission. Metabolism to the active triphosphate, which is required for activity of all nucleoside analogue agents, has not been observed within the placenta with other nucleoside analogues that have been evaluated (didanosine and zalcitabine). Zidovudine penetrates the central nervous system (CNS) better than other nucleoside analogues except stavudine, which has similar CNS penetration; this may help eliminate a potential reservoir for transmitted HIV in the infant. 18,19 Thus, intrapartum IV administration of zidovudine, when indicated, currently is recommended even in the presence of known resistance because of the drug's unique characteristics and its proven record in reducing perinatal transmission.

The optimal prophylactic regimen for newborns of women with ARV drug-resistant virus is unknown. Therefore, ARV prophylaxis for infants born to women with known or suspected drug-resistant virus should be determined with a pediatric HIV specialist, preferably before delivery (see Infant Antiretroviral Prophylaxis). There is no evidence that neonatal prophylaxis regimens customized based on the presence of maternal drug resistance are more effective than standard neonatal prophylaxis regimens.

Prevention of Antiretroviral Drug Resistance

The most effective way to prevent development of ARV drug resistance in pregnancy is to use and adhere to an effective cART regimen to achieve maximal viral suppression.

Several studies have demonstrated that women's adherence to cART may worsen in the postpartum period. 20-25 Clinicians caring for postpartum women receiving cART should specifically address adherence, including evaluating specific factors that facilitate or impede adherence. A systematic review has identified viral load monitoring as a means of enhancing adherence. 26

Because of the prolonged half-life of NNRTI drugs, if an NNRTI-based ARV regimen is stopped postpartum, there is a risk of development of NNRTI-resistance mutations if all drugs in the regimen are stopped simultaneously. This has been demonstrated for nevirapine and efavirenz but may also be a problem with newer NNRTI drugs with long half-lives, such as etravirine and rilpivirine. Several studies have shown that

development of NNRTI resistance is significantly decreased (but not eliminated) when zidovudine/lamivudine is given intrapartum and administered for 3 to 7 days postpartum in women who have received single-dose intrapartum nevirapine.²⁷⁻³⁰ Other regimens (e.g., tenofovir/emtricitabine, zidovudine/didanosine, zidovudine/didanosine/lopinavir/ritonavir) given for 7 to 30 days postpartum following maternal single-dose nevirapine have also been shown to be very effective in reducing the development of NNRTI resistance.^{29,31,32,33,34,35} These data suggest that the NRTI components of an NNRTI-based regimen should be continued for 7 to 30 days after discontinuation of the NNRTI to minimize the risk of resistance. An alternative, equally effective strategy is to substitute a PI for the NNRTI and to continue the PI with dual NRTIs for a period of time.³⁶ The optimal duration for continuation of either dual nucleosides or the substituted PI-based regimen after stopping the NNRTI is unknown.³⁷ NNRTI drugs have long half-lives, and drug levels can persist for up to 1 to 3 weeks after stopping the drugs; efavirenz levels persist longer than nevirapine levels.^{38,39} Despite the use of various multiple-drug regimens, ARV drug resistance may still develop in some women.³⁷ More research is needed on the optimal duration of time and regimen to cover this period of prolonged NNRTI exposure to prevent the emergence of resistance after discontinuation of an NNRTI-based ARV regimen.

- 1. Ellis GM, Huang S, Hitti J, Frenkel LM, Team PS. Selection of HIV resistance associated with antiretroviral therapy initiated due to pregnancy and suspended postpartum. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2011;58(3):241-247. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21765365.
- 2. Paredes R, Cheng I, Kuritzkes DR, Tuomala RE, Women, Infants Transmission Study G. Postpartum antiretroviral drug resistance in HIV-1-infected women receiving pregnancy-limited antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS*. 2010;24(1):45-53. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19915448.
- 3. Welles SL, Pitt J, Colgrove R, et al. HIV-1 genotypic zidovudine drug resistance and the risk of maternal--infant transmission in the women and infants transmission study. The Women and Infants Transmission Study Group. *AIDS*. 2000;14(3):263-271. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10716502.
- 4. Colgrove RC, Pitt J, Chung PH, Welles SL, Japour AJ. Selective vertical transmission of HIV-1 antiretroviral resistance mutations. *AIDS*. 1998;12(17):2281-2288. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9863870.
- 5. Sheth PM, Kovacs C, Kemal KS, et al. Persistent HIV RNA shedding in semen despite effective antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS*. 2009;23(15):2050-2054. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19710596.
- 6. Parker MM, Wade N, Lloyd RM, Jr., et al. Prevalence of genotypic drug resistance among a cohort of HIV-infected newborns. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2003;32(3):292-297. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12626889.
- 7. Karchava M, Pulver W, Smith L, et al. Prevalence of drug-resistance mutations and non-subtype B strains among HIV-infected infants from New York State. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2006;42(5):614-619. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16868498.
- 8. Martinson NA, Ekouevi DK, Dabis F, et al. Transmission rates in consecutive pregnancies exposed to single-dose nevirapine in Soweto, South Africa and Abidjan, Cote d'Ivoire. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2007;45(2):206-209. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17438480.
- 9. Briand N, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Previous antiretroviral therapy for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV does not hamper the initial response to PI-based multitherapy during subsequent pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2011;57(2):126-135. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21436712.
- 10. Vogler MA, Smeaton LM, Wright RL, et al. Combination antiretroviral treatment for women previously treated only in pregnancy: week 24 results of AIDS clinical trials group protocol a5227. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;65(5):542-550. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24759064.
- 11. Aziz N, Sokoloff A, Kornak J, et al. Time to viral load suppression in antiretroviral-naive and -experienced HIV-infected pregnant women on highly active antiretroviral therapy: implications for pregnant women presenting late in gestation. *BJOG*. 2013;120(12):1534-1547. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23924192.
- 12. Boltz VF, Bao Y, Lockman S, et al. Low-frequency nevirapine (NVP)-resistant HIV-1 variants are not associated with failure of antiretroviral therapy in women without prior exposure to single-dose NVP. *J Infect Dis*. 2014;209(5):703-711. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24443547.

- 13. Sperling RS, Shapiro DE, Coombs RW, et al. Maternal viral load, zidovudine treatment, and the risk of transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 from mother to infant. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 076 Study Group. *N Engl J Med.* 1996;335(22):1621-1629. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8965861.
- 14. Wade NA, Birkhead GS, Warren BL, et al. Abbreviated regimens of zidovudine prophylaxis and perinatal transmission of the human immunodeficiency virus. *N Engl J Med.* 1998;339(20):1409-1414. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9811915.
- 15. Melvin AJ, Burchett SK, Watts DH, et al. Effect of pregnancy and zidovudine therapy on viral load in HIV-1-infected women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol*. 1997;14(3):232-236. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9117455.
- 16. Qian M, Bui T, Ho RJ, Unadkat JD. Metabolism of 3'-azido-3'-deoxythymidine (AZT) in human placental trophoblasts and Hofbauer cells. *Biochem Pharmacol*. 1994;48(2):383-389. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8053935.
- Sandberg JA, Binienda Z, Lipe G, et al. Placental transfer and fetal disposition of 2',3'-dideoxycytidine and 2',3'-dideoxyinosine in the rhesus monkey. *Drug Metab Dispos*. 1995;23(8):881-884. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7493557.
- 18. Peters PJ, Stringer J, McConnell MS, et al. Nevirapine-associated hepatotoxicity was not predicted by CD4 count >/=250 cells/muL among women in Zambia, Thailand and Kenya. *HIV Med.* 2010;11(10):650-660. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20659176.
- 19. Thomas SA. Anti-HIV drug distribution to the central nervous system. *Curr Pharm Des.* 2004;10(12):1313-1324. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15134483.
- Cohn SE, Umbleja T, Mrus J, Bardeguez AD, Andersen JW, Chesney MA. Prior illicit drug use and missed prenatal vitamins predict nonadherence to antiretroviral therapy in pregnancy: adherence analysis A5084. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2008;22(1):29-40. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18442305.
- 21. Bardeguez AD, Lindsey JC, Shannon M, et al. Adherence to antiretrovirals among US women during and after pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2008;48(4):408-417. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18614923.
- 22. Mellins CA, Chu C, Malee K, et al. Adherence to antiretroviral treatment among pregnant and postpartum HIV-infected women. *AIDS Care*. 2008;20(8):958-968. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18608073.
- 23. Rana AI, Gillani FS, Flanigan TP, Nash BT, Beckwith CG. Follow-up care among HIV-infected pregnant women in Mississippi. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)*. 2010;19(10):1863-1867. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20831428.
- 24. Anderson J. Women and HIV: motherhood and more. *Curr Opin Infect Dis*. 2012;25(1):58-65. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22156896.
- Nachega JB, Uthman OA, Anderson J, et al. Adherence to antiretroviral therapy during and after pregnancy in low-income, middle-income, and high-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2012;26(16):2039-2052. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22951634.
- 26. Bonner K, Mezochow A, Roberts T, Ford N, Cohn J. Viral load monitoring as a tool to reinforce adherence: a systematic review. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;64(1):74-78. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23774877.
- 27. McIntyre JA, Hopley M, Moodley D, et al. Efficacy of short-course AZT plus 3TC to reduce nevirapine resistance in the prevention of mother-to-child HIV transmission: a randomized clinical trial. *PLoS Med.* 2009;6(10):e1000172. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19859531.
- 28. Chaix ML, Ekouevi DK, Rouet F, et al. Low risk of nevirapine resistance mutations in the prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1: Agence Nationale de Recherches sur le SIDA Ditrame Plus, Abidjan, Cote d'Ivoire. *J Infect Dis*. 2006;193(4):482-487. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16425126.
- 29. Farr SL, Nelson JA, Ng'ombe TJ, et al. Addition of 7 days of zidovudine plus lamivudine to peripartum single-dose nevirapine effectively reduces nevirapine resistance postpartum in HIV-infected mothers in Malawi. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2010;54(5):515-523. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20672451.
- 30. Palmer S, Boltz VF, Chow JY, et al. Short-course Combivir after single-dose nevirapine reduces but does not eliminate the emergence of nevirapine resistance in women. *Antivir Ther*. 2012;17(2):327-336. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22293443.

- 31. TEmAA ANRS 12109 Study Group, Arrive E, Chaix ML, et al. Maternal and nenonatal tenofovir and emtricitabine to prevent vertical transmission of HIV-1: tolerance and resistance. *AIDS*. 2010;24(16):2481-2488. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20827166.
- 32. Lallemant M, Ngo-Giang-Huong N, Jourdain G, et al. Efficacy and safety of 1-month postpartum zidovudine-didanosine to prevent HIV-resistance mutations after intrapartum single-dose nevirapine. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2010;50(6):898-908. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20158398.
- 33. Van Dyke RB, Ngo-Giang-Huong N, Shapiro DE, et al. A comparison of 3 regimens to prevent nevirapine resistance mutations in HIV-infected pregnant women receiving a single intrapartum dose of nevirapine. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2012;54(2):285-293. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22144539.
- 34. Aizire J, McConnell MS, Mudiope P, et al. Kinetics of nevirapine and its impact on HIV-1 RNA levels in maternal plasma and breast milk over time after perinatal single-dose nevirapine. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2012;60(5):483-488. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22217678.
- 35. McMahon DK, Zheng L, Hitti J, et al. Greater suppression of nevirapine resistance with 21- vs 7-day antiretroviral regimens after intrapartum single-dose nevirapine for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2013;56(7):1044-1051. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23300238.
- 36. Fox Z, Phillips A, Cohen C, et al. Viral resuppression and detection of drug resistance following interruption of a suppressive non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor-based regimen. *AIDS*. 2008;22(17):2279-2289. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18981767.
- 37. Hauser A, Sewangi J, Mbezi P, et al. Emergence of minor drug-resistant HIV-1 variants after triple antiretroviral prophylaxis for prevention of vertical HIV-1 transmission. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(2):e32055. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22384138.
- 38. Cressey TR, Jourdain G, Lallemant MJ, et al. Persistence of nevirapine exposure during the postpartum period after intrapartum single-dose nevirapine in addition to zidovudine prophylaxis for the prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2005;38(3):283-288. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15735445.
- 39. Sadiq ST, Fredericks S, Khoo SH, Rice P, Holt DW. Efavirenz detectable in plasma 8 weeks after stopping therapy and subsequent development of non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor-associated resistance. *AIDS*. 2005;19(15):1716-1717. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16184054.

Lack of Viral Suppression (Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Panel's Recommendations

- Because maternal antenatal viral load correlates with risk of perinatal transmission of HIV, suppression of HIV RNA to undetectable levels should be achieved as rapidly as possible (All).
- If an ultrasensitive HIV RNA assay indicates failure of viral suppression (after an adequate period of treatment):
 - Assess adherence and resistance (if HIV RNA level is high enough for resistance testing) (AII).
 - Consult an HIV treatment expert and consider possible antiretroviral regimen modification (AIII).
- Scheduled cesarean delivery is recommended for HIV-infected pregnant women who have HIV RNA levels >1,000 copies/mL near
 the time of delivery (AII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

Virologic suppression is defined as a confirmed HIV RNA level below the lower limits of detection of an ultrasensitive assay, and virologic failure is the inability to achieve or maintain an HIV RNA level <200 copies/mL.

The lack of virologic suppression by late pregnancy may indicate virologic failure but may also represent inadequate time on therapy. Baseline HIV RNA levels have been shown to affect the time to response in both pregnant and non-pregnant individuals, with no difference in response between pregnant and non-pregnant women. HIV RNA levels should be assessed 2 to 4 weeks after an antiretroviral (ARV) drug regimen is initiated or changed to provide an initial assessment of effectiveness. Most patients with an adequate viral response at 24 weeks of treatment have had at least a 1 log copies/mL HIV RNA decrease within 1 to 4 weeks after starting therapy. In a retrospective multicenter cohort of 378 pregnant women, 77.2% achieved HIV RNA copies/mL by delivery, with success of viral suppression varying by baseline HIV RNA level. With baseline <10,000 copies/mL, gestational age at initiation did not affect success up to 26.3 weeks. With baseline >10,000 copies/mL, however, delaying initiation past 20.4 weeks significantly reduced the ability to achieve maximal suppression at delivery. In data on 1,070 HIV-infected treatment-naive pregnant women participating in IMPAACT P1025, a prospective cohort study, initiation of combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) at >32 weeks' gestation was also associated with a significantly higher risk of having viral load >400 copies/mL at delivery. Because maternal antenatal HIV RNA level correlates with risk of perinatal transmission of HIV, suppression of HIV RNA to undetectable levels should be achieved as rapidly as possible.

A three-pronged approach is indicated for management of women on ARV regimens who have suboptimal suppression of HIV RNA, taking into account time on treatment. They should be:

- Evaluated for resistant virus (if plasma HIV RNA is above the threshold for resistance testing, generally >500 or >1,000 copies/mL);
- Assessed for adherence, tolerability, incorrect dosing, or potential problems with absorption (e.g., nausea/vomiting, lack of attention to food requirements); and
- Considered for ARV regimen modification.

The role of therapeutic drug monitoring in reducing the risk of virologic failure is still undefined.^{5,6}

Experts in the care of ARV-experienced adults should be consulted, particularly if a change in drug regimen is necessary. Hospitalization can be considered for directly observed drug administration, adherence education, and treatment of comorbidities such as nausea and vomiting.

Among 662 pregnancies followed in Italy between 2001 and 2008, treatment modification during pregnancy was independently associated with an HIV-1 RNA level >400 copies/mL in late pregnancy (adjusted odds ratio, 1.66; 95% confidence interval, 1.07–2.57; P = 0.024), highlighting the importance of using potent and well-tolerated regimens during pregnancy to maximize effectiveness and minimize the need to modify treatment.⁸

A recent systematic review and meta-analysis of adherence to cART during and after pregnancy in low-, middle-, and high-income countries (27% of studies were from the United States) found that a pooled estimate of 63.5% of pregnant women on cART had adequate (>80%) adherence to cART.⁹ Evaluation of and support for adherence during pregnancy is critical to achievement and maintenance of maximal viral suppression.

The addition of raltegravir in late pregnancy has been suggested for women who have high viral loads and/or in whom multiple drug-resistant mutations have resulted in incomplete suppression of viremia because of the ability of raltegravir to rapidly suppress viral load (approximately 2 log copies/mL decrease by Week 2 of therapy). However, the efficacy and safety of this approach have not been evaluated, and only anecdotal reports are available. In the setting of a failing regimen related to non-adherence and/or resistance, there are concerns that the addition of a single agent may further increase risk of resistance and potential loss of future effectiveness with raltegravir. A recent report found a 10- to 23-fold increase in transaminase levels following introduction of a raltegravir-containing regimen in late pregnancy, with return to normal levels after raltegravir discontinuation. At the current time, this approach cannot be routinely recommended.

Scheduled cesarean delivery is recommended for HIV-infected pregnant women who have HIV RNA levels >1,000 copies/mL. 15,16

- 1. Read PJ, Mandalia S, Khan P, et al. When should HAART be initiated in pregnancy to achieve an undetectable HIV viral load by delivery? *AIDS*. 2012;26(9):1095-1103. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22441248.
- 2. Rachas A, Warszawski J, Le Chenadec J, et al. Does pregnancy affect the early response to cART? *AIDS*. 2013;27(3):357-367. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23079802.
- 3. Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in HIV-1-infected adults and adolescents. 2014. Available at http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/AdultandAdolescentGL.pdf. Accessed May 5, 2015.
- 4. Katz I, Leister E, et al. Factors associated with lack of viral suppression at deliver: IMPAACT P1025. Paper #1022. Presented at: 19th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2012.
- 5. Liu X, Ma Q, Zhang F. Therapeutic drug monitoring in highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Expert Opin Drug Saf.* 2010;9(5):743-758. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20350281.
- 6. Matsui DM. Therapeutic drug monitoring in pregnancy. *Ther Drug Monit*. 2012;34(5):507-511. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22846897.
- 7. McCabe CJ, Goldie SJ, Fisman DN. The cost-effectiveness of directly observed highly-active antiretroviral therapy in the third trimester in HIV-infected pregnant women. *PLoS One*. 2010;5(4):e10154. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20405011.
- 8. Floridia M, Ravizza M, Pinnetti C, et al. Treatment change in pregnancy is a significant risk factor for detectable HIV-1 RNA in plasma at end of pregnancy. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2010;11(6):303-311. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21239358.
- 9. Nachega JB, Uthman OA, Anderson J, et al. Adherence to antiretroviral therapy during and after pregnancy in low-income, middle-income, and high-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2012;26(16):2039-2052. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22951634.
- 10. Grinsztejn B, Nguyen BY, Katlama C, et al. Safety and efficacy of the HIV-1 integrase inhibitor raltegravir (MK-0518) in treatment-experienced patients with multidrug-resistant virus: a phase II randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2007;369(9569):1261-1269. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17434401.
- 11. Papendorp SG, van den Berk GE. Preoperative use of raltegravir-containing regimen as induction therapy: very rapid decline of HIV-1 viral load. *AIDS*. 2009;23(6):739. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19279447.

- 12. Pinnetti C, Baroncelli S, Villani P, et al. Rapid HIV-RNA decline following addition of raltegravir and tenofovir to ongoing highly active antiretroviral therapy in a woman presenting with high-level HIV viraemia at week 38 of pregnancy. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2010;65(9):2050-2052. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20630894.
- 13. McKeown DA, Rosenvinge M, Donaghy S, et al. High neonatal concentrations of raltegravir following transplacental transfer in HIV-1 positive pregnant women. *AIDS*. 2010;24(15):2416-2418. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20827058.
- 14. Renet S, Closon A, Brochet MS, Bussieres JF, Boucher M. Increase in transaminase levels following the use of raltegravir in a woman with a high HIV viral load at 35 weeks of pregnancy. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can.* 2013;35(1):68-72. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23343800.
- 15. The mode of delivery and the risk of vertical transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1--a meta-analysis of 15 prospective cohort studies. The International Perinatal HIV Group. *N Engl J Med.* 1999;340(13):977-987. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10099139.
- 16. European Mode of Delivery C. Elective caesarean-section versus vaginal delivery in prevention of vertical HIV-1 transmission: a randomised clinical trial. *Lancet*. 1999;353(9158):1035-1039. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10199349.

Stopping Antiretroviral Drugs during Pregnancy (Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Panel's Recommendations

- HIV-infected women receiving combination antiretroviral therapy who present for care during the first trimester should continue treatment during pregnancy (AII).
- If an antiretroviral drug regimen is stopped acutely for severe or life-threatening toxicity, severe pregnancy-induced hyperemesis unresponsive to antiemetics, or other acute illnesses that preclude oral intake, all antiretroviral drugs should be stopped simultaneously and ARV therapy should be reinitiated as soon as possible (AIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

Discontinuation of antiretroviral (ARV) drug regimens during pregnancy may be indicated in some situations, including serious drug-related toxicity, pregnancy-induced hyperemesis unresponsive to antiemetics, or acute illnesses or planned surgeries that preclude oral intake. Other reasons for discontinuation of ARV drug regimens during pregnancy include lack of available medication or patient request. If an ARV drug regimen must be stopped for any reason, all ARV drugs should be stopped simultaneously and ARV therapy should then be reinitiated simultaneously as soon as possible, whether restarting the same regimen or a new regimen (e.g., based on toxicity attributed to drug in original regimen).

HIV-infected women receiving combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) who present for care during the first trimester should continue treatment during pregnancy. Discontinuation of therapy could lead to an increase in viral load with possible decline in immune status and disease progression as well as adverse consequences for the fetus, including increased risk of *in utero* transmission of HIV. An analysis from a prospective cohort of 937 HIV-infected mother-child pairs found that interruption of cART during pregnancy, including interruption in the first and third trimesters, was independently associated with perinatal transmission. In the first trimester, the median time at interruption was 6 weeks' gestation and length of time without therapy was 8 weeks (interquartile range [IQR], 7–11 weeks); in the third trimester, the median time at interruption was 32 weeks and length of time without therapy was 6 weeks (IQR, 2–9 weeks). Although the perinatal transmission rate for the entire cohort was only 1.3%, transmission occurred in 4.9% (95% CI, 1.9% to 13.2%; adjusted odds ratio [AOR] 10.33; P = .005) with first-trimester interruption and 18.2% (95%) CI, 4.5% to 72.7%; AOR 46.96; P = .002) with third-trimester interruption. Although the use of efavirenz should be avoided during the first trimester when possible, therapy should not be interrupted in women receiving an efavirenz-based regimen who present in the first 8 weeks of pregnancy, provided the regimen produces viral suppression and is well tolerated (see HIV-Infected Pregnant Women Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy).

Continuation of all drugs during the intrapartum period generally is recommended. Women who are having elective cesarean delivery can take oral medications before the procedure and restart drugs following surgery. Because most drugs are given once or twice daily, it is likely that no doses would be missed or that at most the postpartum dose would be given a few hours late.

When short-term drug interruption is indicated, all ARV drugs should generally be stopped simultaneously and reintroduced simultaneously as soon as possible. This can be problematic with drugs (e.g., NVP, EFV) that have long half-lives and low thresholds for developing HIV viral resistance. However, in conditions such as serious or life-threatening toxicity, severe pregnancy-induced hyperemesis unresponsive to antiemetics, or other acute illnesses precluding oral intake, the clinician has no choice but to stop all therapy at the same time. In the rare case in which a woman has limited oral intake that does not meet food requirements for certain ARV agents, decisions about the ARV regimen administered during the antepartum or intrapartum

period should be made on an individual basis and in consultation with an HIV treatment expert.

Efavirenz can be detected in blood for longer than 3 weeks after discontinuation;^{2,3} if an efavirenz-containing regimen must be stopped for more than a few days due to toxicity, consideration should be given to assessing for rebound viremia and potential drug resistance.⁴ Nevirapine is rarely used during pregnancy in the United States anymore; however, if it is included in a regimen that has been discontinued for more than 7 days, a 2-week dose escalation is recommended when it is re-introduced.

- 1. Galli L, Puliti D, Chiappini E, et al. Is the interruption of antiretroviral treatment during pregnancy an additional major risk factor for mother-to-child transmission of HIV type 1? *Clin Infect Dis.* 2009;48(9):1310-1317. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19309307.
- 2. Sadiq ST, Fredericks S, Khoo SH, Rice P, Holt DW. Efavirenz detectable in plasma 8 weeks after stopping therapy and subsequent development of non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor-associated resistance. *AIDS*. 2005;19(15):1716-1717. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16184054.
- 3. Ribaudo HJ, Haas DW, Tierney C, et al. Pharmacogenetics of plasma efavirenz exposure after treatment discontinuation: an Adult AIDS Clinical Trials Group Study. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2006;42(3):401-407. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16392089.
- Geretti AM, Fox Z, Johnson JA, et al. Sensitive assessment of the virologic outcomes of stopping and restarting nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor-based antiretroviral therapy. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(7):e69266. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23874928.

HIV/Hepatitis B Virus Coinfection (Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Panel's Recommendations

- All HIV-infected pregnant women should be screened during the current pregnancy for hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C virus, unless they are known to be coinfected (see HIV/Hepatitis C Virus Coinfection) (AIII).
- All HIV-infected pregnant women who screen negative for HBV (i.e., HBV surface antigen-negative, HBV core antibody-negative, and HBV surface antibody-negative) should receive the HBV vaccine series (All).
- Women with chronic HBV infection who have not already received the hepatitis A virus (HAV) vaccine series should be screened for immunity to HAV because they are at increased risk of complications from coinfection with other viral hepatitis infections (AIII).
- Women with chronic HBV infection who are hepatitis A immunoglobulin G antibody-negative should receive the HAV vaccine series if they have never received it (AII).
- The management of HIV/HBV coinfection in pregnancy is complex and consultation with an expert in HIV and HBV is strongly recommended (AIII).
- Interferon alfa and pegylated interferon alfa are not recommended during pregnancy (AII).
- All pregnant women with HIV/HBV coinfection should receive combination antiretroviral therapy (cART). Antepartum cART in HIV/HBV-coinfected pregnant women should include tenofovir disoproxil fumarate plus lamivudine or emtricitabine (AI).
- Pregnant women with HIV/HBV coinfection receiving antiretroviral drugs should be counseled about signs and symptoms of liver toxicity, and liver transaminases should be assessed 1 month following initiation of antiretroviral drugs and at least every 3 months thereafter during pregnancy (BIII).
- If antiretroviral drugs are discontinued postpartum in women with HIV/HBV coinfection, frequent monitoring of liver function tests for
 potential exacerbation of HBV infection is recommended, with prompt re-initiation of treatment for both HIV and HBV if a flare is
 suspected (BIII).
- Decisions concerning mode of delivery in HIV/HBV-coinfected pregnant women should be based on standard obstetric and HIV-related indications alone; HBV coinfection does not necessitate cesarean delivery, if not otherwise indicated (see Intrapartum Care) (AIII).
- Within 12 hours of birth, infants born to women with HBV infection should receive hepatitis B immune globulin and should initiate the HBV vaccine series (AI).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

For additional information on hepatitis B virus (HBV) and HIV, see <u>HIV/Hepatitis B (HBV) Coinfection</u> in the <u>Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines</u>¹ and <u>Hepatitis B Virus Infection</u> in the <u>Adult Opportunistic Infections Guidelines</u>.² The management of HIV/HBV coinfection in pregnancy is complex and consultation with an expert in HIV and HBV infection is strongly recommended.

Screening and Vaccination

All HIV-infected women should be screened for HBV and hepatitis C virus (HCV) at entry into general HIV care. All HIV-infected pregnant women should be screened for HBV and HCV during each pregnancy, unless they are known to be coinfected. Screening for HBV should include hepatitis B surface antigen [HBsAg], hepatitis B core antibody [anti-HBc], and hepatitis B surface antibody [anti-HBs]. Women who test positive for HBsAg should have follow-up testing that includes liver function tests, prothrombin time, HB e antigen, HB e antibody, and HBV DNA. The male partners of all HIV/HBV-coinfected women should be referred to their own providers for both HIV and HBV counseling and testing, and for HBV vaccination if HBV susceptible, to prevent horizontal transmission of HIV as well as HBV from women to their male partners.

A positive test for anti-HBc alone can be false-positive; alternatively, it may signify remote exposure with subsequent loss of anti-HBs antibody or longstanding chronic HBV infection with loss of surface antigen

("occult" HBV infection, which can be confirmed by detection of HBV DNA).^{3,4} The clinical significance of isolated anti-HBc is unknown.^{5,6} Some experts recommend that HIV-infected individuals with anti-HBc alone be tested for HBV DNA to inform decisions about vaccination for HBV and treatment with antiretroviral (ARV) drugs. It may also be important to check HBV DNA levels in women with isolated anti-HBc before ARVs are initiated because of the risk of a paradoxical exacerbation of HBV and the occurrence of immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome (IRIS). HIV-infected pregnant women with isolated anti-HBc and occult HBV infection typically have very low levels of HBV DNA and are thought to be at extremely low risk of transmitting HBV to their infants.^{2,7}

HIV-infected pregnant women who screen negative for HBV (i.e., HBsAg-negative, anti-HBc-negative, and anti-HBs-negative) should receive the HBV vaccine series. HIV-infected women with remote HBV infection and current isolated anti-HBc antibody (negative HBV DNA, HBsAg, and anti-HBs) may have lost immunity to HBV and should be vaccinated.² Data indicate no apparent risk to developing fetuses of adverse events from hepatitis B vaccine, and current vaccines contain noninfectious HBsAg.⁸ Household contacts of HBV-infected women should also be tested for HBV, and if negative, receive the HBV vaccine series.^{2,9} Anti-HBs titers should be obtained 1 month after completion of the vaccine series in HIV-infected patients; if anti-HBs titers are below 10 IU/mL, a second vaccine series is recommended.²

Because of the added risk of hepatic decompensation from acute infection with hepatitis A virus (HAV) in individuals with chronic HBV or HCV, women who are found to have HBV infection should also be screened for HAV using antibody testing for immunoglobulin G (IgG). If HAV IgG is negative, and if the HAV vaccine was not given previously, HIV/HBV-coinfected women should receive the HAV vaccine series. Women who have already received the HAV vaccine series do not need to repeat it because they are protected but may have undetectable HAV IgG levels. Although the safety of HAV vaccination during pregnancy has not been determined, HAV vaccine is produced from inactivated HAV and the theoretical risk to the developing fetus is expected to be low.

Therapy for HIV and Hepatitis B Virus in Pregnancy

A combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) regimen that includes drugs active against both HIV and HBV is recommended for all individuals with HIV/HBV coinfection who require HBV treatment or who are starting ARV drugs, including all pregnant women. Initiation of cART may be associated with reactivation of HBV and development of IRIS, particularly in patients with high HBV DNA levels.^{2,10}

In addition, use of ARV drugs with anti-HBV activity during pregnancy lowers HBV viremia, potentially increasing the efficacy of neonatal hepatitis B immune globulin (HBIG) and hepatitis B vaccine in prevention of perinatal transmission of HBV. High maternal HBV DNA levels are strongly correlated with perinatal HBV transmission and with failures of HBV passive-active immunoprophylaxis. 11-13 Several small studies and a recent meta-analysis suggest that lamivudine or telbivudine may reduce the risk of perinatal transmission of HBV if given during the third trimester to HBV-infected, HIV-seronegative women with high HBV DNA viremia. 14-21 Although a high HBV viral load clearly is important, it is not the only factor predisposing to failure of HBV prophylaxis. 7,22 In a study of 2,048 HIV-infected pregnant women in Malawi, 5% (103 women) were HBsAg-positive, 70 of whom were also HBV DNA-positive. Nearly 10% of infants born to HBV/HIV co-infected mothers had HBV DNA detected by age 48 weeks despite being immunized at ages 6, 10, and 14 weeks per standard-of-care health practices in this population. 23

Lamivudine, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (tenofovir), and emtricitabine have activity against both HIV and HBV. Tenofovir with emtricitabine or lamivudine is the preferred dual nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor backbone in women who are HIV/HBV-coinfected (see <u>Table 6</u>). These agents are recommended for use in pregnancy (see <u>Table 6</u>). Please see individual drug sections for <u>tenofovir</u>, <u>emtricitabine</u>, and lamivudine for detailed review of safety, pharmacologic, and other clinical data for use in pregnancy.

Several other antivirals with activity against HBV, including entecavir, adefovir, and telbivudine, have not been well evaluated in pregnancy. Entecavir is associated with skeletal anomalies in rats and rabbits but only

at doses high enough to cause toxicity to the mother. Fewer than 57 cases of exposure to each of these drugs during the first trimester have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry prospectively, with no increased risk of birth defects.²⁴ Telbivudine was given to 135 HBV-positive, HIV-seronegative women during the third trimester and was well tolerated, and perinatal transmission of HBV was lower in telbivudine-treated mothers than in the controls not on telbivudine (0% vs. 8%; P = 0.002). ^{17,25} In 2 separate meta-analyses of the effects of telbivudine in late pregnancy in women infected with HBV alone, telbivudine was effective in interrupting intrauterine HBV infection without significant adverse effects or complications. 18,26 For HIV/HBV coinfected pregnant women, both entecavir and telbivudine should be administered only in addition to a fully suppressive cART regimen for HIV. Because these other anti-HBV drugs also have weak activity against HIV, they may select for anti-HIV drug resistance in the absence of fully suppressive cART regimen as well as confer the potential for developing cross-resistance to other ARV drugs (e.g., entecavir can select for the M184V mutation, which confers HIV resistance to lamivudine and emtricitabine). Although adefovir does not have significant anti-HIV activity, it is not recommended for treatment of HBV because it is less potent and has a higher risk of selecting for resistance mutations than the preferred HBV nucleos(t)ides.² Cases of exposure during pregnancy to any of the ARV drugs and HBV drugs listed should be reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry (800-258-4263; http://www.apregistry.com).

Interferon alfa and pegylated interferon alfa are not recommended for use in pregnancy and should be used only if the potential benefits outweigh the potential risks. Although interferons are not teratogenic, they are abortifacient at high doses in monkeys and should not be used in pregnant women because of their direct antigrowth and antiproliferative effects.²⁷

Monitoring of HIV/Hepatitis B Virus-Infected Women during Pregnancy

Following initiation of ARV drugs, an elevation in hepatic enzymes can occur in HIV/HBV-coinfected women—particularly those with low CD4 T lymphocyte counts at the time of treatment initiation—as a result of an immune-mediated flare in HBV disease triggered by immune reconstitution with effective HIV therapy. HBV infection also can increase hepatotoxic risk of certain ARV drugs, specifically protease inhibitors and nevirapine. Pregnant women with HIV/HBV coinfection should be counseled about signs and symptoms of liver toxicity, and transaminases should be assessed 1 month following initiation of ARV drugs and at least every 3 months thereafter. If hepatic toxicity occurs, it may be necessary to consider substituting a less hepatotoxic regimen or, if clinical symptoms or significant elevations of transaminases occur, drugs may need to be temporarily discontinued. Differentiating between a flare in HBV disease due to immune reconstitution and drug toxicity often can be difficult, and consultation with an expert in HIV and HBV coinfection is strongly recommended. Because tenofovir has potential to cause renal toxicity, kidney function also should be monitored regularly in pregnant women as in non-pregnant adults.

Following delivery, considerations regarding continuation of the ARV drug regimen are the same as for other non-pregnant individuals (see <u>General Principles Regarding Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy</u>). Therefore, once HBV therapy with nucleos(t)ide analogs is initiated, treatment is recommended to be continued indefinitely.^{1,2} Discontinuation of agents with anti-HBV activity may be associated with hepatocellular damage resulting from reactivation of HBV. Frequent monitoring of liver function tests for potential HBV flare is recommended in women with HIV/HBV coinfection who choose to stop their ARV drugs postpartum, with prompt reinitiation of treatment for both HIV and HBV if a flare is suspected.

Mode of Delivery

Decisions concerning mode of delivery in HIV/HBV-coinfected pregnant women should be based on standard obstetric and HIV-related indications alone (see <u>Intrapartum Care</u>). There are no data on the role of cesarean delivery in reducing perinatal transmission of HBV in HIV/HBV-coinfected women or when HBV-infected women receive antiviral therapy active against HBV. Current guidelines for HBV-monoinfected women advise that cesarean delivery is not indicated to prevent perinatal transmission of HBV.

Treatment of HIV/HBV coinfected pregnant women with cART that includes tenofovir and emtricitabine will result in low or suppressed HBV viral loads near delivery, which should further reduce risk of HBV perinatal transmission

Evaluation and Management of Hepatitis B Virus-Exposed Infants

Within 12 hours of birth, all infants born to mothers with chronic HBV infection should receive HBIG and the first dose of the HBV vaccination series. For infants weighing ≥2,000 g at birth, the second and final doses of the vaccine series should be administered at ages 1 and 6 months, respectively. For infants with birth weights <2,000 g at birth, do not count the birth dose as part of the vaccine series and administer three additional doses at ages 1, 2–3, and 6 months. This regimen is >95% effective in preventing HBV infection in these infants.

Post-vaccination testing for anti-HBs and HBsAg should be performed after completion of the vaccine series, at age 9 months to 18 months. Testing should not be performed before age 9 months to avoid detection of anti-HBs from HBIG administered during infancy and to maximize the likelihood of detecting late HBV infection. Anti-HBc testing of infants is not recommended because passively acquired maternal anti-HBc might be detected in infants born to HBV-infected mothers up to age 24 months. HBsAg-negative infants with anti-HBs levels >10 mIU/mL are protected and need no further medical management. HBsAg-negative infants with anti-HBs levels <10 mIU/mL should be revaccinated with a second three-dose series and retested 1 to 2 months after the final dose of vaccine.

- Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in HIV-1-infected adults and adolescents. 2014. Available at http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lyguidelines/AdultandAdolescentGL.pdf. Accessed July 6, 2015.
- Panel on Opportunistic Infections in HIV-Infected Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the prevention and treatment
 of opportunistic infections in HIV-infected adults and adolescents: recommendations from the Centers for Disease
 Control and Prevention, the National Institutes of Health, and the HIV Medicine Association of the Infectious Diseases
 Society of America. 2014. Available at http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lvguidelines/adult_oi.pdf. Accessed June 6,
 2015.
- 3. Grob P, Jilg W, Bornhak H, et al. Serological pattern "anti-HBc alone:" report on a workshop. *J Med Virol*. 2000;62(4):450-455. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11074473.
- 4. Hofer M, Joller-Jemelka HI, Grob PJ, Luthy R, Opravil M. Frequent chronic hepatitis B virus infection in HIV-infected patients positive for antibody to hepatitis B core antigen only. Swiss HIV Cohort Study. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*. 1998;17(1):6-13. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9512175.
- 5. Silva AE, McMahon BJ, Parkinson AJ, Sjogren MH, Hoofnagle JH, Di Bisceglie AM. Hepatitis B virus DNA in persons with isolated antibody to hepatitis B core antigen who subsequently received hepatitis B vaccine. *Clin Infect Dis.* 1998;26(4):895-897. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9564471.
- 6. Lok AS, Lai CL, Wu PC. Prevalence of isolated antibody to hepatitis B core antigen in an area endemic for hepatitis B virus infection: implications in hepatitis B vaccination programs. *Hepatology*. 1988;8(4):766-770. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2968945.
- 7. Khamduang W, Gaudy-Graffin C, Ngo-Giang-Huong N, et al. Analysis of residual perinatal transmission of hepatitis B virus (HBV) and of genetic variants in human immunodeficiency virus and HBV co-infected women and their offspring. *J Clin Virol*. 2013;58(2):415-421. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23916828.
- 8. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Guidelines for vaccinating pregnant women, hepatitis A. 2014. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/pubs/preg-guide.htm#hepa. Accessed March 8, 2015.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Prenatal care provider policies and procedures to prevent perinatal hepatitis b virus transmission. 2010. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/hepatitis/HBV/PDFs/PrenatalCareProviderPoliciesAndProcedures.pdf. Accessed July 6, 2015.
- 10. Crane M, Oliver B, Matthews G, et al. Immunopathogenesis of hepatic flare in HIV/hepatitis B virus (HBV)-coinfected individuals after the initiation of HBV-active antiretroviral therapy. *J Infect Dis*. 2009;199(7):974-981. Available at

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19231993.

- 11. del Canho R, Grosheide PM, Schalm SW, de Vries RR, Heijtink RA. Failure of neonatal hepatitis B vaccination: the role of HBV-DNA levels in hepatitis B carrier mothers and HLA antigens in neonates. *J Hepatol*. 1994;20(4):483-486. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8051386.
- 12. Ngui SL, Andrews NJ, Underhill GS, Heptonstall J, Teo CG. Failed postnatal immunoprophylaxis for hepatitis B: characteristics of maternal hepatitis B virus as risk factors. *Clin Infect Dis.* 1998;27(1):100-106. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9675462.
- 13. Wiseman E, Fraser MA, Holden S, et al. Perinatal transmission of hepatitis B virus: an Australian experience. *Med J Aust.* 2009;190(9):489-492. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19413519.
- 14. van Nunen AB, de Man RA, Heijtink RA, Niesters HG, Schalm SW. Lamivudine in the last 4 weeks of pregnancy to prevent perinatal transmission in highly viremic chronic hepatitis B patients. *J Hepatol*. 2000;32(6):1040-1041. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10898328.
- 15. van Zonneveld M, van Nunen AB, Niesters HG, de Man RA, Schalm SW, Janssen HL. Lamivudine treatment during pregnancy to prevent perinatal transmission of hepatitis B virus infection. *J Viral Hepat*. 2003;10(4):294-297. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12823596.
- 16. Shi Z, Yang Y, Ma L, Li X, Schreiber A. Lamivudine in late pregnancy to interrupt in utero transmission of hepatitis B virus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2010;116(1):147-159. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20567182.
- 17. Pan CQ, Han GR, Jiang HX, et al. Telbivudine prevents vertical transmission from HBeAg-positive women with chronic hepatitis B. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2012;10(5):520-526. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22343511.
- 18. Deng M, Zhou X, Gao S, et al. The effects of telbivudine in late pregnancy to prevent intrauterine transmission of the hepatitis B virus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Virol J.* 2012;9:185. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22947333.
- 19. Liu M, Cai H, Yi W. Safety of telbivudine treatment for chronic hepatitis B for the entire pregnancy. *J Viral Hepat*. 2013;20 Suppl 1:65-70. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23458527.
- 20. Cheung KW, Seto MT, Wong SF. Towards complete eradication of hepatitis B infection from perinatal transmission: review of the mechanisms of in utero infection and the use of antiviral treatment during pregnancy. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2013;169(1):17-23. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23465469.
- 21. Zhang H, Pan CQ, Pang Q, Tian R, Yan M, Liu X. Telbivudine or lamivudine use in late pregnancy safely reduces perinatal transmission of hepatitis B virus in real-life practice. *Hepatology*. 2014. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25227594.
- 22. Kazim SN, Wakil SM, Khan LA, Hasnain SE, Sarin SK. Vertical transmission of hepatitis B virus despite maternal lamivudine therapy. *Lancet*. 2002;359(9316):1488-1489. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11988251.
- 23. Chasela CS, Kourtis AP, Wall P, et al. Hepatitis B virus infection among HIV-infected pregnant women in Malawi and transmission to infants. *J Hepatol*. 2014;60(3):508-514. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24211737.
- 24. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 Jan 1989–31 July 2014. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2014. Available at http://www.APRegistry.com.
- 25. Han GR, Cao MK, Zhao W, et al. A prospective and open-label study for the efficacy and safety of telbivudine in pregnancy for the prevention of perinatal transmission of hepatitis B virus infection. *J Hepatol*. 2011;55(6):1215-1221. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21703206.
- 26. Lu YP, Liang XJ, Xiao XM, et al. Telbivudine during the second and third trimester of pregnancy interrupts HBV intrauterine transmission: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Lab*. 2014;60(4):571-586. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24779291.
- 27. Boskovic R, Wide R, Wolpin J, Bauer DJ, Koren G. The reproductive effects of beta interferon therapy in pregnancy: a longitudinal cohort. *Neurology*. 2005;65(6):807-811. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16186517.
- 28. Keeffe EB, Dieterich DT, Han SH, et al. A treatment algorithm for the management of chronic hepatitis B virus infection in the United States: 2008 update. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2008;6(12):1315-1341; quiz 1286. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18845489.

- 29. European Association For The Study Of The Liver. EASL clinical practice guidelines: Management of chronic hepatitis B virus infection. *J Hepatol.* 2012;57(1):167-185. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22436845.
- 30. Asian Pacific Association for the Study of the Liver. Asian-Pacific consensus statement on the management of chronic hepatitis B: a 2012 update. *Hepatol Int*. 2012(6):531-561. Available at http://apasl.info/apasl/wp-content/uploads/2014/02/Guideline-HBV-2012-update.pdf.
- 31. Mast EE, Margolis HS, Fiore AE, et al. A comprehensive immunization strategy to eliminate transmission of hepatitis B virus infection in the United States: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) part 1: immunization of infants, children, and adolescents. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* 2005;54(RR-16):1-31. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16371945.
- 32. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Errata: Vol. 54,No. RR-16, p1267 [correction to tables published in "Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP)–Part 1: immunization of infants, children, and adolescents." Originally printed in Trends in Childhood Cancer Mortality. United States. 1990–2004].

 MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2007;56(48):1257-1261. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18059256

HIV/Hepatitis C Virus Coinfection (Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Panel's Recommendations

- All HIV-infected pregnant women should be screened during the current pregnancy for hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV), unless they are known to be coinfected (see HIV/Hepatitis B Virus Coinfection section) (AIII).
- Screening for HCV infection should use the most sensitive immunoassays licensed for detection of antibody to HCV (anti-HCV) in blood (AIII).
- All HIV-infected pregnant women who screen negative for HBV (i.e., HBV surface antigen-negative, HBV core antibody-negative, and HBV surface antibody-negative) should receive the HBV vaccine series (AII).
- Women with chronic HBV or HCV infection should also be screened for hepatitis A virus (HAV) because they are at increased risk of
 complications from coinfection with other viral hepatitis infections (AIII).
- Women with chronic HCV who are negative for hepatitis A immunoglobulin G should receive the HAV vaccine series if they have never received it (All).
- The management of HIV/HCV coinfection in pregnancy is complex because currently approved medications for HCV are not
 recommended during pregnancy, and no safety data exist for use of the recently approved HCV oral medications in pregnant women
 (AIII). If considering treatment of HCV in an HIV-coinfected pregnant woman, consultation with an expert in HIV and HCV is strongly
 recommended (AIII).
- Interferon alfa and pegylated interferon alfa are not recommended and ribavirin is contraindicated during pregnancy (All).
- Recommendations for antiretroviral drug use during pregnancy are the same for HIV-infected women whether or not they have chronic HCV (BIII).
- Pregnant women with HIV/HCV coinfection receiving antiretroviral drugs should be counseled about signs and symptoms of liver toxicity, and liver transaminases should be assessed 1 month following initiation of antiretroviral drugs and at least every 3 months thereafter during pregnancy (BIII).
- Decisions concerning mode of delivery in HIV/HCV-coinfected pregnant women should be based on standard obstetric and HIV-related indications alone; HCV coinfection does not necessitate cesarean delivery, if not otherwise indicated (see Intrapartum Care) (AIII).
- Infants born to women with HIV/HCV coinfection should be evaluated for HCV infection with anti-HCV antibody testing after age 18 months (AII). Infants who screen positive should undergo confirmatory HCV RNA testing. If earlier diagnosis is desired, HCV RNA virologic testing can be done after age 2 months (AIII). Because HCV viremia can be intermittent, 2 negative HCV RNA tests at or after age 2 months, including 1 at or after age 12 months, are needed to definitively exclude HCV infection (BIII). Children are considered to be HCV-infected if they have two or more positive HCV RNA results at any age, or are HCV antibody-positive beyond age 18 months (AII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong: B = Moderate: C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

For additional information on hepatitis C virus (HCV) and HIV, see <u>HIV/Hepatitis C Coinfection</u> in the <u>Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines</u> and <u>Hepatitis C Virus Infection</u> in the <u>Adult Opportunistic Infections Guidelines</u>. The American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, the Infectious Diseases Society of America, and International Antiviral Society-USA recently updated their HCV treatment guidelines to add newly approved interferon-free direct-acting antiviral regimens and to provide more information about treating patients with HIV/HCV coinfection and decompensated liver disease. The guidelines are available online at <u>HCVguidelines.org</u>. The management of HIV/HCV coinfection in pregnancy is complex and consultation with an expert in HIV and HCV infection is strongly recommended, particularly if treatment of HCV infection during pregnancy is being considered.

Screening and Vaccination

All HIV-infected women should be screened for hepatitis B virus (HBV) and HCV at entry into general HIV care. HIV-infected women should be rescreened for HBV and HCV during each pregnancy, unless they are known to be coinfected. HCV coinfection is not uncommon in HIV-infected women, particularly those infected via parenteral use of drugs; among HIV-infected pregnant women in a European cohort, the observed HCV seroprevalence rate was 12%. The male partners of all HIV/HCV-coinfected patients should be referred for both HIV and hepatitis counseling and testing to prevent horizontal transmission of HIV as well as HCV from woman to their male partners.

Althought current HCV treatment guidelines recommend therapy for all HCV-infected patients with estimated life expectancies >12 months, currently available anti-HCV treatments lack sufficient safety data to be recommended during pregnancy. In addition, the risks of perinatal HCV transmission are much lower than of perinatal HIV transmission, and many infected children will clear HCV infection spontaneously, making the balance of risks and benefits for treating HCV in pregnancy very different than for treating HIV in pregnancy.

The primary reasons for HCV testing during pregnancy, therefore, are:

- 1) To identify HCV-infected women at a time when they are engaged with the health system, so that HCV treatment can be offered after delivery (ideally before a subsequent pregnancy, if planned);
- 2) To be aware of increased risk of HCV-related hepatotoxicity related to antiretroviral (ARV) use in HIV/HCV coinfected women;
- 3) To ensure vaccination against other viral hepatitides (hepatitis A virus [HAV] and HBV) when needed; and
- 4) To ensure appropriate follow-up and evaluation of HCV-exposed infants.

Screening for chronic HCV infection using a sensitive immunoassay for HCV antibody is recommended for all HIV-infected individuals, including pregnant women. False-negative anti-HCV immunoassay results can occur in HIV-infected individuals, particularly those with very low CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts or very recent infection, but it is uncommon with the more sensitive immunoassays. If HCV infection is suspected despite a negative HCV antibody screen, a quantitative HCV RNA assay can be performed. Individuals who have a positive HCV antibody test should undergo confirmatory testing for plasma HCV RNA using a commercially available quantitative diagnostic assay. Testing for HCV RNA also should be performed on individuals whose serologic test results are indeterminate or negative but in whom HCV infection is suspected because of elevated aminotransaminase levels or risk factors such as a history of injection drug use.

HIV/HCV-coinfected women who screen negative for HBV (i.e., hepatitis B surface antigen [HBsAg]-negative, hepatitis B core antibody-negative, and hepatitis B surface antibody-negative) should receive the HBV vaccine series. Data indicate no apparent risk to developing fetuses of adverse events from hepatitis B vaccination, and current vaccines contain noninfectious HBsAg and should cause no risk to fetuses.⁴

Because of the added risk of hepatic decompensation from acute infection with HAV in individuals with chronic HCV, women with HCV infection should also be screened for HAV, using antibody testing for immunoglobulin G (IgG). If HAV IgG is negative, and if the HAV vaccine was not given previously, HIV/HCV-coinfected women should receive the HAV vaccine series. Post-vaccination serologic testing is not indicated because many commercially available HAV antibody assays do not have the sensitivity to detect low HAV antibody concentrations after vaccination. Although the safety of HAV vaccination during pregnancy has not been determined, HAV vaccine is produced from inactivated HAV and the theoretical risk to the developing fetus is expected to be low.

Impact of Hepatitis C Virus on HIV Management

Few data exist on the optimal management of HIV-infected pregnant women with HCV coinfection. Recommendations for ARV drug use during pregnancy for treatment of HIV and prevention of perinatal transmission are the same for women who have HIV/HCV co-infection as for those infected only with HIV (see HIV/Hepatitis C Coinfection in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines).

Hepatitis C Virus-Specific Therapy in Pregnancy

Currently available anti-HCV treatments—both oral and parenteral—lack sufficient safety data to be recommended during pregnancy. Until recently, most anti-HCV therapy included both interferon and ribavirin. Interferons are not recommended for use in pregnancy because they are abortifacient at high doses in monkeys and have direct antigrowth and antiproliferative effects.⁶ Ribavirin is contraindicated (Food and Drug Administration [FDA] Pregnancy Category X) because of teratogenicity at low doses in multiple animal species. Ribavirin-associated defects in animals include limb abnormalities, craniofacial defects, anencephaly, and anophthalmia. Concerns have been raised about potential mutagenic effects of ribavirin in the offspring of men taking ribavirin before conception because of possible accumulation of ribavirin in spermatozoa. However, in a small number of inadvertent pregnancies occurring in partners of men receiving ribavirin therapy, no adverse outcomes were reported.⁷ Pregnancies that occur in women taking ribavirin should be reported to the Ribavirin Pregnancy Registry (800-593-2214 or http://www.ribavirinpregnancyregistry.com).

Newer interferon-free and ribavirin-free regimens have recently been approved for treatment of HCV. As of June 2015, these regimens included the protease inhibitor (PI) simepravir (Pregnancy Category C), the nucleotide analogue NS5B polymerase inhibitor sofosbuvir (Pregnancy Category B), the NS5A inhibitor ledipasvir (Pregnancy Category B), and a fixed-dose combination (Pregnancy Category B) of both ledipasvir/sofosbuvir and paritaprevir (NS3/4A PI)/ritonavir/ombitasvir (HS5A PI) plus twice-daily dasabuvir (NS5B polymerase inhibitor), given with and without ribavirin, depending on HCV genotype. However, these medications are not yet recommended for use in pregnancy because of the lack of data on their use in pregnancy. In addition, potential drug interactions between these newer anti-HCV drugs and ARV drugs, particularly certain protease inhibitor (PI) regimens and non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors, may reduce the effectiveness of these medications if used together or increase exposure to tenofovir disoproxil fumarate if included in the regimen. For more detailed information on drug interactions and newly approved medications, see Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Adult Opportunistic Infections Guidelines and the HCV treatment guidelines (http://www.hcvguidelines.org).

Pregnancy does not appear to influence the course of HCV infection and women with chronic HCV generally do quite well during pregnancy, provided that their infections have not progressed to decompensated cirrhosis.^{8,9}

In a majority of studies, the incidence of perinatal HCV transmission increases if the mother is coinfected with HIV, with transmission rates between 10% and 20% reported primarily among women not treated with combination antiretroviral therapy (cART). These higher transmission rates are likely related to an increase in HCV viremia and/or other HIV-related impact on HCV disease activity. However, early and sustained control of HIV viremia with cART may reduce HCV transmission to infants. Luropean study of perinatal transmission of HCV found that use of effective cART for HIV was associated with a strong trend toward reduction in HCV transmission (odds ratio 0.26, 95% confidence interval, 0.07–1.01).

Maternal HIV/HCV coinfection also may increase the risk of perinatal transmission of HIV.¹⁷ Therefore, cART is recommended for all HIV/HCV-coinfected pregnant women, regardless of CD4 cell count or HIV viral load.

Monitoring of HIV/ Hepatitis C Virus-Infected Women during Pregnancy

An elevation in hepatic enzymes following initiation of cART can occur in HIV/HCV-coinfected women—particularly in those with low CD4 cell counts at treatment initiation—as a result of an immune-mediated flare in HCV disease triggered by immune reconstitution with effective cART. HCV infection may increase the

hepatotoxic risk of certain ARV agents, specifically PIs and nevirapine. Pregnant women with HIV/HCV coinfection should be counseled about signs and symptoms of liver toxicity, and transaminase levels should be assessed 1 month after initiation of ARV drugs and then every 3 months thereafter. If hepatic toxicity occurs, consideration may need to be given to substituting a less hepatotoxic drug regimen, and if clinical symptoms or significant elevations of transaminases occur, drugs may need to be temporarily discontinued. Differentiating between a flare in HCV disease associated with immune reconstitution and drug toxicity often can be difficult; therefore, consultation with an expert in HIV and HCV coinfection is strongly recommended.

Mode of Delivery

As with transmission of HIV, risk of perinatal transmission of HCV may be increased by use of internal fetal monitoring, amniocentesis, and rupture of membranes for more than 6 hours. ^{12,18} The majority of studies of elective cesarean delivery in HCV-infected women with or without HIV coinfection have found that the procedure does not reduce the risk of perinatal transmission of HCV. ^{15,19-21} Thus, the general recommendations for intrapartum management are the same in women with HIV/HCV coinfection as in those with HIV infection alone (see Intrapartum Care).

Evaluation of HCV-Exposed Infants

Infants born to women with HIV/HCV coinfection should be assessed for HCV infection with anti-HCV antibody testing after age 18 months. Infants who screen positive should undergo confirmatory HCV RNA testing. HCV RNA virologic testing can be done after age 2 months, if earlier diagnosis is indicated or desirable. ^{22,23} Because HCV viremia can be intermittent, two negative HCV RNA tests at or after age 2 months, including one at or after age 12 months, are needed to definitively exclude HCV infection. Children are considered to be HCV-infected if they have two or more positive HCV RNA polymerase chain reaction results at any age, or are HCV antibody-positive beyond age 18 months.

- Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in HIV-1-infected adults and adolescents. 2014. Available at http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/AdultandAdolescentGL.pdf. Accessed June 6, 2015.
- Panel on Opportunistic Infections in HIV-Infected Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the prevention and treatment
 of opportunistic infections in HIV-infected adults and adolescents:recommendations from the Centers for Disease
 Control and Prevention, the National Institutes of Health, and the HIV Medicine Association of the Infectious Diseases
 Society of America. 2015. Available at http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lvguidelines/adult_oi.pdf. Accessed July 7,
 2015.
- 3. Landes M, Newell ML, Barlow P, et al. Hepatitis B or hepatitis C coinfection in HIV-infected pregnant women in Europe. *HIV Med.* 2008;9(7):526-534. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18554310.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Guidelines for vaccinating pregnant women, hepatitis A. 2014. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/pubs/preg-guide.htm#hepa. Accessed March 8, 2015.
- 5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Prevention of hepatitis A through active or passive immunization. *MMWR*. 2006;55(RR-7). Available at http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/PDF/rr/rr5507.pdf.
- 6. Boskovic R, Wide R, Wolpin J, Bauer DJ, Koren G. The reproductive effects of beta interferon therapy in pregnancy: a longitudinal cohort. *Neurology*. 2005;65(6):807-811. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16186517.
- Hegenbarth K, Maurer U, Kroisel PM, Fickert P, Trauner M, Stauber RE. No evidence for mutagenic effects of ribavirin: report of two normal pregnancies. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2001;96(7):2286-2287. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11467687.
- 8. Sookoian S. Effect of pregnancy on pre-existing liver disease: chronic viral hepatitis. *Ann Hepatol.* 2006;5(3):190-197. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17060881.
- 9. Benova L, Mohamoud YA, Calvert C, Abu-Raddad LJ. Vertical transmission of hepatitis C virus: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2014;59(6):765-773. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24928290.
- 10. Tovo PA, Palomba E, Ferraris G, et al. Increased risk of maternal-infant hepatitis C virus transmission for women

- coinfected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Italian Study Group for HCV Infection in Children. *Clin Infect Dis.* 1997;25(5):1121-1124. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9402369.
- 11. Gibb DM, Goodall RL, Dunn DT, et al. Mother-to-child transmission of hepatitis C virus: evidence for preventable peripartum transmission. *Lancet*. 2000;356(9233):904-907. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11036896.
- 12. Mast EE, Hwang LY, Seto DS, et al. Risk factors for perinatal transmission of hepatitis C virus (HCV) and the natural history of HCV infection acquired in infancy. *J Infect Dis*. 2005;192(11):1880-1889. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16267758.
- 13. Alter MJ. Epidemiology of viral hepatitis and HIV co-infection. *J Hepatol*. 2006;44(1 Suppl):S6-9. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16352363.
- 14. Polis CB, Shah SN, Johnson KE, Gupta A. Impact of maternal HIV coinfection on the vertical transmission of hepatitis C virus: a meta-analysis. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2007;44(8):1123-1131. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17366462.
- 15. European Paediatric Hepatitis CVN. A significant sex--but not elective cesarean section--effect on mother-to-child transmission of hepatitis C virus infection. *J Infect Dis*. 2005;192(11):1872-1879. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16267757.
- 16. Checa Cabo CA, Stoszek SJ, Quarleri J, et al. Mother-to-child transmission of hepatitis C virus (HCV) among HIV/HCV-coinfected women. *J Ped Infect Dis.* 2013;2(2):126-135. Available at http://jpids.oxfordjournals.org/content/2/2/126.full
- 17. Hershow RC, Riester KA, Lew J, et al. Increased vertical transmission of human immunodeficiency virus from hepatitis C virus-coinfected mothers. Women and Infants Transmission Study. *J Infect Dis.* 1997;176(2):414-420. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9237706.
- 18. Valladares G, Chacaltana A, Sjogren MH. The management of HCV-infected pregnant women. *Ann Hepatol.* 2010;9 Suppl:92-97. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20714003.
- 19. Ghamar Chehreh ME, Tabatabaei SV, Khazanehdari S, Alavian SM. Effect of cesarean section on the risk of perinatal transmission of hepatitis C virus from HCV-RNA+/HIV- mothers: a meta-analysis. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2011;283(2):255-260. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20652289.
- 20. Marine-Barjoan E, Berrebi A, Giordanengo V, et al. HCV/HIV co-infection, HCV viral load and mode of delivery: risk factors for mother-to-child transmission of hepatitis C virus? *AIDS*. 2007;21(13):1811-1815. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17690581.
- 21. McMenamin MB, Jackson AD, Lambert J, et al. Obstetric management of hepatitis C-positive mothers: analysis of vertical transmission in 559 mother-infant pairs. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2008;199(3):315 e311-315. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18771997.
- 22. Indolfi G, Resti M. Perinatal transmission of hepatitis C virus infection. *J Med Virol*. 2009;81(5):836-843. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19319981.
- 23. Polywka S, Pembrey L, Tovo PA, Newell ML. Accuracy of HCV-RNA PCR tests for diagnosis or exclusion of vertically acquired HCV infection. *J Med Virol*. 2006;78(2):305-310. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16372293.

HIV-2 Infection and Pregnancy (Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Panel's Recommendations

- HIV-2 infection should be considered in pregnant women who are from—or have partners from—countries in which the disease is
 endemic and who have positive results on an HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody or HIV-1/HIV-2 antigen/antibody immunoassay. They should be
 tested with a supplemental HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation assay. If they are indeed HIV-2 infected it would show negative HIV-1
 antibodies and positive HIV-2 antibodies (AII).
- A regimen with two nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors and a boosted protease inhibitor currently is recommended for HIV-2infected pregnant women who require treatment for their own health because they have significant clinical disease or CD4 T
 lymphocyte cell (CD4) counts <500 cells/mm³ (AIII).
- Lopinavir/ritonavir plus zidovudine/lamivudine or abacavir/lamivudine or tenofovir disoproxil fumarate/emtricitabine is the preferred combination antiretroviral therapy regimen for HIV-2-infected pregnant women who require treatment (AIII).
- Optimal prophylactic regimens have not been defined for HIV-2-infected pregnant women who do not require treatment for their own health (i.e., CD4 counts >500 cells/mm³ and no significant clinical disease). Experts have recommended the following approaches:
 - A boosted protease inhibitor-based regimen (two nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors plus lopinavir/ritonavir) for prophylaxis, with the drugs stopped postpartum (BIII); or
 - Zidovudine prophylaxis alone during pregnancy and intrapartum (BIII).
- Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors and enfuvirtide are not active against HIV-2 and should not be used for treatment or prophylaxis (AIII).
- · All infants born to HIV-2-infected mothers should receive the standard 6-week zidovudine prophylactic regimen (BIII).
- In the United States, where safe infant formula is readily available, breastfeeding is not recommended for infants of HIV-2-infected mothers (AIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

HIV-2 infection is endemic in West African countries including Ivory Coast, Ghana, Cape Verde, Gambia, Mali, Senegal, Liberia, Guinea, Burkina Faso, Nigeria, Mauritania, Sierra Leone, Guinea Bissau, Niger, Sao Tome, and Togo; Angola; Mozambique; and in parts of India. 1-4 It also occurs in countries such as France and Portugal, which have large numbers of immigrants from these regions. 5 HIV-2 remains rare in the United States. Between 1998 and 2010, 242 HIV-2 cases were reported to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), with 166 cases meeting criteria for HIV-2 diagnosis. These 166 cases constituted only 0.01% of the more than 1.4 million U.S. cases of HIV infection. Of the 50 women aged 15 to 44 years at diagnosis, 24 (48%) were pregnant at or after HIV-2 diagnosis. HIV-2 infection should be suspected in pregnant women who are from—or who have partners from—countries in which the disease is endemic who have positive results on an HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody or HIV-1/HIV-2 antigen/antibody immunoassay. They should be tested with a supplemental HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation immunoassay. If they are indeed HIV-2 infected it would show negative HIV-1 antibodies and positive HIV-2 antibodies. In rare instances, a woman may have dual infection with HIV-1 and HIV-2 and both tests will be positive. Before the CDC implemented a new HIV testing algorithm in 2014, such individuals typically would have tested positive for HIV-1 antibody on an initial enzyme-linked immunoassay screening test and had repeatedly indeterminate results on HIV-1 Western blot along with HIV-1 RNA viral loads at or below the limit of detection.⁷⁻⁹ Note that this pattern of HIV testing can also be seen in patients who have a false-positive HIV-1 test.

In 2014, the CDC released a new HIV Testing Algorithm, which may enhance the diagnosis of HIV-2. The first step in that algorithm is performance on serum or plasma of an HIV-1/HIV-2 antigen/antibody combination assay (e.g., Abbott Architect HIV Ag/Ab combo assay, BioRad GS Combo Ag/Ab EIA, Alere Determine).¹⁰ This test does not distinguish between antibodies to HIV-1 and HIV-2. Specimens which are reactive on this test must be tested with a Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved second-generation antibody assay to

distinguish HIV-1 from HIV-2 antibodies. There are two HIV-2 antibody supplemental tests now approved by FDA that can be used as part of the CDC recommended HIV laboratory testing algorithm: Multispot HIV-1/HIV-2 Rapid Test (Bio-Rad Laboratories) and GeeniuS (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Viral load assays for HIV-2 are not commercially available, but may be available under research protocols. The University of Washington (http://depts.washington.edu/labweb/AboutLM/Contact.htm)¹¹ and the New York State Department of Health (http://www.hivguidelines.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/04/human-immunodeficiency-virus-type-2-hiv-2.pdf)¹² offer HIV-2 viral load assays. All HIV-2 cases should be reported to the HIV surveillance program of the state or local health department, which can arrange for additional confirmatory testing for HIV-2 by the CDC.¹³ No validated HIV-2 genotype or phenotype resistance assays are available in the United States. Recently, European experts developed a rule set and an automated tool for HIV-2 drug resistance analyses that is freely available on the Internet (see http://www.hiv-grade.de).¹⁴

HIV-2 has a longer asymptomatic phase than HIV-1, with a slower progression to AIDS. The most common mode of HIV-2 transmission is through heterosexual sex. HIV-2 is less infectious than HIV-1, with a 5-fold lower rate of sexual transmission and 20- to 30-fold lower rate of vertical transmission.^{3,15,16} Several studies confirm that rates of perinatal transmission of HIV-2 are low with and without interventions (0% to 4%), which may be a result of reduced plasma viral loads and less cervical viral shedding, compared with that seen in HIV-1-infected women.¹⁷⁻²⁰ HIV-2 also can be transmitted through breastfeeding. HIV-2 infection does not protect against HIV-1 and dual infection, which carries the same prognosis as HIV-1 monoinfection, can occur.²¹

Few data exist on which to base treatment decisions or strategies for prevention of perinatal transmission in patients infected with HIV-2. In a systematic review of non-pregnant, HIV-2-infected patients from 1996–2012, Ekouevi, et al. noted a heterogeneity of treatment outcomes among HIV-2-infected patients initiating combination antiretroviral therapy, especially in resource-limited settings.²² Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs) and enfuvirtide are not active against HIV-2 and should not be used for treatment or prophylaxis.^{23,24} HIV-2 has variable sensitivity to protease inhibitors (PIs), with lopinavir, saquinavir, and darunavir having the most activity.²⁵ The integrase inhibitors raltegravir and elvitegravir also appear to be effective against HIV-2.^{3,26-29} The CCR5 antagonist maraviroc appears active against some strains of HIV-2, although there are no approved assays to determine HIV-2 co-receptor tropism.^{30,31} HIV-2 drug resistance has been documented with various antiretroviral (ARV) drugs.^{32,33}

The care of HIV-2-infected pregnant women has been based on expert opinion. A regimen with two nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors and a boosted PI currently is recommended for HIV-2-infected pregnant women who require treatment for their own health because they have significant clinical disease or CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts <500 cells/mm³.³⁴ Based on efficacy and available data on safety in HIV-1-infected pregnant women, lopinavir/ritonavir plus zidovudine/lamivudine or abacavir/lamivudine or tenofovir disoproxil fumarate/emtricitabine or lamivudine is preferred.^{35,36} NNRTIs should not be used because they are not active against HIV-2.

For HIV-2-infected pregnant women with CD4 cell counts >500 cells/mm³ and no significant clinical disease, who do not require treatment for their own health, some experts would use a boosted PI-based regimen for prophylaxis and stop the drugs postpartum. Single-drug prophylaxis with zidovudine alone has also been considered by other experts for prevention of perinatal transmission because HIV-2 has a significantly lower risk of perinatal transmission than HIV-1. However, this may not be an optimal choice as there seems to be a low genetic barrier to resistance in HIV-2, with as few as two mutations conferring full zidovudine resistance. All infants born to mothers infected with HIV-2 should receive the standard 6-week zidovudine prophylactic regimen. The possible risks and benefits of ARV prophylaxis should be discussed with the mothers.

Pregnant women who have HIV-1/HIV-2 coinfection should be treated according to the guidelines for HIV-1-monoinfected patients, making sure that the ARV regimen chosen is also appropriate for HIV-2.

Other than the standard obstetric indications, no data exist regarding the role of elective cesarean delivery in women who are infected with HIV-2. The risk to infants from breastfeeding is lower for HIV-2 than for HIV-

1, but breastfeeding should be avoided in the United States and other resource-rich countries where safe infant formula is readily available. 18

Infants born to HIV-2-infected mothers should be tested for HIV-2 infection with HIV-2-specific virologic assays at time points similar to those used for HIV-1 testing.³⁸ HIV-2 virologic assays are not commercially available, but the National Perinatal HIV Hotline (888-448-8765) can provide a list of sites that perform this testing.

Testing of infants at age 18 months (e.g., with the Bio-Rad Laboratories Multispot HIV-1/HIV-2 test) also is recommended to confirm clearance of HIV-2 antibodies.³⁶

- 1. De Cock KM, Brun-Vezinet F. Epidemiology of HIV-2 infection. *AIDS*. 1989;3 Suppl 1:S89-95. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2514761.
- 2. De Cock KM, Adjorlolo G, Ekpini E, et al. Epidemiology and transmission of HIV-2. Why there is no HIV-2 pandemic. *JAMA*. 1993;270(17):2083-2086. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8147962.
- 3. Campbell-Yesufu OT, Gandhi RT. Update on human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-2 infection. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2011;52(6):780-787. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21367732.
- 4. Heitzinger K, Sow PS, Dia Badiane NM, et al. Trends of HIV-1, HIV-2 and dual infection in women attending outpatient clinics in Senegal, 1990-2009. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2012;23(10):710-716. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23104745.
- 5. Cazein F, Lot F, Pillonel J, al e. HIV and AIDS surveillance in France, 2006. Bull Epidemiol Hebd. 2007(46-47):386-393.
- 6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. HIV-2 Infection Surveillance--United States, 1987-2009. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2011;60(29):985-988. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21796096.
- 7. O'Brien TR, George JR, Epstein JS, Holmberg SD, Schochetman G. Testing for antibodies to human immunodeficiency virus type 2 in the United States. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* 1992;41(RR-12):1-9. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1324395.
- 8. Torian LV, Eavey JJ, Punsalang AP, et al. HIV type 2 in New York City, 2000-2008. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2010;51(11):1334-1342. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21039219.
- 9. Hollenbeck BL, Beckwith CG. HIV-2 infection in Providence, Rhode Island from 2002 to 2011. *HIV Med*. 2013;14(2):115-119. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22574645.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and Association of Public Health Laboratories. Laboratory testing for the diagnosis of HIV infection: updated recommendations. 2014. Available at http://stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/23447. Accessed January 17, 2015.
- 11. Chang M, Gottlieb GS, Dragavon JA, et al. Validation for clinical use of a novel HIV-2 plasma RNA viral load assay using the Abbott m2000 platform. *J Clin Virol*. 2012;55(2):128-133. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22832059.
- 12. Styer LM, Miller TT, Parker MM. Validation and clinical use of a sensitive HIV-2 viral load assay that uses a whole virus internal control. *J Clin Virol*. 2013;58 Suppl 1:e127-133. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24342472.
- 13. Branson BM, Pandori M. 2012 HIV Diagnostics Conference: the molecular diagnostics perspective. *Expert Rev Mol Diagn*. 2013;13(3):243-245. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23570401.
- 14. Charpentier C, Camacho R, Ruelle J, et al. HIV-2EU: supporting standardized HIV-2 drug resistance interpretation in Europe. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2013;56(11):1654-1658. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23429380.
- 15. Kanki PJ, Travers KU, S MB, et al. Slower heterosexual spread of HIV-2 than HIV-1. *Lancet*. 1994;343(8903):943-946. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7909009.
- 16. Matheron S, Courpotin C, Simon F, et al. Vertical transmission of HIV-2. *Lancet*. 1990;335(8697):1103-1104. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1970407.
- O'Donovan D, Ariyoshi K, Milligan P, et al. Maternal plasma viral RNA levels determine marked differences in mother-to-child transmission rates of HIV-1 and HIV-2 in The Gambia. MRC/Gambia Government/University College London Medical School working group on mother-child transmission of HIV. AIDS. 2000;14(4):441-448. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10770548.
- 18. Burgard M, Jasseron C, Matheron S, et al. Mother-to-child transmission of HIV-2 infection from 1986 to 2007 in the ANRS French Perinatal Cohort EPF-CO1. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2010;51(7):833-843. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20804413.

- 19. Adjorlolo-Johnson G, De Cock KM, Ekpini E, et al. Prospective comparison of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 and HIV-2 in Abidjan, Ivory Coast. *JAMA*. 1994;272(6):462-466. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8040982.
- 20. Andreasson PA, Dias F, Naucler A, Andersson S, Biberfeld G. A prospective study of vertical transmission of HIV-2 in Bissau, Guinea-Bissau. *AIDS*. 1993;7(7):989-993. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8357558.
- 21. Prince PD, Matser A, van Tienen C, Whittle HC, Schim van der Loeff MF. Mortality rates in people dually infected with HIV-1/2 and those infected with either HIV-1 or HIV-2: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2014;28(4):549-558. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23921613.
- 22. Ekouevi DK, Tchounga BK, Coffie PA, et al. Antiretroviral therapy response among HIV-2 infected patients: a systematic review. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2014;14:461. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25154616.
- 23. Tuaillon E, Gueudin M, Lemee V, et al. Phenotypic susceptibility to nonnucleoside inhibitors of virion-associated reverse transcriptase from different HIV types and groups. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2004;37(5):1543-1549. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15577405.
- 24. Poveda E, Rodes B, Toro C, Soriano V. Are fusion inhibitors active against all HIV variants? *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 2004;20(3):347-348. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15117459.
- 25. Desbois D, Roquebert B, Peytavin G, et al. In vitro phenotypic susceptibility of human immunodeficiency virus type 2 clinical isolates to protease inhibitors. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2008;52(4):1545-1548. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18227188.
- 26. Roquebert B, Damond F, Collin G, et al. HIV-2 integrase gene polymorphism and phenotypic susceptibility of HIV-2 clinical isolates to the integrase inhibitors raltegravir and elvitegravir in vitro. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2008;62(5):914-920. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18718922.
- 27. Bercoff DP, Triqueneaux P, Lambert C, et al. Polymorphisms of HIV-2 integrase and selection of resistance to raltegravir. *Retrovirology*. 2010;7:98. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21114823.
- 28. Andreatta K, Miller MD, White KL. HIV-2 Antiviral Potency and Selection of Drug Resistance Mutations by the Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitor Elvitegravir and NRTIs Emtricitabine and Tenofovir In Vitro. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2012. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23187937.
- 29. Peterson K, Ruelle J, Vekemans M, Siegal FP, Deayton JR, Colebunders R. The role of raltegravir in the treatment of HIV-2 infections: evidence from a case series. *Antivir Ther*. 2012;17(6):1097-1100. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22892365.
- 30. Borrego P, Taveira N. HIV-2 susceptibility to entry inhibitors. *AIDS reviews*. 2013;15(1):49-61. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23449229.
- 31. Visseaux B, Charpentier C, Hurtado-Nedelec M, et al. In vitro phenotypic susceptibility of HIV-2 clinical isolates to CCR5 inhibitors. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2012;56(1):137-139. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22064539.
- 32. Charpentier C, Visseaux B, Benard A, et al. Transmitted drug resistance in French HIV-2-infected patients. *AIDS*. 2013;27(10):1671-1674. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23595155.
- 33. Menendez-Arias L, Alvarez M. Antiretroviral therapy and drug resistance in human immunodeficiency virus type 2 infection. *Antiviral Res.* 2014;102:70-86. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24345729.
- 34. Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in HIV-1-infected adults and adolescents. http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/AdultandAdolescentGL.pdf.
- 35. Gilleece Y, Chadwick DR, Breuer J, et al. British HIV Association guidelines for antiretroviral treatment of HIV-2-positive individuals 2010. *HIV Med.* 2010;11(10):611-619. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20961377.
- 36. de Ruiter A, Mercey D, Anderson J, et al. British HIV Association and Children's HIV Association guidelines for the management of HIV infection in pregnant women 2008. *HIV Med*. 2008;9(7):452-502. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18840151.
- 37. Smith RA, Anderson DJ, Pyrak CL, Kiviat NB, Gottlieb GS, Preston BD. Low genetic barrier to nucleoside analogue resistance in HIV-2. *Antivir Ther* 2007;12:S137.
- 38. Panel on Antiretroviral Therapy and Medical Management of HIV-Infected Children. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in pediatric HIV infection. 2014. Available at http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lvguidelines/pediatricguidelines.pdf. Accessed May 13, 2015.

Pregnancy in Women with Perinatal HIV Infection (Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

With the availability of potent combination antiretroviral therapy (cART), morbidity and mortality have significantly declined in HIV-infected individuals, including those with perinatally acquired HIV. An increasing number of those with perinatal HIV infection are now reaching childbearing age and becoming pregnant or fathering children. A significant number of these pregnancies are unintended.¹⁻³ The components of prenatal care and general principles of cART and HIV management do not differ between pregnant women who were perinatally infected and those who acquired HIV infection in other ways. However, there are some unique challenges in this population related to reproductive health care needs and the prevention of perinatal transmission. Adherence to cART historically has been an issue for women with longstanding HIV infection and is a key challenge in caring for perinatally infected women. In addition, because most perinatally infected pregnant women are adolescents and young adults, they may be at higher risk of certain pregnancy complications such as preterm delivery, low birthweight, and preeclampsia.⁴⁻⁶

Perinatally infected women may be at risk of drug resistance due to extensive cART exposure prior to pregnancy, including exposure to suboptimal mono- or dual-therapy regimens as children.⁷ The choice of the best cART regimen to prevent perinatal transmission and for maternal treatment is crucial to the management of perinatally infected pregnant women. Optimal cART regimens should be selected on the basis of resistance testing, prior cART history, and the same guiding principles used for heavily ART-experienced adults. Given challenges to adherence in perinatally infected pregnant adolescents, whenever possible, consideration should be given to regimens that optimize dosing intervals and minimize pill burden. Consultation with experts in HIV and pregnancy is recommended.

Several studies comparing perinatally and horizontally infected (e.g., through sexual contact or injection drug use) pregnant women have reported that perinatally infected women were more likely to have lower median CD4 T lymphocyte counts, detectable viral loads, and genotypic drug resistances (40% vs 12%).^{7,8} In a retrospective analysis of 37 pregnancies among perinatally infected women and 40 pregnancies among agematched horizontally infected women delivering during the same time period, the viral load decline achieved during pregnancy in the perinatally infected women was not sustained during postpartum follow-up in contrast to the horizontally infected women. During extended follow-up of 4 years, there were 4 deaths due to AIDS-related complications in the perinatally infected women and none in the horizontally infected women.⁸ Although genotypic mutations were more common in perinatally infected women, loss of viral suppression resulting in progression of disease postpartum is more likely related to adherence highlighting the need for special focus on adherence interventions after delivery.

Although data are limited, some studies have suggested that perinatally infected women may have elevated rates of preterm and small-for-gestational-age (SGA) infants compared to women with horizontal HIV infection. However, other studies have not reported this. Williams et al. reported a 31% incidence of preterm delivery and/or premature rupture of membranes in a cohort of 10 perinatally infected pregnant women. In a cohort of 79 pregnant women (17 with perinatal and 62 with horizontal infection) with 87 live births, Jao et al. reported a four-fold increased risk for SGA births among women infected perinatally versus women infected horizontally. 10 Munjal et al. reported that perinatally infected women were more likely to deliver at an earlier gestational age and that their newborns had a lower average birthweight. In contrast, Agwu found no differences in adverse pregnancy outcomes in 96 pregnancies between perinatally and horizontally infected women; however, there were high rates of preterm births in both groups (29.4% among women with perinatal infection and 36.3% among those with horizontal infection). 11 Badell et al. also did not find differences in birth outcomes between 20 women with perinatal infection and 80 with horizontal infection. Several studies have suggested that perinatally infected pregnant women are more likely to have a cesarean delivery (most commonly related to prevention of HIV infection due to lack of optimal viral load suppression).^{8,9} The young age of these women and their likelihood of future pregnancies and possibly cesarean deliveries raise concerns regarding the potential for increased risk of adverse obstetric outcomes in the future.

Reassuringly, despite prolonged HIV infection in perinatally infected women, receipt of multiple cART regimens, and increased likelihood of having drug-resistant virus, when appropriate ART and prenatal management occurs and optimal viral load suppression is attained the risk of perinatal transmission does not appear to be increased in this population.^{7-9,12-14}

Among perinatally infected adolescents, pregnancy may create additional burdens in the transition from pediatric/adolescent HIV care to adult care. Psychosocial challenges may be magnified due to the presence of a lifelong chronic illness, high rates of depression, ¹⁵ and frequent loss of one or both parents. Attention to developmentally appropriate adherence counseling is critical. A systematic review and meta-analysis of 50 eligible studies on cART adherence in HIV-infected individuals aged 12 years to 24 years, in which adequate adherence was defined as >85% by self-report or undetectable viral load, reported 62.3% adherence overall among HIV-infected youth, with youth from U.S. studies having lowest average rate of adherence, at 53%. ¹⁶ Co-management between adolescent physicians and the prenatal team case managers may be helpful. Inclusion of developmentally appropriate risk-reduction interventions, integration of reproductive health counseling and pregnancy prevention, inclusion of perinatally infected males in guidance for planning future pregnancies, developmentally appropriate skill building to support disclosure, and consistent condom use are also important.

- 1. Kenny J, Williams B, Prime K, Tookey P, Foster C. Pregnancy outcomes in adolescents in the UK and Ireland growing up with HIV. *HIV Med*. 2012;13(5):304-308. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22136754.
- Brogly SB, Ylitalo N, Mofenson LM, et al. In utero nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor exposure and signs of possible mitochondrial dysfunction in HIV-uninfected children. *AIDS*. 2007;21(8):929-938. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17457086.
- 3. Badell ML, Lindsay M. Thirty years later: pregnancies in females perinatally infected with human immunodeficiency virus-1. *AIDS Research and Treatment*. 2012;2012:418630. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22970353.
- 4. Ganchimeg T, Ota E, Morisaki N, et al. Pregnancy and childbirth outcomes among adolescent mothers: a World Health Organization multicountry study. *BJOG*. 2014;121 Suppl 1:40-48. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24641534.
- 5. Ananth CV, Keyes KM, Wapner RJ. Pre-eclampsia rates in the United States, 1980-2010: age-period-cohort analysis. *BMJ*. 2013;347:f6564. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24201165.
- 6. Witt WP, Cheng ER, Wisk LE, et al. Preterm birth in the United States: the impact of stressful life events prior to conception and maternal age. *American J Pub Health*. 2014;104 Suppl 1:S73-80. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24354830.
- 7. Badell ML, Kachikis A, Haddad LB, Nguyen ML, Lindsay M. Comparison of pregnancies between perinatally and sexually HIV-infected women: an observational study at an urban hospital. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol*. 2013;2013:301763. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24106419.
- 8. Munjal I, Dobroszycki J, Fakioglu E, et al. Impact of HIV-1 infection and pregnancy on maternal health: comparison between perinatally and behaviorally infected young women. *Adolesc Health Med Ther*. 2013;4:51-58. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24600295.
- Williams SF, Keane-Tarchichi MH, Bettica L, Dieudonne A, Bardeguez AD. Pregnancy outcomes in young women with perinatally acquired human immunodeficiency virus-1. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2009;200(2):149 e141-145. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18973871.
- 10. Jao J, Sigel KM, Chen KT, et al. Small for gestational age birth outcomes in pregnant women with perinatally acquired HIV. *AIDS*. 2012;26(7):855-859. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22313958.
- 11. Agwu AL, Jang SS, Korthuis PT, Araneta MR, Gebo KA. Pregnancy incidence and outcomes in vertically and behaviorally HIV-infected youth. *JAMA*. 2011;305(5):468-470. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21285423.
- 12. Phillips UK, Rosenberg MG, Dobroszycki J, et al. Pregnancy in women with perinatally acquired HIV-infection: outcomes and challenges. *AIDS Care*. 2011;23(9):1076-1082. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21562997.

- 13. Calitri C, Gabiano C, Galli L, et al. The second generation of HIV-1 vertically exposed infants: a case series from the Italian Register for paediatric HIV infection. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2014;14:277. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24885649.
- 14. Millery M, Vazquez S, Walther V, Humphrey N, Schlecht J, Van Devanter N. Pregnancies in perinatally HIV-infected young women and implications for care and service programs. *J Assoc Nurses AIDS Care*. 2012;23(1):41-51. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21820325.
- 15. Mellins CA, Brackis-Cott E, Dolezal C, Abrams EJ. Psychiatric disorders in youth with perinatally acquired human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2006;25(5):432-437. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16645508.
- Kim SH, Gerver SM, Fidler S, Ward H. Adherence to antiretroviral therapy in adolescents living with HIV: systematic review and meta-analysis. AIDS. 2014;28(13):1945-1956. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24845154.

Acute HIV Infection (Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Panel's Recommendations

- When acute retroviral syndrome is suspected in pregnancy or during breastfeeding, a plasma HIV RNA test should be obtained in conjunction with a routine HIV antibody screening test or an antigen/antibody immunoassay test (see Identifying, Diagnosing, and Managing Acute HIV-1 Infection in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/HIVtestingAlgorithmRecommendation-Final.pdf) (All).
- Repeat HIV testing in the third trimester is recommended for pregnant women with initial negative HIV antibody tests who are known to be at risk of acquiring HIV, are receiving care in facilities that have an HIV incidence in pregnant women of at least 1 per 1,000 per year, are incarcerated, or who reside in jurisdictions with elevated HIV incidence (see Revised Recommendations for HIV Testing of Adults, Adolescents, and Pregnant Women in Health-Care Settings and http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/HIVtestingAlgorithmRecommendation-Final.pdf) (AII).
- All pregnant women with acute or recent HIV infection should start a combination antiretroviral drug regimen as soon as possible to prevent perinatal transmission, with the goal of suppressing plasma HIV RNA to below detectable levels (AI).
- In women with acute HIV infection, baseline genotypic resistance testing should be performed simultaneously with initiation of the combination antiretroviral regimen, and the antiretroviral regimen should be adjusted, if necessary, to optimize virologic response (AIII).
- Because clinically significant resistance to protease inhibitors (PIs) is less common than resistance to non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors in antiretroviral-naive individuals, a ritonavir-boosted, PI-based regimen should be initiated (AIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

Primary or acute HIV infection in pregnancy or during breastfeeding is associated with an increased risk of perinatal transmission of HIV and may represent a significant proportion of residual perinatal transmission in the United States.

In North Carolina, from 2002 to 2005, 5 of 15 women found to have acute HIV infection on nucleic acid amplification testing of pooled HIV antibody-negative specimens were pregnant at the time of testing.² All 5 women received antiretroviral (ARV) drugs and delivered HIV-uninfected infants. From 2002 to 2006, of 3,396 HIV-exposed neonates born in New York State, 22% (9 of 41) of infants born to mothers who acquired HIV during pregnancy became infected with HIV, compared with 1.8% of those born to mothers who did not acquire HIV during pregnancy (OR 15.19; 95% CI, 3.98–56.30).³ A case series from China reported a perinatal transmission rate of 35.8% in 106 breastfeeding infants of mothers who acquired HIV postnatally through blood transfusion.⁴ The high rate of transmission associated with acute infection likely is related to the combination of the high viral load in plasma, breast milk, and the genital tract associated with acute infection⁵ and the fact that the diagnosis is easy to miss, which results in lost opportunities for implementation of prevention interventions.

Health care providers should maintain a high level of suspicion of acute HIV infection in women who are pregnant or breastfeeding and have a compatible clinical syndrome, even when they do not report high-risk behaviors, because it is possible that their sexual partners are practicing high-risk behaviors of which the women are unaware.

An estimated 40% to 90% of patients with acute HIV infection will experience symptoms of acute retroviral syndrome, characterized by fever, lymphadenopathy, pharyngitis, skin rash, myalgias/arthralgias, and other symptoms.^{6,7} Providers often do not recognize acute HIV infection, however, because the symptoms are similar to those of other common illnesses and individuals with the condition also can be asymptomatic. Combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) is currently recommended for all adults and adolescents with HIV

infection, including those with acute or recent infection. Whether treatment of acute or recent HIV infection results in long-term virologic, immunologic, or clinical benefit is unknown.

When acute retroviral syndrome is suspected in pregnancy or during breastfeeding, a plasma HIV RNA test should be obtained in conjunction with a routine HIV antibody screening test or an antigen/antibody immunoassay test. Updated guidance for HIV testing recommends initial testing for HIV with a Food and Drug Administration-approved antigen/antibody combination (fourth generation) immunoassay that detects HIV-1 and HIV-2 antibodies and HIV-1 p24 antigen. These tests are used to screen for established infection with HIV-1 or HIV-2 and for acute HIV-1 infection. These fourth-generation tests have the advantage of a shorter window to detect infection (2 weeks compared with 4 weeks by Western Blot testing). A second rapid test with a different assay should be performed to confirm any positive test. The fourth-generation tests are becoming increasingly available and will likely result in improved detection of acute and early HIV infection (see <u>Acute and Recent HIV</u> in the <u>Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines</u> and http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/HIVtestingAlgorithmRecommendation-Final.pdf). Confirmatory serologic testing should be performed within 3 months on patients whose acute HIV infection is diagnosed with virologic testing but who are antibody-negative or whose antibody levels cannot be determined.

Recent HIV infection also can be detected by repeat HIV testing later in pregnancy in women whose initial HIV test earlier in pregnancy was negative. A report from the Mother-Infant Rapid Intervention at Delivery study found that 6 (11%) of 54 women whose HIV was identified with rapid HIV testing during labor had primary infection. In the United States, of 10,308 HIV-infected pregnant women who delivered live infants from 2005 to 2010 in 15 areas conducting Enhanced Perinatal Surveillance (EPS), 124 (1.2%) were identified as seroconverting during pregnancy. The rate of perinatal transmission was eight times higher among women who seroconverted during pregnancy (12.9%) than in those who became infected prior to pregnancy (1.6%) (P < 0.0001). Repeat HIV testing in the third trimester is recommended for pregnant women known to be at risk of HIV, who receive care in facilities with an HIV incidence of at least 1 case per 1,000 pregnant women per year, who are incarcerated, or who reside in jurisdictions with elevated HIV incidence (see Revised Recommendations for HIV Testing of Adults, Adolescents, and Pregnant Women in Health-Care Settings and http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/HIVtestingAlgorithmRecommendation-Final.pdf). Page 12 to 12 to 12 to 13 to 14 to 15 to 15

In pregnant or breastfeeding women, acute or recent HIV infection is associated with a high risk of perinatal transmission of HIV. All HIV-infected pregnant women with acute or recent infection should start a combination ARV regimen as soon as possible, with the goal of preventing perinatal transmission by optimal suppression of plasma HIV RNA below detectable levels. Data from the United States and Europe demonstrate that in 6% to 16% of patients, transmitted virus may be resistant to at least one ARV drug. ARV drug. Therefore, baseline genotypic resistance testing should be performed to guide selection or adjustment of an optimal ARV drug regimen. If results of resistance testing or the source virus's resistance pattern are known, that information should be used to guide selection of the drug regimen, but initiation of the combination ARV regimen should not be delayed. Because clinically significant resistance to protease inhibitors (PIs) is less common than resistance to non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors in ARV-naive persons, a PI-based ARV drug regimen generally should be initiated. Choice of regimen should be based on recommendations for use of ARV drugs in pregnancy (see Table 6 and Table 7). Following delivery, considerations regarding continuation of the ARV regimen for treatment are the same for mothers as for other non-pregnant individuals.

When acute HIV infection is diagnosed during pregnancy, and particularly if it is documented in late pregnancy, cesarean delivery is likely to be necessary because there may be insufficient time to fully suppress a patient's viral load. In nursing mothers in whom seroconversion is suspected, breastfeeding should be interrupted and it should not resume if infection is confirmed (see <u>Breastfeeding</u> in <u>Infants of Mothers</u> <u>Diagnosed with HIV Infection</u> in <u>Infant Antiretroviral Prophylaxis</u>). Women can continue to express and store breast milk while awaiting confirmation of infection status. In such a situation, given the high risk of transmission to the infant with acute maternal infection, consultation with a pediatric HIV specialist regarding appropriate infant management is strongly recommended.

All women who are pregnant or breastfeeding should be counseled about prevention of acquisition of HIV (see Updated U.S. Public Health Service Guidelines for the Management of Occupational Exposures to HIV and Recommendations for Postexposure Prophylaxis and Antiretroviral Postexposure Prophylaxis After Sexual, Injection-Drug Use, or Other Nonoccupational Exposure to HIV in the United States). Several studies suggest that pregnancy may be a time of increased risk of transmission of HIV¹⁷⁻²² even when controlling for sexual risk behaviors. 17 It is hypothesized that the heightened risk may be attributable to hormonal changes that affect the genital tract mucosa or immune responses. ¹⁷ Although no reliable data on HIV serodiscordance rates in the United States exist, data on women from sub-Saharan Africa show that women in serodiscordant relationships may be particularly vulnerable to acquisition of HIV.^{23,24} All women should be asked if they know the HIV status of their partner. HIV testing of the sexual partners of pregnant women should be encouraged; initiation of cART is recommended for partners who are identified to be HIVinfected to reduce the risk of HIV acquisition by the woman.²⁵ Furthermore, the importance of using condoms should be reinforced in pregnant and breastfeeding women who may be at risk of acquisition of HIV, including those whose partners are HIV-infected, and the potential use of pre- or post-exposure antiretroviral prophylaxis also should be emphasized (see Reproductive Options for HIV-Concordant and Serodiscordant Couples).

- 1. Nesheim S, Harris LF, Lampe M. Elimination of perinatal HIV infection in the USA and other high-income countries: achievements and challenges. *Curr Opin HIV AIDS*. 2013;8(5):447-456. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23925002.
- 2. Patterson KB, Leone PA, Fiscus SA, et al. Frequent detection of acute HIV infection in pregnant women. *AIDS*. 2007;21(17):2303-2308. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18090278.
- 3. Birkhead GS, Pulver WP, Warren BL, Hackel S, Rodriguez D, Smith L. Acquiring human immunodeficiency virus during pregnancy and mother-to-child transmission in New York: 2002-2006. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2010;115(6):1247-1255. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20502297.
- 4. Liang K, Gui X, Zhang YZ, Zhuang K, Meyers K, Ho DD. A case series of 104 women infected with HIV-1 via blood transfusion postnatally: high rate of HIV-1 transmission to infants through breast-feeding. *J Infect Dis*. 2009;200(5):682-686. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19627245.
- 5. Morrison CS, Demers K, Kwok C, et al. Plasma and cervical viral loads among Ugandan and Zimbabwean women during acute and early HIV-1 infection. *AIDS*. 2010;24(4):573-582. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20154581.
- 6. Yerly S, Hirschel B. Diagnosing acute HIV infection. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther*. 2012;10(1):31-41. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22149612.
- 7. Richey LE, Halperin J. Acute human immunodeficiency virus infection. *The Am J Med Sci.* 2013;345(2):136-142. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23095473.
- Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in HIV-1-infected adults and adolescents. 2014. Available at http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lyguidelines/AdultandAdolescentGL.pdf. Accessed April 20, 2015.
- 9. Wertz J, Cesario J, Sackrison J, Kim S, Dola C. Acute HIV Infection in Pregnancy: The Case for Third Trimester Rescreening. *Case Rep Infect Dis.* 2011;2011:340817. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22567467.
- Nesheim S, Jamieson DJ, Danner SP, et al. Primary human immunodeficiency virus infection during pregnancy detected by repeat testing. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2007;197(2):149 e141-145. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17689629.
- 11. Singh S, Lampe MA, Surendera B, Borkowf CB, Nesheim SR. HIV seroconversion during pregnancy and mother-to-child HIV transmission: data from the enhanced perinatal surveillance projects, United States, 2005-2010. Presented at: The 20th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI 2013). 2013. Atlanta, GA.
- 12. Branson BM, Handsfield HH, Lampe MA, et al. Revised recommendations for HIV testing of adults, adolescents, and pregnant women in health-care settings. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* 2006;55(RR-14):1-17; quiz CE11-14. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16988643.

- 13. Drake A, Kinuthia J, Matemo D, et al. Virologic and immunologic response following antiretroviral therapy initiation among pregnant and postpartum women with acute HIV-1 infection. Presented at: 20th International AIDS Conference. 2014. Melbourne, Australia.
- 14. Wheeler WH, Ziebell RA, Zabina H, et al. Prevalence of transmitted drug resistance associated mutations and HIV-1 subtypes in new HIV-1 diagnoses, U.S.-2006. *AIDS*. 2010;24(8):1203-1212. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20395786.
- 15. Wensing AM, van de Vijver DA, Angarano G, et al. Prevalence of drug-resistant HIV-1 variants in untreated individuals in Europe: implications for clinical management. *J Infect Dis*. 2005;192(6):958-966. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16107947.
- 16. Hegazi A, Hay P. HIV seroconversion in the third trimester of pregnancy: using raltegravir to prevent mother-to-child transmission. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2013;24(3):245-246. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23440569.
- 17. Gray RH, Li X, Kigozi G, et al. Increased risk of incident HIV during pregnancy in Rakai, Uganda: a prospective study. *Lancet*. 2005;366(9492):1182-1188. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16198767.
- 18. Bernasconi D, Tavoschi L, Regine V, et al. Identification of recent HIV infections and of factors associated with virus acquisition among pregnant women in 2004 and 2006 in Swaziland. *J Clin Virol*. 2010;48(3):180-183. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20537582.
- 19. Moodley D, Esterhuizen TM, Pather T, Chetty V, Ngaleka L. High HIV incidence during pregnancy: compelling reason for repeat HIV testing. *AIDS*. 2009;23(10):1255-1259. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19455017.
- 20. Mugo NR, Heffron R, Donnell D, et al. Increased risk of HIV-1 transmission in pregnancy: a prospective study among African HIV-1-serodiscordant couples. *AIDS*. 2011;25(15):1887-1895. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21785321.
- 21. Keating MA, Hamela G, Miller WC, Moses A, Hoffman IF, Hosseinipour MC. High HIV incidence and sexual behavior change among pregnant women in Lilongwe, Malawi: implications for the risk of HIV acquisition. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(6):e39109. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22768063.
- Drake AL, Wagner A, Richardson B, John-Stewart G. Incident HIV during pregnancy and postpartum and risk of mother-to-child HIV transmission: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Med.* 2014;11(2):e1001608. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24586123.
- Carpenter LM, Kamali A, Ruberantwari A, Malamba SS, Whitworth JA. Rates of HIV-1 transmission within marriage in rural Uganda in relation to the HIV sero-status of the partners. *AIDS*. 1999;13(9):1083-1089. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10397539.
- 24. Brubaker SG, Bukusi EA, Odoyo J, Achando J, Okumu A, Cohen CR. Pregnancy and HIV transmission among HIV-discordant couples in a clinical trial in Kisumu, Kenya. *HIV Med*. 2011;12(5):316-321. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21205129.
- 25. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Preexposure prophylaxis for the prevention of HIV infection in the United States 2014. 2014. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/prepguidelines2014.pdf. Accessed April 20, 2015.

Intrapartum Care (Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Intrapartum Antiretroviral Therapy/Prophylaxis

Panel's Recommendations

- Women should continue their antepartum combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) drug regimen on schedule as much as possible during labor and before scheduled cesarean delivery (AIII).
- Intravenous (IV) zidovudine should be administered to HIV-infected women with HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL (or unknown HIV RNA) near delivery (AI), but is not required for HIV-infected women receiving cART regimens who have HIV RNA ≤1,000 copies/mL during late pregnancy and near delivery and no concerns regarding adherence to the cART regimen (BII). Scheduled cesarean delivery at 38 weeks' gestation (compared to 39 weeks for most indications) is recommended for women who have HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL near delivery (see Transmission and Mode of Delivery) (AI).
- Women who present in labor with unknown HIV status should undergo expedited HIV testing (AII). If the results are positive, a confirmatory HIV test should be done as soon as possible and maternal (IV zidovudine)/infant (combination antiretroviral [ARV] prophylaxis) ARV drugs should be initiated pending results of the confirmatory test (AII). If the maternal confirmatory HIV test is positive, infant ARV drugs should be managed as discussed in the Infant Antiretroviral Prophylaxis section (AI); if the maternal confirmatory HIV test is negative, the maternal and infant ARV drugs should be stopped.

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

Women Who Have Received Antepartum Antiretroviral Drugs

Use of Intravenous Zidovudine During Labor

The PACTG 076 zidovudine regimen included a continuous intravenous (IV) infusion of zidovudine during labor for all women. Combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) regimens are now recommended for all pregnant women for treatment and prevention of perinatal transmission of HIV; the additional benefit of IV zidovudine in women receiving combination regimens has not been evaluated in randomized clinical trials.

The French Perinatal Cohort evaluated transmission in >11,000 HIV-infected pregnant women receiving antiretroviral (ARV) drugs (10% zidovudine alone, 18% dual ARV, and 72% triple ARV) who delivered between 1997 and 2010, stratified by viral load at delivery; 95% received IV intrapartum zidovudine. The overall rate of perinatal transmission was 0.9% (95/10,239) with IV zidovudine and 1.8% (9/514, P = 0.06) without IV zidovudine. Among women with HIV RNA < 1,000 copies/mL at delivery, no transmission occurred among 369 who did not receive IV zidovudine compared to a rate of 0.6% (47/8.132, P > 0.20) among those receiving IV zidovudine. Among women with HIV RNA > 1,000 copies/mL, the risk of transmission was increased without IV zidovudine (10.2%) compared to 2.5% with IV zidovudine (P < 0.01) if neonates received only zidovudine for prophylaxis, but was no different (4.8% vs. 4.1%, P = 0.83) without or with intrapartum zidovudine if the neonate received intensified prophylaxis with two or more ARV drugs. In a cohort of 717 women delivering between 1996 and 2008 in Miami, the majority of whom were receiving a cART regimen and had HIV RNA < 1,000 copies/mL at delivery, lack of receipt of IV zidovudine during labor was not associated with an increased risk of transmission.² Among a European cohort of infants considered at high risk of transmission, lack of IV zidovudine in labor was associated with transmission on univariate analysis but was not significantly associated once adjusted for maternal HIV RNA and other factors (adjusted odds ratio with IV zidovudine 0.79; 95% confidence interval, 0.55–1.15; P = 0.23). In a cohort of Irish women receiving cART for at least 4 weeks before delivery with HIV RNA < 1,000 copies/mL, no transmission occurred among 61 who received either no zidovudine in labor or <4 hours of IV zidovudine.⁴

Based on these studies, IV zidovudine is not required for HIV-infected women receiving cART with HIV RNA ≤1,000 copies/mL in late pregnancy and/or near delivery and for whom there are no concerns about

adherence to or tolerance of their cART regimens; IV zidovudine should continue to be administered to HIV-infected women with HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL near delivery (or unknown HIV RNA levels), regardless of antepartum regimen.

Previously, these guidelines specified that the threshold for not requiring intrapartum IV zidovudine was <400 copies/mL. However, based on more recent studies that have used a threshold of 1,000 copies/mL,^{1,2,4} a threshold of \le 1,000 copies/mL is now recommended for consideration to not administer IV zidovudine. This recommendation is now consistent with the mode of delivery recommendations that specify that a scheduled cesarean delivery is not recommended for women receiving cART with plasma HIV RNA levels \le 1,000 copies/mL. However, regardless of viral load, the clinician may elect to use intrapartum IV zidovudine based on clinical judgement.

In women with HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL undergoing a scheduled cesarean delivery for prevention of transmission, IV zidovudine administration should begin 3 hours before the scheduled operative delivery. This recommendation is based on a pharmacokinetic (PK) study of zidovudine given orally during pregnancy and as a continuous infusion during labor. Maternal zidovudine levels were measured at baseline, after the initial IV loading dose, and then every 3 to 4 hours until delivery, and in cord blood.⁵ Systemic and intracellular zidovudine levels increased from baseline but appeared to stabilize after 3 hours of infusion; cord blood zidovudine levels were assocated with maternal levels and maternal infusion duration. If cesarean delivery is being performed for other indications and maternal viral load is ≤1,000 copies/mL near the time of delivery, administration of IV zidovudine is not required.

If zidovudine was not used in the antenatal cART regimen because of known or suspected zidovudine resistance, intrapartum use of the drug is still recommended in women with HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL near delivery, except in women with documented histories of hypersensitivity. This intrapartum use of the drug is recommended because of the unique characteristics of zidovudine and its proven record in reducing perinatal transmission, even in the presence of maternal resistance to the drug (see <u>Antiretroviral Drug</u> Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy).

In some international studies, oral rather than IV zidovudine has been administered during labor. Data are limited on the PKs of oral compared with IV zidovudine during labor. In studies of oral dosing in labor, levels were lower than with IV dosing, and PK parameters suggested erratic absorption during labor. Therefore, in women with HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL near delivery for whom zidovudine is recommended, IV would be preferred to oral administration in the United States; in situations where IV administration is not possible, oral administration of zidovudine using a 600-mg loading dose and 400 mg every 3 hours⁷ can be considered.

Continuation of Antenatal Antiretroviral Drugs during Labor

Women who are receiving an antepartum cART regimen should continue that regimen on schedule as much as possible during the intrapartum period to provide maximal virologic effect and to minimize the chance of development of drug resistance. If the woman's HIV-1 RNA level is >1,000 copies/mL and oral zidovudine is part of the antepartum regimen, the oral zidovudine component of the regimen can be held while she receives IV zidovudine. When cesarean delivery is planned, oral medications can be continued preoperatively with sips of water. Medications requiring food ingestion for absorption can be taken with liquid dietary supplements, contingent on consultation with the attending anesthesiologist in the preoperative period. If the maternal ARV regimen must be interrupted temporarily (meaning for less than 24 hours) during the peripartum period, all drugs should be stopped and reinstituted simultaneously to minimize the chance that resistance will develop.

Women Who Have Received Antepartum Antiretroviral Drugs But Have Suboptimal Viral Suppression Near Delivery

Women who have received cART regimens may not achieve complete viral suppression by the time of delivery because of factors such as poor adherence, viral resistance, or late entry into care. Regardless of the

reason, all women who have HIV RNA levels >1,000 copies/mL near the time of delivery should be offered a scheduled cesarean delivery at 38 weeks, which may significantly reduce the risk of transmission (see <u>Transmission and Mode of Delivery</u>).

Women with incomplete viral suppression at the time of delivery should receive IV zidovudine along with their other ARVs orally, as described above. In certain high-risk situations, additional medications for prophylaxis in infants may be warranted, such as in cases where maternal HIV RNA levels are high at or near the time of delivery, especially if delivery is not a scheduled cesarean (see <u>Infant Antiretroviral Prophylaxis</u> and <u>Table 8</u>).

Women Who Have Not Received Antepartum Antiretroviral Drugs

Women Who Present in Labor without Documentation of HIV Status

All women without documentation of HIV status at the time of labor should be screened with expedited HIV testing unless they decline (opt-out screening). Expedited HIV testing is also recommended for women presenting in labor who tested negative for HIV in early pregnancy but are at increased risk of HIV infection and were not retested in the third trimester.⁸ Factors that may increase risk of infection include diagnosis of a sexually transmitted disease, illicit drug use or exchange of sex for money or drugs, multiple sexual partners during pregnancy, a sexual partner at risk of HIV infection, signs/symptoms of acute HIV infection, or living in a region with an elevated incidence of HIV in women of childbearing age.⁸

Expedited HIV testing should be available on a 24-hour basis at all facilities with a maternity service and/or neonatal intensive care unit (NICU). Statutes and regulations regarding expedited testing vary from state to state (see http://nccc.ucsf.edu/clinical-resources/hiv-aids-resources/state-hiv-testing-laws/) for a review of state HIV testing laws). Current information on expedited testing also should be available at all facilities with a maternity service and/or NICU.

Women with positive expedited HIV antibody tests should be presumed to be infected until standard HIV confirmatory testing clarifies their infection status (see <u>Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy</u>). IV zidovudine should be started immediately in all women with positive expedited HIV tests in labor to prevent perinatal transmission of HIV, as discussed below.

In the postpartum period, along with confirmatory HIV testing, these women should receive appropriate assessments as soon as possible to determine their health status, including CD4 T lymphocyte cell count, HIV-1 RNA viral load, and HIV genotype for resistance. Arrangements also should be made for establishing HIV care and providing ongoing psychosocial support after discharge.

Choice of Intrapartum/Postpartum Antiretroviral Regimen for Women without Antepartum Antiretroviral Therapy

All HIV-infected women who have not received antepartum ARV drugs should have IV zidovudine started immediately to prevent perinatal transmission of HIV. Although intrapartum/neonatal ARV medications will not prevent perinatal transmission that occurs before labor, most transmission occurs near to or during labor and delivery. Pre-exposure prophylaxis for the fetus can be provided by giving mothers a drug that rapidly crosses the placenta, producing fetal systemic ARV drug levels during intensive exposure to HIV in maternal genital secretions and in blood during birth. In general, zidovudine and other nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor drugs and non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors cross the placenta well, whereas protease inhibitors do not (see <u>Table 7</u>).

A large international trial (NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043) demonstrated that adding ARV agents to the neonatal portion of the intrapartum/neonatal zidovudine regimen can further reduce perinatal transmission of HIV for mothers who have received no antepartum ARV drugs (see Infant Antiretroviral Prophylaxis). In this study, women who had not received antepartum ARV drugs received IV zidovudine if they were identified in labor or no zidovudine when diagnosed immediately postpartum; their infants received either 6 weeks of

zidovudine alone or zidovudine in combination with other agents. The combination infant regimens resulted in a 50% reduction in transmission compared with zidovudine alone. Therefore, based on the efficacy of the neonatal regimen and no benefit seen with the addition of maternal single-dose nevirapine to a regimen of maternal short-course zidovudine and infant single-dose nevirapine in the Mashi trial, no additional intrapartum drugs, including intrapartum maternal single-dose nevirapine, are recommended for a woman in this situation. Women diagnosed with HIV infection during labor or the early postpartum period should be counseled against breastfeeding in the United States, where replacement feeding is affordable, feasible, acceptable, sustainable, and safe.

- 1. Briand N, Warszawski J, Mandelbrot L, et al. Is intrapartum intravenous zidovudine for prevention of mother-to-child HIV-1 transmission still useful in the combination antiretroviral therapy era? *Clin Infect Dis.* 2013;57(6):903-914. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23728147.
- 2. Cotter AM, Brookfield KF, Duthely LM, Gonzalez Quintero VH, Potter JE, O'Sullivan MJ. Duration of membrane rupture and risk of perinatal transmission of HIV-1 in the era of combination antiretroviral therapy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2012;207(6):482 e481-485. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23103331.
- 3. Chiappini E, Galli L, Giaquinto C, et al. Use of combination neonatal prophylaxis for the prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV infection in European high-risk infants. *AIDS*. 2013;27(6):991-1000. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23211776.
- Wong VV. Is peripartum zidovudine absolutely necessary for patients with a viral load less than 1,000 copies/ml? J Obstet Gynaecol. 2011;31(8):740-742. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22085066.
- 5. Rodman JH, Flynn PM, Robbins B, et al. Systemic pharmacokinetics and cellular pharmacology of zidovudine in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected women and newborn infants. *J Infect Dis.* 1999;180(6):1844-1850. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10558940.
- 6. Bhadrakom C, Simonds RJ, Mei JV, et al. Oral zidovudine during labor to prevent perinatal HIV transmission, Bangkok: tolerance and zidovudine concentration in cord blood. Bangkok Collaborative Perinatal HIV Transmission Study Group. *AIDS*. 2000;14(5):509-516. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10780713.
- 7. Mirochnick M, Rodman JH, Robbins BL, et al. Pharmacokinetics of oral zidovudine administered during labour: a preliminary study. *HIV Med.* 2007;8(7):451-456. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17760737.
- 8. Branson BM, Handsfield HH, Lampe MA, et al. Revised recommendations for HIV testing of adults, adolescents, and pregnant women in health-care settings. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* 2006;55(RR-14):1-17; quiz CE11-14. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16988643.
- 9. Nielsen-Saines K, Watts DH, Veloso VG, et al. Three postpartum antiretroviral regimens to prevent intrapartum HIV infection. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;366(25):2368-2379. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22716975.
- 10. Shapiro RL, Thior I, Gilbert PB, et al. Maternal single-dose nevirapine versus placebo as part of an antiretroviral strategy to prevent mother-to-child HIV transmission in Botswana. *AIDS*. 2006;20(9):1281-1288. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16816557.

Transmission and Mode of Delivery (Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Panel's Recommendations

- Scheduled cesarean delivery at 38 weeks' gestation to minimize perinatal transmission of HIV is recommended for women with HIV RNA levels >1000 copies/mL or unknown HIV levels near the time of delivery, irrespective of administration of antepartum antiretroviral drugs (AII). Scheduled cesarean delivery performed solely for prevention of perinatal transmission in women receiving combination antiretroviral therapy with HIV RNA ≤1000 copies/mL is not routinely recommended due to the low rate of perinatal transmission in this group and the potential for increased complications following cesarean delivery in HIV-infected women (AII). In women with HIV RNA levels ≤1000 copies/mL, cesarean delivery performed for standard obstetrical indications should be scheduled at 39 weeks' gestation (AII).
- Because there is insufficient evidence to determine whether cesarean delivery after rupture of membranes or onset of labor reduces
 the risk of perinatal HIV transmission, management of women originally scheduled for cesarean delivery who present with ruptured
 membranes or in labor must be individualized at the time of presentation (BII). In these circumstances, consultation with an expert in
 perinatal HIV (e.g., telephone consultation with the National Perinatal HIV/AIDS Clinical Consultation Center at (888) 448-8765) may
 be helpful in rapidly developing an individualized plan.
- Women with HIV infection should be counseled that HIV infection may put them at higher risk of surgical complications of cesarean delivery (AII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

Basis for Current Recommendations

Scheduled cesarean delivery, defined as cesarean delivery performed before the onset of labor and before rupture of membranes, is recommended for prevention of perinatal transmission of HIV in women with HIV RNA levels >1000 copies/mL near delivery and for women with unknown HIV RNA levels.

This recommendation is based on findings from a multicenter, randomized clinical trial¹ and from a large individual patient data meta-analysis.² These two studies were conducted at a time when the majority of HIV-infected women received no antiretroviral (ARV) medications or zidovudine as a single drug and before the availability of viral load information. Study results have since been extrapolated to make current recommendations about the mode of delivery in an era when combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) during pregnancy is recommended and viral load information is readily available.

In the randomized clinical trial, 1.8% of infants born to women randomized to undergo cesarean delivery were HIV-infected compared with 10.5% of infants born to women randomized to vaginal delivery (P < .001). When adjusted for ARV use in pregnancy (zidovudine alone), scheduled cesarean delivery lowered risk of HIV transmission by 80%, although the results were no longer statistically significant (odds ratio [OR] 0.2; 95% CI, 0.1-0.8) but not for emergency cesarean delivery (AOR 1.0; 95% CI, 0.3-3.7) when the data were analyzed by actual mode of delivery rather than by the group to which women were allocated. Results from a large meta-analysis of individual patient data from 15 prospective cohort studies also demonstrated the benefit of scheduled cesarean delivery, with a 50% reduction in risk.

HIV RNA Level of >1000 copies/mL as a Threshold for Recommendation of Scheduled Cesarean Delivery

The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) recommends that women with HIV RNA >1000 copies/mL be counseled regarding the potential benefits of scheduled cesarean delivery.³ Initially, the threshold of 1000 copies/mL was based largely on data from the Women and Infants Transmission Study, a large prospective cohort study that reported no HIV transmission among 57 women with HIV RNA levels less than 1000 copies/mL.⁴ Studies reported since then have demonstrated that HIV transmission can occur in infants born to women with low viral loads.

In an analysis of 957 women with plasma viral loads \leq 1000 copies/mL, cesarean delivery (scheduled or urgent) reduced the risk of HIV transmission when adjusting for potential confounders including receipt of maternal ARV medications (AOR 0.30; P = 0.022); however, zidovudine alone was the regimen primarily used as prophylaxis.⁵ Among infants born to 834 women with HIV RNA \leq 1000 copies/mL receiving ARV medications, 8 (1%) were HIV-infected. In a report from a comprehensive national surveillance system in the United Kingdom and Ireland, 3 (0.1%) of 2,309 and 12 (1.2%) of 1,023 infants born to women with HIV RNA levels \leq 50 copies/mL and 50 to 999 copies/mL, respectively, were HIV infected.⁶

The recent studies demonstrate that transmission can occur even at very low HIV RNA levels. However, given the low rate of transmission in this group, it is unclear whether scheduled cesarean delivery confers any additional benefit in reducing transmission. Furthermore, there is evidence that complication rates for cesarean deliveries are higher in HIV-infected women compared with HIV-infected women.⁷ Therefore, decisions about mode of delivery for women receiving cART with HIV RNA levels ≤1000 copies/mL should be individualized based on discussion between the obstetrician and the mother. Women should be informed that there is no evidence of benefit for scheduled cesarean delivery performed solely for prevention of perinatal transmission in women receiving cART with HIV RNA ≤1000 copies/mL and that it is not routinely recommended in this group.

Scheduled Cesarean Delivery in the Combination Antiretroviral Therapy Era

In surveillance data from the United Kingdom and Ireland, pregnant women receiving cART (i.e., at least 3 drugs) had transmission rates of about 1%, unadjusted for mode of delivery. Given the low transmission rates achievable with use of maternal cART, the benefit of scheduled cesarean delivery is difficult to evaluate. Both the randomized clinical trial and meta-analysis documenting the benefits of cesarean delivery included mostly women who were receiving either no ARVs or zidovudine alone. However, other data partially address this issue.

In a report on births to HIV-infected women from the United Kingdom and Ireland between 2000 and 2011, perinatal transmission rates in women on cART with HIV RNA<1,000 copies/mL with planned cesarean delivery (13/3,814; 0.3%) were not significantly different than those in similar women with planned vaginal delivery (6/2,238; 0.3%). Similarly, data from the French Perinatal Cohort showed no difference in transmission rates between vaginal delivery and planned cesarean delivery among women on cART with suppressed viral loads, 0.3% in both. For preterm deliveries with HIV RNA <1,000 copies/mL, transmission rates were slightly higher among planned vaginal deliveries but the numbers were small and the differences were not statistically significant (1/9 [11.1%] vs. 1/17 [5.9%] for HIV RNA 400–1000 copies/mL; 1/39 [2.6%] vs. 1/56 [1.8%] for HIV RNA 50–400 copies/mL; 1/189 [0.5%] vs. 0/143 [0%] for HIV RNA <50 copies/mL, for planned vaginal deliveries and elective cesarean deliveries, respectively). Therefore, no evidence to date suggests any benefit from scheduled cesarean delivery in women who have been receiving cART for several weeks and who have achieved virologic supression.

When the delivery method selected is scheduled cesarean delivery and the maternal viral load is >1000 copies/mL, a 1-hour loading dose followed by a continuous intravenous (IV) zidovudine infusion for 2 hours (3 hours total) before scheduled cesarean delivery should be administered. In a study of the pharmacokinetics of IV zidovudine in 28 pregnant women, the ratio of cord blood-to-maternal-zidovudine levels increased significantly in women who received IV zidovudine for 3 to 6 hours compared with <3 hours before delivery (1.0 vs. 0.55, respectively)¹⁰ This suggests that an interval of at least 3 hours may provide adequate time to reach equilibrium across the placenta, although the relationship between specific cord blood zidovudine levels or cord blood-to-maternal-zidovudine levels and efficacy in preventing perinatal transmission of HIV is unknown.

Because unscheduled cesarean delivery is performed for both maternal and fetal indications, when an unscheduled cesarean delivery is indicated in a woman who has a viral load >1000 copies/mL, consideration can be given to shortening the interval between initiation of IV zidovudine administration and delivery. For example, some experts recommend administering the 1-hour loading dose of IV zidovudine and not waiting to complete additional administration before proceeding with delivery.

Women Presenting Late in Pregnancy

HIV-infected women who present late in pregnancy and are not receiving ARV drugs may not have HIV RNA results available before delivery. Without current therapy, HIV RNA levels are unlikely to be ≤1000 copies/mL at baseline. Even if cART was begun immediately, reduction in plasma HIV RNA to undetectable levels usually takes several weeks, depending on the kinetics of viral decay for a particular drug regimen. ¹¹⁻¹³ In this instance, scheduled cesarean delivery is likely to provide additional benefit in reducing the risk of perinatal transmission of HIV for women, unless viral suppression can be documented before 38 weeks' gestation.

Timing of Scheduled Cesarean Delivery

For the general obstetric population, ACOG recommends that scheduled cesarean delivery not be performed before 39 weeks' gestation because of the risk of iatrogenic prematurity. However, in cases of cesarean delivery performed to prevent transmission of HIV, ACOG recommends scheduling cesarean delivery at 38 weeks' gestation in order to decrease the likelihood of onset of labor or rupture of membranes before delivery. In all women undergoing repeat cesarean delivery, the risk of any neonatal adverse event—including neonatal death, respiratory complications, hypoglycemia, newborn sepsis, or admission to the neonatal intensive care unit—is 15.3% at 37 weeks, 11.0% at 38 weeks, and 8.0% at 39 weeks. Gestational age should be determined by best obstetrical dating criteria, including last menstrual period and early ultrasound for dating purposes. Amniocentesis to document lung maturity should be avoided when possible in HIV-infected women and is rarely indicated before scheduled cesarean section for prevention of HIV transmission.

Among 1,194 infants born to HIV-infected mothers, 9 (1.6%) infants born vaginally had respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) compared with 18 (4.4%) infants born by scheduled cesearean delivery (P < 0.001). There was no statistically significant association between mode of delivery and infant RDS in an adjusted model that included infant gestational age and birth weight. Although newborn complications may be increased in planned births <39 weeks' gestation, the benefits of planned cesarean delivery at 38 weeks are generally thought to outweigh the risks if the procedure is performed for prevention of HIV transmission. When scheduled cesarean delivery is performed in HIV-infected women for an indication other than decreasing HIV transmission, cesarean delivery should be scheduled at 39 weeks, based on ACOG guidelines.

Risk of Maternal Complications

Administration of perioperative antimicrobial prophylaxis is recommended for all women to decrease maternal infectious morbidity associated with cesarean delivery. Most studies have demonstrated that HIVinfected women have increased rates of postoperative complications, mostly infectious, compared with HIV-uninfected women and that risk of complications is related to degree of immunosuppression and the receipt of suppressive cART.¹⁷⁻²² Furthermore, a Cochrane review of six studies of HIV-infected women concluded that urgent cesarean delivery was associated with the highest risk of postpartum morbidity, scheduled cesarean delivery was intermediate in risk, and vaginal delivery had the lowest risk of morbidity.²³ Complication rates in most studies^{1,24-28} were within the range reported in populations of HIV-uninfected women with similar risk factors and not of sufficient frequency or severity to outweigh the potential benefit of reduced perinatal HIV transmission. A recent U.S. study of nationally representative data from a large administrative database demonstrated that (even in the era of cART) infectious complications, surgical trauma, prolonged hospitalization, and in-hospital deaths remain higher among HIV-infected women compared to HIV-uninfected women. The rate of any complication associated with cesarean delivery was 117 per 1,000 deliveries among HIV-infected women compared with 67 per 1,000 deliveries among HIVuninfected women. Therefore, HIV-infected women should be counseled regarding the specific risks associated with undergoing cesarean delivery in the setting of HIV infection.

Management of Women Who Present in Early Labor or With Ruptured Membranes

Few data are available to address the question of whether performing cesarean delivery after the onset of labor or membrane rupture decreases risk of perinatal transmission of HIV. Most studies have shown a similar risk of transmission for cesarean delivery performed for obstetric indications after labor and

membrane rupture as for vaginal delivery. In one study, the HIV transmission rate was similar in women undergoing emergency cesarean delivery and those delivering vaginally (1.6% vs. 1.9%, respectively). A meta-analysis of HIV-infected women, most of whom were on zidovudine as a single drug or receiving no ARV medications, demonstrated a 2% increased transmission risk for every additional hour of ruptured membranes. However, it is not clear how soon after the onset of labor or the rupture of membranes the benefit of cesarean delivery is lost. Because it is not clear whether cesarean delivery after rupture of membranes or onset of labor reduces the risk of perinatal HIV transmission, management of women originally scheduled for cesarean delivery who present with ruptured membranes or in labor must be individualized at the time of presentation. In these circumstances, consultation with an expert in perinatal HIV may be helpful. Because the delivery plan in the setting of labor or ruptured membranes must be made quickly, telephone consulation with a 24-hour, 7-day-a-week hotline (e.g., the National Perinatal HIV/AIDS Clinical Consultation Center (888) 448-8765) may be helpful in rapidly developing an individualized plan.

The ARV drug regimen should be continued and IV zidovudine initiated, if previously planned.

When membrane rupture occurs before 37 weeks' gestation, decisions about timing of delivery should be based on best obstetrical practices, taking into account risks to the infant of prematurity and of HIV transmission. Steroids should be given, if appropriate, to accelerate fetal lung maturity because no data exist to suggest that these recommendations need to be altered for HIV-infected women. When the decision is made to deliver, route of delivery should be according to obstetrical indications.

- 1. European Mode of Delivery C. Elective caesarean-section versus vaginal delivery in prevention of vertical HIV-1 transmission: a randomised clinical trial. *Lancet*. 1999;353(9158):1035-1039. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10199349.
- 2. International Perinatal HIV Group. The mode of delivery and the risk of vertical transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1--a meta-analysis of 15 prospective cohort studies. The International Perinatal HIV Group. *N Engl J Med.* 1999;340(13):977-987. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10099139.
- 3. American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG Committee Opinion number 234, May 2000. Scheduled cesarean delivery and the prevention of vertical transmission of HIV infection. 2000 Available at http://www.acog.org/Resources-And-Publications/Committee-Opinions/Committee-on-Obstetric-Practice/Scheduled-Cesarean-Delivery-and-the-Prevention-of-Vertical-Transmission-of-HIV-Infection. Last accessed May 18, 2015
- 4. Garcia PM, Kalish LA, Pitt J, et al. Maternal levels of plasma human immunodeficiency virus type 1 RNA and the risk of perinatal transmission. Women and Infants Transmission Study Group. *N Engl J Med.* 1999;341(6):394-402. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10432324.
- 5. Ioannidis JP, Abrams EJ, Ammann A, et al. Perinatal transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 by pregnant women with RNA virus loads <1000 copies/ml. *J Infect Dis*. 2001;183(4):539-545. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11170978.
- 6. Townsend CL, Cortina-Borja M, Peckham CS, de Ruiter A, Lyall H, Tookey PA. Low rates of mother-to-child transmission of HIV following effective pregnancy interventions in the United Kingdom and Ireland, 2000-2006. *AIDS*. 2008;22(8):973-981. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18453857.
- 7. Kourtis AP, Ellington S, Pazol K, Flowers L, Haddad L, Jamieson DJ. Complications of cesarean deliveries among HIV-infected women in the United States. *AIDS*. 2014;28(17):2609-2618. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25574961.
- Townsend CL, Byrne L, Cortina-Borja M, et al. Earlier initiation of ART and further decline in mother-to-child HIV transmission rates, 2000-2011. AIDS. 2014;28(7):1049-1057. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24566097.
- 9. Briand N, Jasseron C, Sibiude J, et al. Cesarean section for HIV-infected women in the combination antiretroviral therapies era, 2000-2010. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2013;209(4):335 e331-335 e312. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23791563.
- 10. Rodman JH, Flynn PM, Robbins B, et al. Systemic pharmacokinetics and cellular pharmacology of zidovudine in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected women and newborn infants. *J Infect Dis.* 1999;180(6):1844-1850. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10558940.

- 11. European Collaborative S, Patel D, Cortina-Borja M, Thorne C, Newell ML. Time to undetectable viral load after highly active antiretroviral therapy initiation among HIV-infected pregnant women. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2007;44(12):1647-1656. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17516411.
- 12. Aziz N, Sokoloff A, Kornak J, et al. Time to viral load suppression in antiretroviral-naive and -experienced HIV-infected pregnant women on highly active antiretroviral therapy: implications for pregnant women presenting late in gestation. *BJOG*. 2013. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23924192.
- 13. Read PJ, Mandalia S, Khan P, et al. When should HAART be initiated in pregnancy to achieve an undetectable HIV viral load by delivery? *AIDS*. 2012;26(9):1095-1103. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22441248.
- 14. American College of O, Gynecologists. ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 97: Fetal lung maturity. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2008;112(3):717-726. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18757686.
- 15. Tita AT, Landon MB, Spong CY, et al. Timing of elective repeat cesarean delivery at term and neonatal outcomes. *N Engl J Med*. 2009;360(2):111-120. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19129525.
- Livingston EG, Huo Y, Patel K, et al. Mode of delivery and infant respiratory morbidity among infants born to HIV-1infected women. Obstet Gynecol. 2010;116(2 Pt 1):335-343. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20664394.
- 17. Grubert TA, Reindell D, Kastner R, Lutz-Friedrich R, Belohradsky BH, Dathe O. Complications after caesarean section in HIV-1-infected women not taking antiretroviral treatment. *Lancet*. 1999;354(9190):1612-1613. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10560681.
- Maiques-Montesinos V, Cervera-Sanchez J, Bellver-Pradas J, Abad-Carrascosa A, Serra-Serra V. Post-cesarean section morbidity in HIV-positive women. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 1999;78(9):789-792. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10535342.
- 19. Rodriguez EJ, Spann C, Jamieson D, Lindsay M. Postoperative morbidity associated with cesarean delivery among human immunodeficiency virus-seropositive women. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2001;184(6):1108-1111. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11349171.
- 20. Semprini AE, Castagna C, Ravizza M, et al. The incidence of complications after caesarean section in 156 HIV-positive women. *AIDS*. 1995;9(8):913-917. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7576327.
- 21. Urbani G, de Vries MM, Cronje HS, Niemand I, Bam RH, Beyer E. Complications associated with cesarean section in HIV-infected patients. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2001;74(1):9-15. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11430935.
- 22. Vimercati A, Greco P, Loverro G, Lopalco PL, Pansini V, Selvaggi L. Maternal complications after caesarean section in HIV infected women. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2000;90(1):73-76. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10767514.
- 23. Read JS, Newell MK. Efficacy and safety of cesarean delivery for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2005(4):CD005479. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16235405.
- 24. Faucher P, Batallan A, Bastian H, et al. [Management of pregnant women infected with HIV at Bichat Hospital between 1990 and 1998: analysis of 202 pregnancies]. *Gynecol Obstet Fertil*. 2001;29(3):211-225. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11300046.
- 25. Fiore S, Newell ML, Thorne C, European HIViOG. Higher rates of post-partum complications in HIV-infected than in uninfected women irrespective of mode of delivery. *AIDS*. 2004;18(6):933-938. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15060441.
- 26. Marcollet A, Goffinet F, Firtion G, et al. Differences in postpartum morbidity in women who are infected with the human immunodeficiency virus after elective cesarean delivery, emergency cesarean delivery, or vaginal delivery. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2002;186(4):784-789. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11967508.
- 27. Read JS, Tuomala R, Kpamegan E, et al. Mode of delivery and postpartum morbidity among HIV-infected women: the women and infants transmission study. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2001;26(3):236-245. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11242196.
- 28. Watts DH, Lambert JS, Stiehm ER, et al. Complications according to mode of delivery among human immunodeficiency virus-infected women with CD4 lymphocyte counts of < or = 500/microL. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2000;183(1):100-107. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10920316.
- 29. International Perinatal HIVG. Duration of ruptured membranes and vertical transmission of HIV-1: a meta-analysis from 15 prospective cohort studies. *AIDS*. 2001;15(3):357-368. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11273216.
- Jamieson DJ, Read JS, Kourtis AP, Durant TM, Lampe MA, Dominguez KL. Cesarean delivery for HIV-infected women: recommendations and controversies. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2007;197(3 Suppl):S96-100. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17825656.

Other Intrapartum Management Considerations (Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Panel's Recommendations

- The following should generally be avoided because of a potential increased risk of transmission, unless there are clear obstetric indications:
 - · Artificial rupture of membranes (BIII)
 - · Routine use of fetal scalp electrodes for fetal monitoring (BIII)
 - · Operative delivery with forceps or a vacuum extractor and/or episiotomy (BIII)
- The antiretroviral drug regimen a woman is receiving should be taken into consideration when treating excessive postpartum bleeding resulting from uterine atony:
 - In women who are receiving a cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4 enzyme inhibitor such as a protease inhibitor, methergine should be used
 only if no alternative treatments for postpartum hemorrhage are available and the need for pharmacologic treatment outweighs the
 risks. If methergine is used, it should be administered in the lowest effective dose for the shortest possible duration (BIII).
 - In women who are receiving a CYP3A4 enzyme inducer such as nevirapine, efavirenz, or etravirine, additional uterotonic agents may be needed because of the potential for decreased methergine levels and inadequate treatment effect (BIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

If spontaneous rupture of membranes occurs before or early during the course of labor, interventions to decrease the interval to delivery (e.g., administration of oxytocin) can be considered in HIV-infected women with viral suppression and no indications for cesarean delivery. Artificial rupture of membranes should be avoided unless there is a clear obstetric indication in women with intact membranes and detectable viral loads who present in labor and will be allowed to proceed to vaginal delivery. Data are limited on artificial rupture of membranes in women with undetectable viral loads and planned vaginal delivery. Data on the association of duration of membrane rupture and perinatal transmission in the era of effective combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) are more reassuring on this issue. A recent prospective cohort study of 707 HIV-infected pregnant women on cART included 493 women with delivery HIV-RNA <1,000 copies/mL with no cases of perinatal transmission with up to 25 hours of membrane rupture; logistic regression found that HIV viral load >10,000 copies/mL was the only independent risk factor for transmission.¹ In general, the procedure should be performed only for clear obstetric indications because of the potential, albeit small, of an increased risk of HIV transmission.

Obstetric procedures that increase the risk of fetal exposure to maternal blood, such as invasive fetal monitoring, have been implicated in increasing vertical transmission rates by some, but not all, investigators, primarily in studies performed in the pre-cART era.²⁻⁵ Data are limited on use of fetal scalp electrodes in labor in women receiving suppressive antiretroviral (ARV) regimens who have undetectable viral loads; routine use of fetal scalp electrodes for fetal monitoring should be avoided in the setting of maternal HIV infection unless there are clear obstetric indications.

Similarly, data are limited to those obtained in the pre-cART era regarding the potential risk of perinatal transmission of HIV associated with operative vaginal delivery with forceps or the vacuum extractor and/or use of episiotomy.^{3,5} These procedures should be performed only if there are clear obstetric indications. Delayed cord clamping has been associated with improved iron status in both term and preterm infants and benefits such as decreased risk of intraventricular hemorrhage in preterm births to HIV-uninfected mothers.^{6,7} Even though HIV-specific data on the practice are lacking, there is no reason to modify it in HIV-infected mothers.

Intrapartum Epidural Use and Pharmacologic Interactions with Antiretroviral Drugs

Ritonavir inhibition of cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4 decreases the elimination of fentanyl by 67%, raising concerns about possible increased risk of respiratory depression, particularly with patient-controlled analgesia during labor, in women receiving ritonavir-containing regimens. However, a recent pharmacokinetic simulation study suggests that even with maximal clinical dosing regimens of epidural fentanyl over 24 hours, ritonavir-induced CYP3A4 inhibition is unlikely to produce plasma fentanyl concentrations associated with a decrease in minute ventilation. This suggests that epidural anesthesia can be used safely regardless of ARV regimen.

Postpartum Hemorrhage, Antiretroviral Drugs, and Methergine Use

Oral or parenteral methergine or other ergot alkaloids are often used as first-line treatment for postpartum hemorrhage resulting from uterine atony. However, methergine should not be coadministered with drugs that are potent CYP3A4 enzyme inhibitors, including protease inhibitors (PIs). Concomitant use of ergotamines and PIs has been associated with exaggerated vasoconstrictive responses. When uterine atony results in excessive postpartum bleeding in women receiving PIs, methergine should be used only if alternative treatments such as prostaglandin F2-alpha, misoprostol, or oxytocin are unavailable. If no alternative medications are available and the need for pharmacologic treatment outweighs the risks, methergine should be used in as low a dose and for as short a period as possible. In contrast, additional uterotonic agents may be needed when other ARV drugs that are CYP3A4 inducers (e.g., nevirapine, efavirenz, etravirine) are used because of the potential for decreased methergine levels and inadequate treatment effect.

- 1. Cotter AM, Brookfield KF, Duthely LM, Gonzalez Quintero VH, Potter JE, O'Sullivan MJ. Duration of membrane rupture and risk of perinatal transmission of HIV-1 in the era of combination antiretroviral therapy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2012;207(6):482 e481-485. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23103331.
- 2. Boyer PJ, Dillon M, Navaie M, et al. Factors predictive of maternal-fetal transmission of HIV-1. Preliminary analysis of zidovudine given during pregnancy and/or delivery. *JAMA*. 1994;271(24):1925-1930. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7911164.
- 3. Mandelbrot L, Mayaux MJ, Bongain A, et al. Obstetric factors and mother-to-child transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1: the French perinatal cohorts. SEROGEST French Pediatric HIV Infection Study Group. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1996;175(3 Pt 1):661-667. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8828431.
- 4. Mofenson LM, Lambert JS, Stiehm ER, et al. Risk factors for perinatal transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 in women treated with zidovudine. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Study 185 Team. *N Engl J Med*. 1999;341(6):385-393. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10432323.
- 5. Shapiro DE, Sperling RS, Mandelbrot L, Britto P, Cunningham BE. Risk factors for perinatal human immunodeficiency virus transmission in patients receiving zidovudine prophylaxis. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group protocol 076 Study Group. *Obstet Gynecol*. 1999;94(6):897-908. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10576173.
- 6. McDonald SJ, Middleton P, Dowswell T, Morris PS. Effect of timing of umbilical cord clamping of term infants on maternal and neonatal outcomes. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2013;7:CD004074. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23843134.
- Rabe H, Diaz-Rossello JL, Duley L, Dowswell T. Effect of timing of umbilical cord clamping and other strategies to influence placental transfusion at preterm birth on maternal and infant outcomes. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2012;8:CD003248. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22895933.
- 8. Cambic CR, Avram MJ, Gupta DK, Wong CA. Effect of ritonavir-induced cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibition on plasma fentanyl concentrations during patient-controlled epidural labor analgesia: a pharmacokinetic simulation. *Int J Obstet Anesth*. 2014;23(1):45-51. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24333052.

Postpartum Care (Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Panel's Recommendations

- Decisions regarding continuing combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) after delivery should be made in consultation with the
 woman and her HIV provider, ideally before delivery (AIII). cART is currently recommended for all HIV-infected individuals to reduce
 the risk of disease progression and to prevent HIV sexual transmission (AI). Decisions should take into account current
 recommendations for initiation of cART in adults, HIV RNA levels, adherence issues, whether a woman has an HIV-uninfected sexual
 partner, and patient preferences.
- Because the immediate postpartum period poses unique challenges to antiretroviral adherence, arrangements for new or continued supportive services should be made before hospital discharge for women continuing cART (AII).
- Contraceptive counseling should be a critical aspect of postpartum care (AIII).
- Women with a positive rapid HIV antibody test during labor require immediate linkage to HIV care and comprehensive follow-up, including confirmation of HIV infection. If infection is confirmed, a full health assessment is warranted, including evaluation for associated medical conditions, counseling related to newly diagnosed HIV infection, and assessment of need for cART and opportunistic infection prophylaxis (AII).
- Breastfeeding is not recommended for HIV-infected women in the United States (AII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

Postpartum Follow-Up of HIV-Infected Women

The postpartum period provides an opportunity to review and optimize women's health care. Comprehensive medical care and supportive services are particularly important for HIV-infected women and their families, who often face multiple medical and social challenges. Components of comprehensive care include the following services as needed:

- Primary, gynecologic/obstetric, and HIV specialty care for the HIV-infected woman;
- Pediatric care for her infant;
- Family planning services;
- Mental health services;
- Substance abuse treatment;
- Support services;
- Coordination of care through case management for a woman, her child(ren), and other family members;
- Prevention of secondary transmission for serodiscordant partners, including counseling on the use of condoms, combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) to maintain virologic suppression in the infected partner (i.e., Treatment as Prevention [TasP]), and potential use of pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) by the uninfected partner.

Support services should be tailored to the individual woman's needs and can include case management; child care; respite care; assistance with basic life needs, such as housing, food, and transportation; peer counseling; and legal and advocacy services. Ideally, this care should begin before pregnancy and continue throughout pregnancy and the postpartum period.

Immediate linkage to care, comprehensive medical assessment, counseling, and follow-up are required for women who test positive on rapid HIV antibody assay during labor or at delivery. To minimize the delay in

definitive diagnosis, the fourth-generation combined antibody-antigen test should be employed if available or confirmatory HIV antibody testing should be performed as soon as possible after an initial positive rapid test). The updated Center for Disease Control and Prevention algorithm for HIV testing may allow for results of the antigen/antibody combination immunoassay and then the HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation assay to be available prior to a woman's discharge after delivery. Women who test positive on rapid HIV antibody assay should not breastfeed unless a confirmatory HIV test is negative. Women with a new HIV diagnosis should receive the same thorough evaluation as other newly identified infected patients, including recommendation of cART and prophylaxis for opportunistic infections, as indicated. Other children and partner(s) should be referred for HIV testing. Counseling on prevention of secondary transmission to the uninfected partner should include condoms, cART for the infected partner to maintain viral suppression, and potential use of PrEP by the uninfected partner.

During the postpartum period, maternal medical services must be coordinated between obstetric care providers and HIV specialists. Decisions to continuecART after delivery should be made in consultation with a woman and her HIV provider, ideally prior to delivery. It is especially critical to ensure continuity of cART between the antepartum and postpartum periods.

cART is currently recommended for all HIV-infected individuals to reduce the risk of disease progression and to prevent HIV sexual transmission.² The START and TEMPRANO trials were randomized clinical trials that demonstrated that early cART can reduce the risk of disease progression even in individuals with CD4 T lymphocyte cell count >500 cells/mm³, and the HPTN 052 randomized clinical trial demonstrated that early cART can reduce risk of sexual transmission to a discordant partner by 96%.³⁻⁵ It is important to counsel a woman that no single method (including treatment) is 100% protective against HIV transmission, so safer sexual practices should be continued.

Studies have demonstrated significant decreases in cART adherence postpartum.⁶⁻⁹ During the postpartum period, women may have difficulty with medical appointment follow-up, which can affect cART adherence. Systematic monitoring of retention in HIV care is recommended for all HIV-infected individuals, but special attention is warranted during the postpartum period. A number of studies have suggested that postpartum depression may be common among HIV-infected women.¹⁰⁻¹⁴ Women should be counseled that postpartum physical and psychological changes and the stresses and demands of caring for a new baby may make adherence more difficult and that additional support may be needed during this period.¹⁵⁻¹⁷

Health care providers should be vigilant in screening for signs of depression, intimate partner violence, and illicit drug or alcohol use that may require intervention to avoid problems with cART adherence. Interventions to improve adherence to medical care and cART can include medication management services, referral to psychological services, community outreach, one-on-one adherence support, group education and support, peer support, reminder devices, and home visits by HIV case managers. Poor adherence has been shown to be associated with virologic failure, development of resistance, and decreased long-term effectiveness of cART. Simplification of a cART regimen (e.g., a change to once-daily medications) can be considered. For women who are unable to adhere to their regimens postpartum, it may be preferable to temporarily interrupt cART while they work with their health care provider on strategies to improve adherence. Efforts to maintain adequate adherence during the postpartum period may ensure effectiveness of therapy (see the section on Adherence in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines). For women continuing cART who had received increased protease inhibitor doses during pregnancy, available data suggest that standard doses can be used again, beginning immediately after delivery.

It is important that comprehensive family planning and preconception care be integrated into routine prenatal and health visits. Lack of breastfeeding is associated with earlier return of fertility; ovulation returns as early as 6 weeks postpartum, and earlier in some women—even before resumption of menses—putting them at risk of pregnancy shortly after delivery.²² Interpregnancy intervals of less than 18 months have been associated with increased risk of poor perinatal and maternal outcomes in HIV-uninfected women.²³ Because of the stresses and demands of a new baby, women may be more receptive to use of effective contraception,

yet simultaneously at higher risk of nonadherence to contraceptive use and, thus, unintended pregnancy.²⁴ This is an important concern in women who are on an efavirenz-containing regimen because of the potential risk of teratogencity in the first 5 to 6 weeks of pregnancy (the neural tube closes at 36–39 days after the last menstrual period). A dual-protection strategy (e.g., use of condoms plus a second highly effective contraceptive) is ideal for HIV-infected women because it provides simultaneous protection against unintended pregnancy, transmission of HIV to a partner, and acquisition or transmission of sexually transmitted disease.²⁵ Longer-term reversible contraceptive methods, such as injectables, implants, and intrauterine devices (IUDs) should be included as options.

The postpartum period is a critical time for addressing safer sex practices in order to reduce sexual transmission of HIV to HIV-uninfected partners and contraception to avoid unwanted pregnancies. Ideally these issues will be addressed during the prenatal period. There are drug-drug interactions between a number of antiretroviral (ARV) drugs and hormonal contraceptives as discussed in Preconception Counseling and Care for HIV-Infected Women of Childbearing Age and Table 3. A systematic review conducted for the World Health Organization has summarized the research on hormonal contraception, IUD use, and risk of HIV infection and recommends the use of all contraceptive methods in women with HIV. Findings from a systematic review of hormonal contraceptive methods and risk of HIV transmission to uninfected partners concluded that oral contraceptives and medroxyprogesterone do not increase risk of HIV transmission in women who are on cART though data are limited and have methological issues. Permanent sterilization is appropriate only for women who are certain they do not desire future childbearing.

Avoidance of breastfeeding has been and continues to be a standard, strong recommendation for HIV-infected women in the United States, because maternal cART dramatically reduces but does not eliminate breastmilk transmission. Further, safe infant feeding alternatives are readily available in the United States. In addition there are concerns about other potential risks, including toxicity for the neonate or increased risk of development of ARV drug resistance, should transmission occur, due to variable passage of drugs into breastmilk. However, clinicians should be aware that women may face social, familial, and personal pressures to consider breastfeeding despite this recommendation.²⁹ It is important to address possible barriers to formula feeding beginning during the antenatal period.

Similarly, there are risks of HIV transmission via premastication (prechewing) of infant food.³⁰

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Association of Public Health Laboratories. Laboratory testing for the diagnosis of HIV infection: updated recommendations. 2014. Available at http://stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/23447. Accessed January 17, 2015.
- Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in HIV-1-infected adults and adolescents. 2014. Available at http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lyguidelines/AdultandAdolescentGL.pdf. Accessed July 8, 2015.
- 3. Danel C, Gabillard D, Le Carrou J, et al. Early ART and IPT in HIV-infected African adults with high CD4 count (Temprano Trial). Presented at: 22nd Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2015. Seattle, WA.
- National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases. Starting antiretroviral treatment early improves outcomes for HIV-infected individuals. NIH News. 2015. Available at http://www.niaid.nih.gov/news/newsreleases/2015/Pages/START.aspx. Accessed July 8, 2015.
- 5. Cohen MS, Chen YQ, McCauley M, et al. Prevention of HIV-1 infection with early antiretroviral therapy. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;365(6):493-505. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21767103.
- 6. Kreitchmann R, Harris R, Kakehasi F, et al. Adherence during pregnancy and post-partum: Latin America. Abstract 1016. Presented at: 6th International AIDS Society Conference on HIV Pathogenesis, Treatment and Prevention. 2011. Rome, Italy.
- 7. Kaida A, Kanters S, Chaworth-Musters T, et al. Antiretroviral adherence during pregnancy and postpartum among HIV-positive women receiving highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) in British Columbia (BC), Canada

- (1997-2008). CDB397-CD-ROM. Presented at: 6th International AIDS Society Conference on HIV Pathogenesis, Treatment and Prevention. 2011. Rome, Italy.
- 8. Mellins CA, Chu C, Malee K, et al. Adherence to antiretroviral treatment among pregnant and postpartum HIV-infected women. *AIDS Care*. 2008;20(8):958-968. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18608073.
- 9. Nachega J, Uthman C, Mills E, Muessig K, et al. Adherence to antiretroviral therapy (ART) during and after pregnancy in low, middle and high income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Abstract 1006. Presented at: 19th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2012. Seattle, WA.
- 10. Ross R, Sawatphanit W, Mizuno M, Takeo K. Depressive symptoms among HIV-positive postpartum women in Thailand. *Arch Psychiatr Nurs*. 2011;25(1):36-42. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21251600.
- 11. Chibanda D, Mangezi W, Tshimanga M, et al. Postnatal depression by HIV status among women in Zimbabwe. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)*. 2010;19(11):2071-2077. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20849286.
- 12. Rubin LH, Cook JA, Grey DD, et al. Perinatal depressive symptoms in HIV-infected versus HIV-uninfected women: a prospective study from preconception to postpartum. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)*. 2011;20(9):1287-1295. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21732738.
- 13. Kapetanovic S, Christensen S, Karim R, et al. Correlates of perinatal depression in HIV-infected women. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2009;23(2):101-108. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19196032.
- 14. Bonacquisti A, Geller PA, Aaron E. Rates and predictors of prenatal depression in women living with and without HIV. *AIDS Care*. 2014;26(1):100-106. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23750820.
- 15. Cohn SE, Umbleja T, Mrus J, Bardeguez AD, Andersen JW, Chesney MA. Prior illicit drug use and missed prenatal vitamins predict nonadherence to antiretroviral therapy in pregnancy: adherence analysis A5084. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2008;22(1):29-40. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18442305.
- 16. Ickovics JR, Wilson TE, Royce RA, et al. Prenatal and postpartum zidovudine adherence among pregnant women with HIV: results of a MEMS substudy from the Perinatal Guidelines Evaluation Project. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2002;30(3):311-315. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12131568.
- 17. Bardeguez AD, Lindsey JC, Shannon M, et al. Adherence to antiretrovirals among US women during and after pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2008;48(4):408-417. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18614923.
- 18. Thompson MA, Mugavero MJ, Amico KR, et al. Guidelines for improving entry into and retention in care and antiretroviral adherence for persons with HIV: evidence-based recommendations from an International Association of Physicians in AIDS Care panel. *Ann Intern Med.* 2012;156(11):817-833, W-284, W-285, W-286, W-287, W-288, W-289, W-290, W-291, W-292, W-293, W-294. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22393036.
- 19. Paterson DL, Swindells S, Mohr J, et al. Adherence to protease inhibitor therapy and outcomes in patients with HIV infection. *Ann Intern Med*. 2000;133(1):21-30. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10877736.
- 20. Le Moing V, Chene G, Carrieri MP, et al. Clinical, biologic, and behavioral predictors of early immunologic and virologic response in HIV-infected patients initiating protease inhibitors. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2001;27(4):372-376. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11468425.
- 21. Murri R, Ammassari A, Gallicano K, et al. Patient-reported nonadherence to HAART is related to protease inhibitor levels. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2000;24(2):123-128. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10935687.
- 22. Jackson E, Glasier A. Return of ovulation and menses in postpartum nonlactating women: a systematic review. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2011;117(3):657-662. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21343770.
- 23. Sholapurkar SL. Is there an ideal interpregnancy interval after a live birth, miscarriage or other adverse pregnancy outcomes? *J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2010;30(2):107-110. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20143964.
- 24. Sha BE, Tierney C, Cohn SE, et al. Postpartum viral load rebound in HIV-1-infected women treated with highly active antiretroviral therapy: AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol A5150. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2011;12(1):9-23. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21388937.
- 25. Cates W, Jr., Steiner MJ. Dual protection against unintended pregnancy and sexually transmitted infections: what is the best contraceptive approach? *Sex Transm Dis*. 2002;29(3):168-174. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11875378.
- World Health Organization. Review of Priorities in Research on Hormnonal Contraception and IUDs and HIV Infection. 2010; Geneva.

- 27. Polis CB, Curtis KM. Use of hormonal contraceptives and HIV acquisition in women: a systematic review of the epidemiological evidence. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2013;13(9):797-808. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23871397.
- 28. Haddad LB, Polis CB, Sheth AN, et al. Contraceptive methods and risk of HIV acquisition or female-to-male transmission. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep.* 2014;11(4):447-458. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25297973.
- 29. Levison J, Weber S, Cohan D. Breastfeeding and HIV-infected women in the United States: harm reduction counseling strategies. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2014;59(2):304-309. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24771330.
- 30. Gaur AH, Dominguez KL, Kalish ML, et al. Practice of feeding premasticated food to infants: a potential risk factor for HIV transmission. *Pediatrics*. 2009;124(2):658-666. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19620190.

Infant Antiretroviral Prophylaxis (Last Updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Panel's Recommendations

- A 6-week neonatal zidovudine prophylaxis regimen is generally recommended for all HIV-exposed neonates to reduce perinatal
 transmission of HIV (AI). However, a 4-week neonatal zidovudine prophylaxis regimen can be considered for full-term infants when
 the mother has received standard combination antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy with consistent viral suppression and there
 are no concerns related to maternal adherence (BII).
- Zidovudine, at gestational age-appropriate doses, should be initiated as close to the time of birth as possible, preferably within 6 to 12 hours of delivery (All).
- Infants at higher risk of HIV acquisition, including those born to HIV-infected women who have received only intrapartum antiretroviral drugs (AI) or have not received antepartum or intrapartum antiretroviral drugs (AI) or have received antepartum antiretroviral drugs but have had suboptimal viral suppression (>1000 copies/mL) near delivery (BIII), should receive prophylaxis with zidovudine given for 6 weeks combined with three doses of nevirapine in the first week of life (i.e., at birth, 48 hours later, and 96 hours after the second dose), begun as soon after birth as possible.
- Some experts recommend triple-antiretroviral prophylaxis for infants at higher risk of acquisition (as described above) although there are no data demonstrating improved efficacy for a three-drug regimen over a two-drug regimen in prevention of transmission. A decision to administer triple-antiretroviral prophylaxis should be made only in consultation with a pediatric HIV specialist, preferably before delivery, and should be accompanied by parental counseling on the potential risks (e.g., neonatal toxicity), and benefits (e.g., prevention of perinatal transmission) of this approach (BIII).
- For infants born to mothers with unknown HIV status, expedited HIV testing of mothers and/or infants is recommended as soon as possible, either during labor or after birth, with immediate initiation of infant antiretroviral prophylaxis if the initial expedited test is positive (AII). If supplemental testing is negative, antiretroviral prophylaxis can be discontinued.
- In the United States, the use of antiretroviral drugs other than zidovudine and nevirapine cannot be recommended in premature infants as prophylaxis to prevent transmission because of lack of dosing and safety data (BIII).
- The National Perinatal HIV Hotline (1-888-448-8765) provides free clinical consultation on all aspects of perinatal HIV, including infant care.

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

General Considerations for Choice of Infant Prophylaxis

All HIV-exposed infants should receive postpartum antiretroviral (ARV) drugs to reduce perinatal transmission of HIV. In all situations, infant prophylaxis should be initiated as soon as possible after delivery. The 6-week neonatal zidovudine prophylaxis regimen is generally recommended for all HIV-exposed infants. However, a 4-week neonatal zidovudine prophylaxis regimen can be considered in full-term infants when the mother has received standard combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) during pregnancy with consistent viral suppression and there are no concerns related to maternal adherence (see Infants Born to Mothers Who Received Antepartum/Intrapartum Antiretroviral Drugs with Effective Viral Suppression below).. Table 8 shows recommended zidovudine dosing based on gestational age, birthweight, and the status of maternal antepartum ARV regimens.

The risk of transmission is increased when maternal viral load at delivery is high or maternal antepartum and/or intrapartum prophylaxis was incomplete or not received. In these situations, the potential benefit of combining zidovudine infant prophylaxis with additional ARV drugs must be weighed against the potential risks to infants of multiple drug exposure. In the following sections, we present available data and recommendations for management of infants born to mothers:

- Who received antepartum/intrapartum ARV drugs with effective viral suppression;
- Who received antepartum and intrapartum ARV drugs but who had suboptimal viral suppression at delivery, particularly if delivery was vaginal;

- Who received only intrapartum ARV drugs;
- Who received neither antepartum nor intrapartum ARV drugs;
- With unknown HIV status; and
- With known ARV drug-resistant virus.

In each of these situations, there is a spectrum of transmission risk that depends on a number of maternal and infant factors, including maternal viral load, mode of delivery, and gestational age at delivery. The risks and benefits of infant exposure to ARV drugs in addition to zidovudine will differ depending on where the mother/child falls in the risk spectrum. Thus, a generic recommendation cannot be made regarding use of combination drug regimens for infant prophylaxis. Each situation needs to be considered individually, balancing potential benefits (in terms of preventing perinatal transmission of HIV) with risks (in terms of toxicity to the infant). In addition, appropriate drug formulations and dosing regimens for neonates are incompletely defined and data are minimal on the safety of combination drugs in the neonate (see Short-Term Antiretroviral Drug Safety and Choice for Neonatal Prophylaxis and the Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines).

Data from the NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 study have provided guidance for management of infants born to women who received no ARV prophylaxis during pregnancy. In that study, 1,746 formula-fed infants born to HIV-infected women who did not receive any ARV drugs during pregnancy were randomized to 1 of 3 infant prophylaxis regimens: the standard 6-week zidovudine regimen; 6 weeks of zidovudine plus three doses of nevirapine given during the first week of life (first dose at birth–48 hours, second dose 48 hours after first dose, and third dose 96 hours after second dose); and 6 weeks of zidovudine plus 2 weeks of lamivudine/nelfinavir. The risk of intrapartum transmission was significantly lower in the two- and three-drug arms (2.2% and 2.5%, respectively, vs. 4.9% for 6 weeks of zidovudine alone; P = .046 for each experimental arm vs. zidovudine alone). Although transmission rates with the two combination regimens were similar, neutropenia was significantly more common with the three-drug regimen than with the two-drug or zidovudine-alone regimen (27.5% vs. 15%, P < .0001). In other studies, significantly higher rates of neutropenia and anemia have been reported with co-administration of zidovudine and lamivudine to infants. Thus, based on comparable efficacy and reduced toxicity, the Panel recommends 6 weeks of zidovudine plus three doses of nevirapine for infants whose mothers have not received antepartum ARV drugs (Table 8).

Despite the paucity of available data, the use of combination ARV prophylaxis for infants in high-risk situations is increasing. Surveillance of obstetric and pediatric HIV infection in the United Kingdom and Ireland through the National Study of HIV in Pregnancy and Childhood noted that between 2001 and 2004, 9% of HIV-exposed infants received triple-drug prophylaxis compared with 13% between 2005 and 2008. Similarly, in an Internet-based poll of 134 U.S.-based perinatal HIV service providers, 62% reported using combination postnatal prophylaxis in high-risk situations in the past year. Zidovudine, lamivudine, and nevirapine was the combination regimen used most often. 8 The European Pregnancy and Paediatric HIV Cohort Collaboration (EPPICC) has pooled data from 5,285 mother-infant pairs included in 8 European cohorts between 1996 and 2010 and evaluated the use of combination prophylaxis. Among the 1,105 infants receiving combination prophylaxis, 13.5% received zidovudine plus lamivudine, 22.7% received zidovudine plus single-dose nevirapine, 55.8% received zidovudine plus single-dose nevirapine plus lamivudine, and 4.4% received a regimen including a protease inhibitor (PI). In these observational cohorts, there was no difference in infant infection rates between one drug and combination prophylactic regimens. The authors concluded that the lack of difference may be related to residual confounding or the fact that combination prophylaxis may only be effective in a subset of infants. Canadian investigators have reported outcomes in 136 infants considered at high risk of acquisition who received treatment-level doses of triple ARV prophylaxis within 72 hours of birth. Of the infants born into scenarios associated with higher risk of HIV transmission; 12 were found to be HIV-infected; no major toxicities were identified.¹⁰

A case of a "functional cure" of HIV in an infant was reported in 2013.¹¹ The infant was born by vaginal delivery at 35 weeks' gestation to a woman who received no prenatal care and was diagnosed as HIV-infected by expedited testing during labor; delivery occurred before maternal intrapartum ARV prophylaxis

could be given. At age 30 hours, the infant initiated a regimen of zidovudine, lamivudine, and nevirapine (the latter drug administered at a higher therapeutic dose rather than standard prophylactic dosing). The infant was found to have a positive HIV DNA polymerase chain reaction (PCR) in a sample obtained at age 30 hours and an HIV RNA level of 19,812 copies/mL on an HIV RNA PCR assay performed at age 31 hours. Based on these tests, the infant was continued on treatment for HIV infection, thought to be acquired *in utero*. Nevirapine was replaced by lopinavir/ritonavir at day 7 of life (**Note:** This decision preceded warnings from the Food and Drug Administration [FDA] against use of lopinavir/ritonavir in infants younger than age 14 days). At age 18 months, the mother discontinued therapy; levels of plasma RNA, proviral DNA, and HIV antibodies remained undetectable in the child for over 2 years on no therapy. Unfortunately, virologic rebound was identified shortly before the child turned 4 years of age. Of interest, another case of virologic rebound following 4 years of suppression in an infant treated since birth has subsequently been reported. ¹²

There are two key safety issues related to the choice of ARV drugs in these infants. First, although the use of nevirapine to prevent perinatal transmission has been found to be safe in neonates and low-birthweight infants (see Antiretroviral Drug Dosing for Premature Infants), these prophylaxis-dose regimens target trough drug levels of 100 ng/mL, with peak levels averaging 1000 to 1500 ng/mL. However, there have been no studies in neonates under age 2 weeks or preterm infants to determine the appropriate dosing or safety of nevirapine administered at therapeutic doses, designed to maintain trough drug concentrations above 3000 ng/mL and peak levels below 10,000 ng/mL for treatment of HIV-infected individuals. Second, lopinavir/ritonavir, as used in the first infant described, is not recommended for neonates younger than age 14 days because of the potential for significant toxicity (see Short-Term Antiretroviral Drug Safety and Choice for Neonatal Prophylaxis). Therefore, the risks of this approach in terms of infant toxicity (particularly in preterm infants) and efficacy require further study before a general recommendation can be made.

In these and all other scenarios, decisions about use of combination ARV prophylaxis in infants should be made in consultation with a pediatric HIV specialist before delivery, if possible, and should be accompanied by a discussion with the mothers about potential risks and benefits of this approach.

The National Perinatal HIV Hotline

The National Perinatal HIV Hotline (888-448-8765) is a federally funded service providing free clinical consultation for difficult cases to providers caring for HIV-infected pregnant women and their infants, and can provide referral to local or regional pediatric HIV specialists.

Recommendations for Infant Antiretroviral Prophylaxis in Specific Clinical Situations

Infants Born to Mothers Who Received Antepartum/Intrapartum Antiretroviral Drugs with Effective Viral Suppression

The risk of HIV acquisition is small in infants born to women who received standard ARV prophylaxis regimens during pregnancy and labor and had undetectable viral loads at delivery or by scheduled cesarean section to mothers with low viral loads at delivery. The optimal minimum duration of neonatal zidovudine prophylaxis has not been established in clinical trials. In the United States, the standard 6-week infant zidovudine regimen has been recommended, based on data from PACTG studies 076 and 316 (both performed during an era when a greater proportion of women did not receive antenatal cART). In the United Kingdom and many other European countries, a 4-week neonatal zidovudine prophylaxis regimen is now recommended for infants born to mothers who have received cART regimens and have viral suppression, with no apparent increase in the overall HIV perinatal transmission rate.^{3,4} In addition, a 4-week zidovudine regimen has been reported to allow earlier recovery from anemia in otherwise healthy infants compared with the 6-week zidovudine regimen.¹³ Therefore, a 4-week neonatal zidovudine prophylaxis regimen can be considered in full-term infants when a mother has received standard cART during pregnancy with consistent viral suppression and there are no concerns related to maternal adherence.

In infants born to women with effective viral suppression, combining zidovudine with additional ARV drugs to reduce transmission risk is not recommended because the risk of transmission is low and any potential benefit

would be very limited. Any potential benefits must be balanced by the known toxicities of ARV drugs in infants.

Infants Born to Mothers Who Have Received Antepartum/Intrapartum Antiretroviral Drugs but Have Suboptimal Viral Suppression Near Delivery

All infants born to women who have received antepartum/intrapartum ARVs but with suboptimal viral suppression near delivery should receive zidovudine for 6 weeks. No specific data address whether a more intensive combination infant prophylaxis regimen (two or three drugs) provides additional protection against transmission when maternal antepartum/intrapartum prophylaxis is received but viral replication near delivery is significant. Extrapolation of findings from the previously discussed NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 study⁵ suggests that combination infant prophylaxis should be considered, depending on assessment of risk based on maternal viral load and mode of delivery. That decision should be made in consultation with a pediatric HIV specialist before delivery and accompanied by maternal counseling on the potential risks and benefits of this approach. Although there are no clinical trials to identify the optimal combination infant prophylaxis regimen, it is clear that combination regimens are being used in this and other scenarios with a higher risk of perinatal transmission in the United States, Europe, and Canada. 7-10

Many data support the observation that the risk of perinatal transmission is related to maternal antepartum viral load in women on no ARV drugs as well as women receiving these drugs. ¹⁴⁻¹⁶ Scheduled cesarean delivery is recommended for prevention of perinatal transmission in women who have received antepartum ARV drugs but who have detectable viremia (HIV RNA >1000 copies/mL) near the time of delivery (see Intrapartum Care and Iransmission occurred in 0% of 17 infants when maternal HIV RNA levels at delivery were >10,000 copies/mL and delivery was by scheduled cesarean delivery. However, not all women with detectable viremia near delivery will undergo cesarean delivery. The risk of acquisition of HIV will be higher in infants born to mothers with higher viral loads near delivery, particularly if delivery is vaginal. The gradient of transmission risk is based on HIV RNA levels. In the Women and Infants Transmission Study (WITS), the risk of transmission of HIV was ≤1.8% in women who received cART and had HIV RNA levels <30,000 copies/mL at delivery; it increased to 4.8% in women with HIV RNA levels ≥30,000 copies/mL. ¹⁶

Infants Born to Mothers Who Received Only Intrapartum Antiretroviral Drugs

All infants whose mothers have received only intrapartum ARV drugs should receive the 2-drug regimen of 6 weeks of zidovudine plus 3 doses of nevirapine in the first week of life (first dose at birth to 48 hours, second dose 48 hours after first dose, and third dose 96 hours after second dose), based on the results of the NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 study. Infant prophylaxis should be initiated as soon after delivery as possible. Infant prophylaxis is a critical component of prevention when no maternal antepartum ARV drugs have been received. The PETRA study demonstrated that intrapartum prophylaxis alone, without infant prophylaxis, is ineffective in reducing perinatal transmission.¹⁷ A study in Thailand indicated that longer infant prophylaxis with zidovudine (6 weeks versus 3 days) is required for optimal efficacy when maternal antenatal exposure to zidovudine is <4 weeks.¹⁸ In the NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 trial, 41% of women received zidovudine during labor. Administration of intrapartum zidovudine did not affect transmission rates.⁵

Infants Born to Mothers Who Did Not Receive Antepartum or Intrapartum Antiretroviral Drugs

The 2-drug regimen of 6 weeks of zidovudine plus three doses of nevirapine in the first week of life (first dose at birth to 48 hours, second dose 48 hours after first dose, and third dose 96 hours after second dose) is recommended for infants born to mothers who did not receive antepartum or intrapartum ARV drugs based on the results of the NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 study, which demonstrated increased efficacy of combination regimens in reducing intrapartum transmission compared with use of zidovudine alone in infants. ⁵ Prophylaxis should be initiated as soon after delivery as possible.

The interval during which infant prophylaxis can be initiated and still be of benefit is undefined. In the New York State study, when prophylaxis was delayed beyond 48 hours after birth, no efficacy could be demonstrated.¹⁹ Data from animal studies indicate that the longer the delay in institution of prophylaxis, the less

likely that infection will be prevented. In most studies of animals, ARV prophylaxis initiated 24 to 36 hours after exposure usually has been ineffective in preventing infection, although a delay in administration has been associated with decreased viremia. ²⁰⁻²² In the NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 study, infant regimens were initiated within 48 hours of life and usually within 12 hours of life. ⁵ Initiation of infant prophylaxis after age 2 days is not likely to be efficacious in preventing transmission and, by age 14 days, infection already would be established in most infants. ²³ Initiating prophylaxis as soon after delivery as possible increases its potential efficacy and minimizes potential harm, such as development of resistant virus, if infection has occurred.

Infants Born to Mothers with Unknown HIV Infection Status

Expedited HIV testing of mothers is recommended during labor for women with unknown HIV status and for mothers and/or infants as soon as possible after birth if expedited HIV testing was not performed during labor. Expedited test results should be available within 60 minutes. Commercially available antigen/antibody tests are preferred to those that test only for antibody. Oral fluid-based tests are not recommended for infant testing; blood or serum testing has notably better sensitivity in infants than does oral fluid testing.²⁴ If expedited testing is positive, infant ARV prophylaxis should be initiated immediately, without waiting for the results of supplemental tests (see scenario: Infants Born to Mothers Who Did Not Receive Antepartum or Intrapartum Antiretroviral Drugs). Expedited HIV testing should be available on a 24-hour basis at all facilities with a maternity service and/or neonatal intensive care, special care or newborn nursery.

A positive initial test result in mothers or infants should be presumed to indicate maternal HIV infection until standard supplemental testing clarifies maternal status. A positive HIV antibody test in an infant indicates maternal but not necessarily infant HIV infection; diagnosis of HIV infection in infants younger than age 18 months requires virologic testing using a viral nucleic amplification test (NAT; includes DNA and RNA PCR and related assays). Initial positive HIV antibody tests in the mother can be confirmed using a recommended HIV-1/2 diagnostic testing algorithm. ²⁵ Supplemental tests should be performed on mothers (or their infants) as soon as possible after the initial positive test. If the test results on a mother (or infant) are negative, ARV prophylaxis can be discontinued. If the supplemental test results in the mother are positive or if the mother is unavailable or declines testing, an HIV NAT should be obtained urgently from the newborn to determine the infant's HIV infection status. If the HIV NAT is positive, ARV prophylaxis should be promptly discontinued and the infant should receive treatment for HIV infection with standard cART according to the Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines. Clinicians should be aware of their state laws, as there is variability in the testing allowed without parental consent.

Breastfeeding should be stopped until HIV infection is confirmed or ruled out in a woman who is suspected of being HIV-infected based on an initial positive antibody test result. Pumping and temporarily discarding or freezing breast milk can be recommended. If HIV infection is ruled out, breastfeeding can resume. If HIV infection is confirmed, breastfeeding should be discontinued permanently.²⁶

Infants Born to Mothers with Antiretroviral Drug-Resistant Virus

The optimal prophylactic regimen for newborns delivered by women with ARV drug-resistant virus is unknown. ARV prophylaxis for infants born to mothers with known or suspected drug resistance should be determined in consultation with a pediatric HIV specialist before delivery or through consultation with the National Perinatal HIV Hotline (888-448-8765).

Data from WITS suggest that in women who have mixed zidovudine-resistant and zidovudine-sensitive viral populations, the virus sensitive to rather than resistant to the drugs may be preferentially transmitted.^{27,28} Thus, the 6-week infant zidovudine prophylaxis (along with maternal intravenous [IV] intrapartum zidovudine prophylaxis) continues to be recommended, even when maternal zidovudine-resistant virus with thymidine-associated mutations is identified.

Some studies have suggested that ARV drug-resistant virus may have decreased replicative capacity (reduced viral fitness) and transmissibility.²⁸ However, perinatal transmission of multidrug-resistant virus has been reported both in the United States and in international settings.²⁹⁻³³

The optimal prophylactic regimen for newborns of women with ARV resistance is unknown. Therefore, ARV prophylaxis for an infant born to a woman with known or suspected drug resistance should be determined in consultation with a pediatric HIV specialist, preferably before delivery. However, there is no evidence that neonatal prophylaxis regimens customized based on presence of maternal drug resistance are more effective than standard neonatal prophylaxis regimens.

Short-Term Antiretroviral Drug Safety and Choice for Neonatal Prophylaxis

Infant prophylaxis with zidovudine has been associated with only minimal toxicity, consisting primarily of transient hematologic toxicity (mainly anemia), which generally resolves by age 12 weeks (see Initial Postnatal Management). Data are limited on the toxicity to infants of exposure to multiple ARV drugs.

The latest information on neonatal dosing for ARV drugs can be found in the Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines. Other than zidovudine, lamivudine is the nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI) with the most experience in use for neonatal prophylaxis. In early studies, neonatal exposure to combination zidovudine/lamivudine was generally limited to 1^{17,34,35} or 2 weeks.⁵ Six weeks of infant zidovudine/lamivudine exposure also has been reported; these studies suggest that hematologic toxicity may be increased over that seen with zidovudine alone, although the infants also had *in utero* exposure to maternal combination therapy.

In a French study, more severe anemia and neutropenia were observed in infants exposed to 6 weeks of zidovudine/lamivudine for prophylaxis plus maternal antepartum zidovudine/lamivudine than in a historical cohort exposed only to maternal and infant zidovudine. Anemia was reported in 15% and neutropenia in 18% of infants exposed to zidovudine/lamivudine, with 2% of infants requiring blood transfusion and 4% requiring treatment discontinuation for toxicity. Similarly, in a Brazilian study of maternal antepartum and 6-week infant zidovudine/lamivudine prophylaxis, neonatal hematologic toxicity was common, with anemia seen in 69% and neutropenia in 13% of infants. Similarly, in a Brazilian study of maternal antepartum and seen in 69% and neutropenia in 13% of infants.

Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (tenofovir) with and without emtricitabine has been investigated in several small studies to define the safety and pharmacokinetics (PKs) of the agents in newborns.^{37,38,39} However, at this time, tenofovir and emtricitabine are not generally recommended for use in infant prophylaxis by the Panel because data on appropriate dosing are limited and the safety of these agents in the neonate is not well defined.

Experience with other NRTI drugs for neonatal prophylaxis is more limited. Hematologic and mitochondrial toxicity may be more common with exposure to multiple versus single NRTI drugs. 6,42-45

Nevirapine is the only non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor drug with a pediatric drug formulation and neonatal prophylactic (but not therapeutic) dosing information (see the <u>Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines</u>). ⁴⁶ In rare cases, chronic multiple-dose nevirapine therapy has been associated with severe and potentially life-threatening rash and hepatic toxicity. These toxicities have not been observed in infants receiving prophylactic dosing with single-dose nevirapine, the two-drug zidovudine regimen plus three doses of nevirapine in the first week of life in NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043), or in breastfeeding infants receiving nevirapine prophylaxis daily for 6 weeks to 6 months to prevent transmission of HIV via breast milk. ^{5,47-50} Resistance to nevirapine can occur, however, with exposure to nevirapine in infants who become infected despite prophylaxis. ^{51,52} ARV drug-resistance testing is recommended for all HIV-infected infants before initiation of cART (see the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines).

Of the PIs, pediatric drug formulations are available for lopinavir/ritonavir, ritonavir, darunavir, tipranavir, and fosamprenavir, but their use in neonates in the first weeks of life is not recommended due to lack of dosing and safety information. In addition, lopinavir/ritonavir oral solution contains 42.4% alcohol and 15.3% propylene glycol, and enzymes that metabolize these compounds are immature in neonates, particularly preterm infants. No PK data are available for any PIs in the first 2 weeks of life. PK data are available for treatment of HIV-infected infants aged 2 to 6 weeks with lopinavir/ritonavir. Although the lopinavir area under the curve (AUC) was significantly lower with dosing 300 mg lopinavir/75 mg ritonavir/m² body surface area twice daily than observed for infants >6 weeks of age, treatment was well tolerated and 80% of 10 infants had viral control at 6 months. Studies are ongoing but data are not yet available for infants aged <2 weeks. However, in four

premature infants (2 sets of twins) started on lopinavir/ritonavir from birth, heart block developed that resolved after drug discontinuation. ^{54,55} In studies of adults, both ritonavir and lopinavir/ritonavir cause dose-dependent prolongation of the PR interval, and cases of significant heart block, including complete heart block, have been reported. Elevation of 17-hydroxyprogesterone and dehydroepiandrosterone-sulfate has also been associated with administration of lopinavir/ritonavir compared with zidovudine in the neonatal period. Levels of 17-hydroxyprogesterone were greater in infants who were also exposed to lopinavir/ritonavir *in utero* compared with those exposed only in the neonatal period. Term infants were asymptomatic but three premature newborns experienced life-threatening symptoms compatible with adrenal insufficiency, including hyponatremia and hyperkalemia with, in one case, cardiogenic shock. ⁵⁶ Based on these and other post-marketing reports of cardiac toxicity (including complete atrioventricular block, bradycardia, and cardiomyopathy), lactic acidosis, acute renal failure, adrenal dysfunction, central nervous system depression, respiratory complications leading to death, and metabolic toxicity, ⁵⁷ predominantly in preterm neonates, the FDA now recommends that lopinavir/ritonavir oral solution <u>not</u> be administered to neonates before a postmenstrual age (first day of the mother's last menstrual period to birth plus the time elapsed after birth) of 42 weeks and a postnatal age of at least 14 days.

Raltegravir is the only integrase inhibitor with an available pediatric drug formulation. However, there are no PK and safety data on its use during the first weeks of life and it is not FDA-approved for use in infants aged <4 weeks or weight <3 kg. Raltegravir readily crosses the placenta; its elimination was highly variable and extremely prolonged in some infants following maternal dosing. Raltegravir competes with bilirubin for albumin binding sites, which could increase unbound (free) unconjugated bilirubin levels in the neonate.⁵⁸ An *in vitro* study has demonstrated that the effect of raltegravir on neonatal bilirubin binding is unlikely to be clinically significant unless raltegravir concentrations 50- to 100-fold higher than typical peak concentrations with usual dosing are reached.⁵⁹ Use of raltegravir in neonates is not recommended until adequate PK and safety data are available.

Neonatal Antiretroviral Drug Dosing

Table 8. Recommended Neonatal Dosing for Prevention of Perinatal Transmission of HIV

All HIV-Exposed Infants Initiated as soon after delivery as possible		
	Dosing	Duration
ZDV	≥35 weeks' gestation at birth: 4 mg/kg/dose PO twice daily, started as soon after birth as possible and preferably within 6–12 hours of delivery (or, if unable to tolerate oral agents, 3 mg/kg/dose IV, beginning within 6–12 hours of delivery, then every 12 hours)	Birth through 4-6 weeks ^a
ZDV	≥30 to <35 weeks' gestation at birth: 2 mg/kg/dose PO (or 1.5 mg/kg/dose IV), started as soon after birth as possible, preferably within 6–12 hours of delivery, then every 12 hours, advanced to 3 mg/kg/dose PO (or 2.3 mg/kg/dose IV) every 12 hours at age 15 days	Birth through 6 weeks
ZDV	<30 weeks' gestation at birth: 2 mg/kg body weight/dose PO (or 1.5 mg/kg/dose IV) started as soon after birth as possible, preferably within 6–12 hours of delivery, then every 12 hours, advanced to 3 mg/kg/dose PO (or 2.3 mg/kg/dose IV) every 12 hours after age 4 weeks	Birth through 6 weeks
Additional Antiretroviral Prophylaxis Agents for HIV-Exposed Infants of Women who Received No Antepartum Antiretroviral Prophylaxis Initiated as soon after delivery as possible		
NVP In addition to ZDV as shown above	Birth weight 1.5–2 kg: 8 mg/dose PO	Three doses in the first week of life: 1. Within 48 hrs of birth
	Birth weight >2 kg: 12 mg/dose PO	48 hrs after 1st96 hrs after 2nd

^a A 6-week course of neonatal zidovudine is generally recommended. A 4-week neonatal zidovudine prophylaxis regimen may be considered when the mother has received standard ART during pregnancy with consistent viral suppression and there are no concerns related to maternal adherence.

The recommended dose of zidovudine for post-exposure prophylaxis in full-term neonates is 4 mg/kg body weight orally (PO) twice daily, beginning as soon after birth as possible and preferably within 6 to 12 hours of delivery (see <u>Table 8</u>). ^{17,47,60-67} Some PK studies suggest that the standard neonatal zidovudine dosing regimen might be excessive and associated with bone marrow and metabolic toxicity, but no alternative dosing regimens have been studied. ^{68,69} If an infant is unable to tolerate oral medications, the zidovudine prophylaxis regimen can be administered IV. The zidovudine dosing requirements differ for premature infants and term infants (see <u>Table 8</u> and <u>Antiretroviral Drug Dosing for Premature Infants</u>).

PKs and safety of the single-dose nevirapine regimen to mother and infant⁷⁰ and chronic prophylactic nevirapine administration to infants to prevent HIV transmission during breastfeeding have been studied.⁷¹ The three-dose extended nevirapine regimen that was used in NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG1043 and is recommended for HIV-exposed infants whose mothers did not receive ARV drugs during the antepartum period has also been studied.⁴⁶ Nevirapine concentrations were measured in 14 newborns participating in a PK substudy during the second week of life and in single samples from 30 more newborns on Days 10 to 14. The median nevirapine elimination half-life was 30.2 hours (range: 17.8–50.3 hours) and the concentration remained greater than the target of 100 ng/mL in all infants through Day 10 of life.

Antiretroviral Drug Dosing for Premature Infants

Dosing recommendations for premature infants are available for only zidovudine (prophylaxis and therapy) and nevirapine (prophylaxis only) (see <u>Table 8</u>). Zidovudine is primarily cleared through hepatic glucuronidation to an inactive metabolite; this metabolic pathway is immature in neonates, leading to prolonged zidovudine half-life and decreased clearance compared with older infants. Clearance is further decreased in premature infants because their hepatic metabolic function is less mature than in term infants. ^{72,73} The recommended zidovudine dosage for preterm infants is shown in <u>Table 8</u>.

Nevirapine PKs have been described in low-birthweight neonates receiving a single postnatal prophylaxis dose of the drug. In a study of 81 infants <37 weeks' gestation, of which 29.6% were small for gestational age, half-lives were very long—median 59 hours in infants whose mothers received single-dose nevirapine and 69 hours in infants whose mothers did not receive single-dose nevirapine. AUC of nevirapine was higher and clearance lower (P < .0001) in small-for-gestational-age infants.⁷⁴

Use of ARV drugs other than zidovudine and nevirapine cannot be recommended at this time in premature infants because data on dosing and safety are lacking. Immature renal and hepatic metabolism can increase the risk of overdosing and toxicity. However, in situations where there is a high risk of infant HIV infection, consultation with a pediatric HIV specialist is recommended to determine if the benefits of combination ARV prophylaxis with drugs in addition to or other than zidovudine and nevirapine outweigh the potential risks.

Breastfeeding Infants of Mothers Diagnosed with HIV Infection Postpartum

Breastfeeding should be stopped until infection is confirmed or ruled out in women who are breastfeeding and suspected to have become HIV infected. Pumping and temporarily discarding or freezing breast milk can be recommended to mothers who are suspected of being HIV infected but whose infection is not yet confirmed and who want to continue to breastfeed. If HIV infection is ruled out, breastfeeding can resume. Breastfeeding is not recommended for women with documented HIV infection in the United States, including those receiving cART (see Infant Feeding Practices and Risk of HIV Transmission).⁷⁵

The risk of acquisition of HIV associated with breastfeeding depends on multiple infant and maternal factors, including maternal viral load and CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell count.⁷⁶ Infants of women who develop acute HIV infection while breastfeeding are at greater risk of becoming infected than are those whose mothers have chronic HIV infection⁷⁷ because acute HIV infection is accompanied by a rapid increase in viral load and a corresponding decrease in CD4 cell count.⁷⁸

Other than discontinuing breastfeeding, optimal strategies for managing infants born to HIV-infected mothers who breastfed their infants prior to HIV diagnosis have yet to be defined. Some experts would consider the

use of post-exposure prophylaxis in infants for 4 to 6 weeks after cessation of breastfeeding. Post-exposure prophylaxis, however, is less likely to be effective in this circumstance compared with other non-occupational exposures because the exposure to breast milk is likely to have occurred over a prolonged period rather than in a single exposure.⁷⁹

Several studies of infants breastfed by women with chronic HIV infection have shown that daily infant nevirapine, lamivudine, or nevirapine plus zidovudine can reduce the risk of postnatal infection during breastfeeding. ^{47-49,80} The NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 study demonstrated that combination ARV prophylaxis was more effective than zidovudine prophylaxis alone for preventing intrapartum transmission in mothers who have not received antepartum ARV drugs. ⁵ However, whether the combination regimens in this trial are effective for preventing transmission after cessation of breastfeeding in mothers with acute HIV infection is unknown.

Because of the high risk of postnatal transmission from a breastfeeding woman with acute HIV infection, an alternative approach favored by some experts would be to offer a cART regimen that would be effective for treatment of HIV, should an infant become infected. If this route is chosen, current recommendations for treatment should guide selection of an appropriate cART regimen (see the <u>Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines</u>). Regardless of whether post-exposure prophylaxis or "pre-emptive therapy" is chosen, the optimal duration of the intervention is unknown. A 28-day course may be reasonable based on current recommendations for non-occupational HIV exposure. ⁷⁹ As in other situations, decisions regarding administration of a prophylactic or preemptive treatment regimen should be accompanied by consultation with a pediatric HIV specialist and maternal counseling on the potential risks and benefits of this approach.

Infants should be tested for HIV infection at baseline and 4 to 6 weeks, 3 months, and 6 months after recognition of maternal infection to determine HIV status. In infants younger than age 18 months, HIV NAT should be used for diagnosis. HIV DNA PCR testing may be preferable for infants who are receiving combination ARV prophylaxis or preemptive treatment, because HIV RNA assays may be less sensitive in the presence of combination ARV drugs, which might lower infant plasma viral RNA to undetectable levels. However, HIV DNA PCR assays available in the United States may not detect non-subtype B or group O HIV as well as do many HIV RNA assays. Therefore, if non-subtype B or group O HIV infection is considered possible in an infant, both HIV DNA and RNA assays should be obtained from the infant. HIV antibody assays can be used in infants older than age 18 months.

If an infant is already receiving post-exposure ARV prophylaxis and is found to be HIV-infected, prophylaxis should be discontinued and treatment for HIV infection initiated with standard cART according to the Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines. Resistance testing should be performed and the cART regimen modified if needed (see the Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines).

- 1. Connor EM, Sperling RS, Gelber R, et al. Reduction of maternal-infant transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 with zidovudine treatment. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 076 Study Group. *N Engl J Med*. 1994;331(18):1173-1180. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7935654.
- 2. Dorenbaum A, Cunningham CK, Gelber RD, et al. Two-dose intrapartum/newborn nevirapine and standard antiretroviral therapy to reduce perinatal HIV transmission: a randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2002;288(2):189-198. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12095383.
- 3. de Ruiter A, Mercey D, Anderson J, et al. British HIV Association and Children's HIV Association guidelines for the management of HIV infection in pregnant women 2008. *HIV Med*. 2008;9(7):452-502. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18840151.
- 4. Ferguson W, Goode M, Walsh A, Gavin P, Butler K. Evaluation of 4 weeks' neonatal antiretroviral prophylaxis as a component of a prevention of mother-to-child transmission program in a resource-rich setting. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2011;30(5):408-412. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21266939.
- 5. Nielsen-Saines K, Watts DH, Veloso VG, et al. Three postpartum antiretroviral regimens to prevent intrapartum HIV infection. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;366(25):2368-2379. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22716975.

- Mandelbrot L, Landreau-Mascaro A, Rekacewicz C, et al. Lamivudine-zidovudine combination for prevention of maternal-infant transmission of HIV-1. *JAMA*. 2001;285(16):2083-2093. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11311097.
- 7. Haile-Selassie H, Townsend C, Tookey P. Use of neonatal post-exposure prophylaxis for prevention of mother-to-child HIV transmission in the UK and Ireland, 2001-2008. *HIV Med*. 2011;12(7):422-427. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21251184.
- 8. McKeegan K, Rutstein R, Lowenthal E. Postnatal infant HIV prophylaxis: a survey of U.S. practice. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2011;25(1):1-4. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21162689.
- 9. Chiappini E, Galli L, Giaquinto C, et al. Use of combination neonatal prophylaxis for the prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV infection in European high-risk infants. *AIDS*. 2013;27(6):991-1000. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23211776.
- Bitnun A, Samson L, Chun TW, et al. Early initiation of combination antiretroviral therapy in HIV-1-infected newborns
 can achieve sustained virologic suppression with low frequency of CD4+ T cells carrying HIV in peripheral blood. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2014;59(7):1012-1019. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24917662.
- 11. Persaud D, Gay H, et al. Absence of detectable HIV-1 viremia following treatment cessation in an infant. *N Engl J Med*. 2013. Available at http://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa1302976.
- 12. Butler KM, Gavin P, Coughlan S, et al. Rapid Viral Rebound after 4 Years of Suppressive Therapy in a Seronegative HIV-1 Infected Infant Treated from Birth. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2014. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25251719.
- 13. Lahoz R, Noguera A, Rovira N, et al. Antiretroviral-related hematologic short-term toxicity in healthy infants: implications of the new neonatal 4-week zidovudine regimen. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2010;29(4):376-379. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19949355.
- 14. Mofenson LM, Lambert JS, Stiehm ER, et al. Risk factors for perinatal transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 in women treated with zidovudine. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Study 185 Team. *N Engl J Med*. 1999;341(6):385-393. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10432323.
- 15. Garcia PM, Kalish LA, Pitt J, et al. Maternal levels of plasma human immunodeficiency virus type 1 RNA and the risk of perinatal transmission. Women and Infants Transmission Study Group. *N Engl J Med.* 1999;341(6):394-402. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10432324.
- 16. Cooper ER, Charurat M, Mofenson L, et al. Combination antiretroviral strategies for the treatment of pregnant HIV-1-infected women and prevention of perinatal HIV-1 transmission. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2002;29(5):484-494. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11981365.
- 17. Petra Study T. Efficacy of three short-course regimens of zidovudine and lamivudine in preventing early and late transmission of HIV-1 from mother to child in Tanzania, South Africa, and Uganda (Petra study): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2002;359(9313):1178-1186. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11955535.
- 18. Lallemant M, Jourdain G, Le Coeur S, et al. A trial of shortened zidovudine regimens to prevent mother-to-child transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Perinatal HIV Prevention Trial (Thailand) Investigators. *N Engl J Med*. 2000;343(14):982-991. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11018164.
- 19. Wade NA, Birkhead GS, Warren BL, et al. Abbreviated regimens of zidovudine prophylaxis and perinatal transmission of the human immunodeficiency virus. *N Engl J Med.* 1998;339(20):1409-1414. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9811915.
- 20. Van Rompay KK, Otsyula MG, Marthas ML, Miller CJ, McChesney MB, Pedersen NC. Immediate zidovudine treatment protects simian immunodeficiency virus-infected newborn macaques against rapid onset of AIDS. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 1995;39(1):125-131. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7695293.
- 21. Tsai CC, Follis KE, Sabo A, et al. Prevention of SIV infection in macaques by (R)-9-(2-phosphonylmethoxypropyl) adenine. *Science*. 1995;270(5239):1197-1199. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7502044.
- 22. Bottiger D, Johansson NG, Samuelsson B, et al. Prevention of simian immunodeficiency virus, SIVsm, or HIV-2 infection in cynomolgus monkeys by pre- and postexposure administration of BEA-005. *AIDS*. 1997;11(2):157-162. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9030361.
- 23. Dunn DT, Brandt CD, Krivine A, et al. The sensitivity of HIV-1 DNA polymerase chain reaction in the neonatal period and the relative contributions of intra-uterine and intra-partum transmission. *AIDS*. 1995;9(9):F7-11. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8527070.
- 24. American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP). Addendum evaluation and management of the infant exposed to HIV-1 in the United States. *Pediatrics*. 2013;130(6):1183-1194.

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and Association of Public Health Laboratories. Laboratory testing for the diagnosis of HIV infection: updated recommendations. 2014. Available at: http://stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/23447. Accessed January 17, 2015.
- 26. American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP). Breastfeeding and the Use of Human Milk. Available at http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2011-3552. Accessed March 5, 2015.
- 27. Colgrove RC, Pitt J, Chung PH, Welles SL, Japour AJ. Selective vertical transmission of HIV-1 antiretroviral resistance mutations. *AIDS*. 1998;12(17):2281-2288. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9863870.
- 28. Bauer GR, Colgrove RC, Larussa PS, Pitt J, Welles SL. Antiretroviral resistance in viral isolates from HIV-1-transmitting mothers and their infants. *AIDS*. 2006;20(13):1707-1712. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16931934.
- 29. Cohan D, Feakins C, Wara D, et al. Perinatal transmission of multidrug-resistant HIV-1 despite viral suppression on an enfuvirtide-based treatment regimen. *AIDS*. 2005;19(9):989-990. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15905684.
- 30. Desai N, Mathur M. Selective transmission of multidrug resistant HIV to a newborn related to poor maternal adherence. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2003;79(5):419-421. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14573842.
- 31. De Jose MI, Ramos JT, Alvarez S, Jimenez JL, Munoz-Fernandez MA. Vertical transmission of HIV-1 variants resistant to reverse transcriptase and protease inhibitors. *Arch Intern Med.* 2001;161(22):2738-2739. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11732941.
- 32. Zeh C, Weidle PJ, Nafisa L, et al. HIV-1 drug resistance emergence among breastfeeding infants born to HIV-infected mothers during a single-arm trial of triple-antiretroviral prophylaxis for prevention of mother-to-child transmission: a secondary analysis. *PLoS Med.* 2011;8(3):e1000430. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21468304.
- 33. Fogel J, Li Q, Taha TE, et al. Initiation of antiretroviral treatment in women after delivery can induce multiclass drug resistance in breastfeeding HIV-infected infants. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2011;52(8):1069-1076. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21460326.
- 34. Moodley J, Moodley D, Pillay K, et al. Pharmacokinetics and antiretroviral activity of lamivudine alone or when coadministered with zidovudine in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected pregnant women and their offspring. *J Infect Dis.* 1998;178(5):1327-1333. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9780252.
- 35. Moodley D, Moodley J, Coovadia H, et al. A multicenter randomized controlled trial of nevirapine versus a combination of zidovudine and lamivudine to reduce intrapartum and early postpartum mother-to-child transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. *J Infect Dis*. 2003;187(5):725-735. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12599045.
- 36. Lambert JS, Nogueira SA, Abreu T, et al. A pilot study to evaluate the safety and feasibility of the administration of AZT/3TC fixed dose combination to HIV infected pregnant women and their infants in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2003;79(6):448-452. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14663118.
- 37. Flynn PM, Mirochnick M, Shapiro DE, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of single-dose tenofovir disoproxil fumarate and emtricitabine in HIV-1-infected pregnant women and their infants. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2011;55(12):5914-5922. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21896911.
- 38. TEmAA ANRS 12109 Study Group, Arrive E, Chaix ML, et al. Maternal and nenonatal tenofovir and emtricitabine to prevent vertical transmission of HIV-1: tolerance and resistance. *AIDS*. 2010;24(16):2481-2488. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20827166.
- 39. Mirochnick M, Taha T, Kreitchmann R, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of tenofovir in HIV-infected women during labor and their infants during the first week of life. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;65(1):33-41. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23979002.
- 40. Gray G, Violari A, McIntyre J, et al. Antiviral activity of nucleoside analogues during short-course monotherapy or dual therapy: its role in preventing HIV infection in infants. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2006;42(2):169-176. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16639342.
- 41. Rongkavilit C, van Heeswijk RP, Limpongsanurak S, et al. Dose-escalating study of the safety and pharmacokinetics of nelfinavir in HIV-exposed neonates. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2002;29(5):455-463. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11981361.
- 42. Torres SM, Walker DM, Carter MM, et al. Mutagenicity of zidovudine, lamivudine, and abacavir following in vitro exposure of human lymphoblastoid cells or in utero exposure of CD-1 mice to single agents or drug combinations. *Environ Mol Mutagen*. 2007;48(3-4):224-238. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17358033.
- 43. Le Chenadec J, Mayaux MJ, Guihenneuc-Jouyaux C, Blanche S, Enquete Perinatale Francaise Study G. Perinatal antiretroviral treatment and hematopoiesis in HIV-uninfected infants. *AIDS*. 2003;17(14):2053-2061. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14502008.

- 44. Pacheco SE, McIntosh K, Lu M, et al. Effect of perinatal antiretroviral drug exposure on hematologic values in HIV-uninfected children: An analysis of the women and infants transmission study. *J Infect Dis.* 2006;194(8):1089-1097. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16991083.
- 45. Feiterna-Sperling C, Weizsaecker K, Buhrer C, et al. Hematologic effects of maternal antiretroviral therapy and transmission prophylaxis in HIV-1-exposed uninfected newborn infants. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2007;45(1):43-51. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17356471.
- 46. Mirochnick M, Nielsen-Saines K, Pilotto JH, et al. Nevirapine concentrations in newborns receiving an extended prophylactic regimen. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2008;47(3):334-337. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18398973.
- 47. Kumwenda NI, Hoover DR, Mofenson LM, et al. Extended antiretroviral prophylaxis to reduce breast-milk HIV-1 transmission. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;359(2):119-129. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18525035.
- 48. Six Week Extended-Dose Nevirapine Study T, Bedri A, Gudetta B, et al. Extended-dose nevirapine to 6 weeks of age for infants to prevent HIV transmission via breastfeeding in Ethiopia, India, and Uganda: an analysis of three randomised controlled trials. *Lancet*. 2008;372(9635):300-313. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18657709.
- 49. Chasela CS, Hudgens MG, Jamieson DJ, et al. Maternal or infant antiretroviral drugs to reduce HIV-1 transmission. *N Engl J Med.* 2010;362(24):2271-2281. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20554982.
- Coovadia HM, Brown ER, Fowler MG, et al. Efficacy and safety of an extended nevirapine regimen in infant children of breastfeeding mothers with HIV-1 infection for prevention of postnatal HIV-1 transmission (HPTN 046): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2012;379(9812):221-228. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22196945.
- 51. Moorthy A, Gupta A, Bhosale R, et al. Nevirapine resistance and breast-milk HIV transmission: effects of single and extended-dose nevirapine prophylaxis in subtype C HIV-infected infants. *PLoS One*. 2009;4(1):e4096. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19119321.
- 52. Fogel J, Hoover DR, Sun J, et al. Analysis of nevirapine resistance in HIV-infected infants who received extended nevirapine or nevirapine/zidovudine prophylaxis. *AIDS*. 2011;25(7):911-917. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21487249.
- 53. Chadwick EG, Pinto J, Yogev R, et al. Early initiation of lopinavir/ritonavir in infants less than 6 weeks of age: pharmacokinetics and 24-week safety and efficacy. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2009;28(3):215-219. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19209098.
- 54. Lopriore E, Rozendaal L, Gelinck LB, Bokenkamp R, Boelen CC, Walther FJ. Twins with cardiomyopathy and complete heart block born to an HIV-infected mother treated with HAART. *AIDS*. 2007;21(18):2564-2565. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18025905.
- 55. McArthur MA, Kalu SU, Foulks AR, Aly AM, Jain SK, Patel JA. Twin preterm neonates with cardiac toxicity related to lopinavir/ritonavir therapy. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2009;28(12):1127-1129. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19820426.
- 56. Simon A, Warszawski J, Kariyawasam D, et al. Association of prenatal and postnatal exposure to lopinavir-ritonavir and adrenal dysfunction among uninfected infants of HIV-infected mothers. *JAMA*. 2011;306(1):70-78. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21730243.
- 57. Boxwell D, Cao K, Lewis L, Marcus K, Nikhar B. Neonatal toxicity of Kaletra oral solution: LPV, ethanol or prophylene glycol? 18th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; February 27-Mar 2 2011, 2011; Boston, MA.
- 58. Clarke DF, Acosta EP, Rizk ML, et al. Raltegravir pharmacokinetics in neonates following maternal dosing. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;67(3):310-315. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25162819.
- 59. Clarke DF, Wong RJ, Wenning L, Stevenson DK, Mirochnick M. Raltegravir in vitro effect on bilirubin binding. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2013;32(9):978-980. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23470680.
- 60. Taha TE, Kumwenda NI, Hoover DR, et al. Nevirapine and zidovudine at birth to reduce perinatal transmission of HIV in an African setting: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2004;292(2):202-209. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15249569.
- 61. Taha TE, Kumwenda NI, Gibbons A, et al. Short postexposure prophylaxis in newborn babies to reduce mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1: NVAZ randomised clinical trial. *Lancet*. 2003;362(9391):1171-1177. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14568737.
- 62. Shapiro RL, Thior I, Gilbert PB, et al. Maternal single-dose nevirapine versus placebo as part of an antiretroviral strategy to prevent mother-to-child HIV transmission in Botswana. *AIDS*. 2006;20(9):1281-1288. Available at

- http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16816557
- 63. Gray GE, Urban M, Chersich MF, et al. A randomized trial of two postexposure prophylaxis regimens to reduce mother-to-child HIV-1 transmission in infants of untreated mothers. *AIDS*. 2005;19(12):1289-1297. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16052084.
- 64. Kilewo C, Karlsson K, Ngarina M, et al. Prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 through breastfeeding by treating mothers with triple antiretroviral therapy in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania: the Mitra Plus study. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2009;52(3):406-416. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19730269.
- 65. Peltier CA, Ndayisaba GF, Lepage P, et al. Breastfeeding with maternal antiretroviral therapy or formula feeding to prevent HIV postnatal mother-to-child transmission in Rwanda. *AIDS*. 2009;23(18):2415-2423. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19730349.
- 66. Shapiro RL, Hughes MD, Ogwu A, et al. Antiretroviral regimens in pregnancy and breast-feeding in Botswana. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;362(24):2282-2294. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20554983.
- 67. Kesho Bora Study G, de Vincenzi I. Triple antiretroviral compared with zidovudine and single-dose nevirapine prophylaxis during pregnancy and breastfeeding for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 (Kesho Bora study): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2011;11(3):171-180. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21237718.
- 68. Hirt D, Warszawski J, Firtion G, et al. High exposure to zidovudine during the first 2 weeks of life and concentration-toxicity relationships. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;63(5):555-562. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23481669.
- 69. Fauchet F, Treluyer JM, Valade E, et al. Maternal and fetal zidovudine pharmacokinetics during pregnancy and labour: too high dose infused at labour? *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2014;78(6):1387-1396. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25040510.
- 70. Mirochnick M, Dorenbaum A, Blanchard S, et al. Predose infant nevirapine concentration with the two-dose intrapartum neonatal nevirapine regimen: association with timing of maternal intrapartum nevirapine dose. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2003;33(2):153-156. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12794547.
- 71. Shetty AK, Coovadia HM, Mirochnick MM, et al. Safety and trough concentrations of nevirapine prophylaxis given daily, twice weekly, or weekly in breast-feeding infants from birth to 6 months. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2003;34(5):482-490. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14657758.
- 72. Capparelli EV, Mirochnick M, Dankner WM, et al. Pharmacokinetics and tolerance of zidovudine in preterm infants. *J Pediatr*. 2003;142(1):47-52. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12520254.
- 73. Mirochnick M, Capparelli E, Connor J. Pharmacokinetics of zidovudine in infants: a population analysis across studies. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 1999;66(1):16-24. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10430105.
- 74. Mugabo P, Els I, Smith J, et al. Nevirapine plasma concentrations in premature infants exposed to single-dose nevirapine for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1. *S Afr Med J*. 2011;101(9):655-658. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21920159.
- 75. Committee on Pediatric AIDS. Infant feeding and transmission of human immunodeficiency virus in the United States. *Pediatrics*. 2013;131(2):391-396. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23359577.
- 76. Kuhn L, Reitz C, Abrams EJ. Breastfeeding and AIDS in the developing world. *Curr Opin Pediatr.* 2009;21(1):83-93. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19242244.
- 77. Van de Perre P, Lepage P, Homsy J, Dabis F. Mother-to-infant transmission of human immunodeficiency virus by breast milk: presumed innocent or presumed guilty? *Clin Infect Dis.* 1992;15(3):502-507. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1445596.
- 78. Daar ES. Virology and immunology of acute HIV type 1 infection. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 1998;14 Suppl 3:S229-234. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9814948.
- 79. Smith DK, Grohskopf LA, Black RJ, et al. Antiretroviral postexposure prophylaxis after sexual, injection-drug use, or other nonoccupational exposure to HIV in the United States: recommendations from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* 2005;54(RR-2):1-20. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15660015.
- 80. Kilewo C, Karlsson K, Massawe A, et al. Prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 through breast-feeding by treating infants prophylactically with lamivudine in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania: the Mitra Study. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2008;48(3):315-323. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18344879.

Initial Postnatal Management of the HIV-Exposed Neonate (Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Panel's Recommendations

- · A complete blood count and differential should be performed on newborns as a baseline evaluation (BIII).
- If hematologic abnormalities are identified in infants receiving prophylaxis, decisions on whether to continue infant antiretroviral
 prophylaxis need to be individualized. Consultation with an expert in pediatric HIV infection is advised if early discontinuation of
 prophylaxis is considered (CIII).
- Decisions about the timing of subsequent monitoring of hematologic parameters in infants depend on baseline hematologic values, gestational age at birth, clinical condition of the infants, the zidovudine dose being administered, receipt of other antiretroviral drugs and concomitant medications, and maternal antepartum therapy (CIII).
- Hemoglobin and neutrophil counts should be remeasured 4 weeks after initiation of prophylaxis for infants who receive combination zidovudine/lamivudine-containing antiretroviral prophylaxis regimens (AI).
- Routine measurement of serum lactate is not recommended. However, measurement can be considered if an infant develops severe clinical symptoms of unknown etiology (particularly neurologic symptoms) (CIII).
- Virologic tests are required to diagnose HIV infection in infants aged <18 months and should be performed at 14 to 21 days of life and at ages 1 to 2 months and 4 to 6 months (AII).
- To prevent Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia (PCP), all infants born to HIV-infected women should begin PCP prophylaxis at ages 4
 to 6 weeks, after completing their antiretroviral prophylaxis regimen, unless there is adequate test information to presumptively
 exclude HIV infection (see the Pediatric Opportunistic Infections Guidelines) (AII).
- Health care providers should routinely inquire about premastication, instruct HIV-infected caregivers to avoid this practice, and advise on safer feeding options (AII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

Hematologic Toxicity

A complete blood count and differential should be performed on HIV-exposed newborns before initiation of infant antiretroviral (ARV) drug prophylaxis. Decisions about the timing of hematologic monitoring of infants after birth depend on a number of factors, including baseline hematologic values, gestational age at birth, clinical condition of the infants, which ARV drugs are being administered, receipt of concomitant medications, and maternal antepartum ARV drug regimen. Anemia is the primary complication seen in neonates given the standard 6-week postnatal zidovudine regimen. In PACTG 076, infants in the zidovudine group had lower hemoglobin levels at birth than those in the placebo group, with the maximal difference (1 g/dL) occurring at age 3 weeks. The lowest mean value for hemoglobin levels (10 g/dL) occurred at age 6 weeks in the zidovudine group. By age 12 weeks, hemoglobin values in both groups were similar. No significant differences in other laboratory parameters were observed between groups.

Some experts remeasure hematologic values in healthy infants receiving zidovudine prophylaxis only if symptoms are present. Hematologic safety data are limited on administration of 4 mg/kg of zidovudine twice daily in infants. When administering this dosing regimen, some experts remeasure hemoglobin and neutrophil counts routinely after 4 weeks of zidovudine prophylaxis and/or when diagnostic HIV polymerase chain reaction (PCR) tests are obtained.

In utero exposure to maternal combination ARV drug regimens may be associated with more anemia and/or neutropenia compared with that seen in infants exposed to zidovudine alone.²⁻⁵ In PACTG 316, where 77% of mothers received antenatal combination therapy, significant Grade 3 or higher anemia was noted in 13% and neutropenia in 12% of infants, respectively. Some experts recommend more intensive monitoring of hematologic and serum chemistry and liver function assays at birth and when diagnostic HIV PCR tests are

obtained in infants exposed to combination ARV drug regimens in utero or during the neonatal period.

In addition, data are limited on infants receiving zidovudine in combination with other ARVs for prophylaxis. However, higher rates of hematologic toxicity have been observed in infants receiving zidovudine/lamivudine combination prophylaxis compared with those receiving zidovudine alone or zidovudine plus nevirapine.⁶ Hemoglobin levels and neutrophil counts, therefore, should be remeasured 4 weeks after initiation of prophylaxis and/or at the time that diagnostic HIV PCR testing is done in infants who receive combination zidovudine/lamivudine-containing ARV prophylaxis regimens.⁷

If hematologic abnormalities are found, decisions on whether to continue infant ARV prophylaxis need to be individualized. Considerations include the extent of the abnormality, whether related symptoms are present, duration of infant prophylaxis, and risk of HIV infection (as assessed by the mother's history of ARV prophylaxis, viral load near delivery, and mode of delivery). In the United States, the standard 6-week infant zidovudine regimen has been recommended based on data from PACTG studies 076 and 316 (both performed during an era when women received zidovudine monotherapy antenatally). In the United Kingdom and other European countries, a 4-week neonatal chemoprophylaxis regimen is now recommended for infants born to mothers who have received combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) regimens and have viral suppression, with no apparent increase in the overall HIV perinatal transmission rate. Additionally, a 4-week zidovudine regimen has been reported to result in earlier recovery from anemia in otherwise healthy infants compared with the 6-week zidovudine regimen. Therefore, a 4-week zidovudine neonatal chemoprophylaxis regimen can be considered when a mother has received standard cART during pregnancy with consistent viral suppression and there are no concerns related to maternal adherence.

Hyperlactatemia

Hyperlactatemia has been reported in infants with *in utero* exposure to ARVs, but it appears to be transient and, in most cases, asymptomatic.^{11,12} Routine measurement of serum lactate is not recommended in asymptomatic neonates to assess for potential mitochondrial toxicity because the clinical relevance is unknown and the predictive value for toxicity appears poor.^{11,12} Serum lactate measurement should be considered, however, for infants who develop severe clinical symptoms of unknown etiology, particularly neurologic symptoms. In infants with symptoms, if the levels are significantly abnormal (>5 mmol/L), ARV prophylaxis should be discontinued and an expert in pediatric HIV infection should be consulted regarding potential alternate prophylaxis.

Prophylaxis against Pneumocystis jirovecii Pneumonia

To prevent *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia, all infants born to HIV-infected women should begin trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole prophylaxis at age 4 to 6 weeks, after completion of the infant ARV prophylaxis regimen, unless there is adequate virologic test information to presumptively exclude HIV infection (see the <u>Pediatric Opportunistic Infections Guidelines</u>).¹³

HIV Testing of the Infant

HIV infection in infants should be diagnosed using HIV nucleic acid amplification virologic assays, which include DNA and RNA PCR and related assays. Maternal HIV antibody crosses the placenta and will be detectable in all HIV-exposed newborns; therefore, standard antibody tests should not be used for HIV diagnosis in newborns. HIV virologic testing should be performed at 14 to 21 days of life and at ages 1 to 2 months and 4 to 6 months. ¹⁴ Some experts also perform a virologic test at birth, especially in women who have not had good virologic control during pregnancy or if adequate follow-up of the infant cannot be assured. A positive HIV virologic test should be confirmed as soon as possible with a second HIV virologic test on a different specimen. Two positive HIV tests constitute a diagnosis of HIV infection. There is no evidence of a delay in HIV diagnosis with HIV DNA PCR assays in infants who have received the zidovudine regimen. ^{1,15} However, the effect of maternal or infant exposure to combination ARV drug regimens on the sensitivity of infant virologic diagnostic testing—particularly using HIV RNA assays—is

unknown. Therefore, some experts prefer to use HIV DNA PCR assays for diagnosing infection in neonates who receive combination ARV drug regimens. Any newly diagnosed infant should undergo viral resistance testing by genotype and/or phenotype to assess for susceptibility to cART.

HIV can be **presumptively** excluded with 2 or more negative tests: one at age 14 days or older and the other at age 1 month or older. **Definitive** exclusion of HIV in non-breastfed infants can be based on two or more negative virologic tests, with one test performed at age 1 month or older and the other test at age 4 months or older. Many experts confirm HIV-negative status with an HIV antibody test at age 12 to 18 months. Persistence of HIV antibodies can occasionally occur at or beyond age 18 months. Alternative algorithms exist for presumptive and definitive HIV exclusion. His testing algorithm applies mainly to exposure to HIV subtype B, which is the predominant viral subtype found in the United States. Non-subtype B viruses predominate in some other parts of the world. Non-subtype B infection may not be detected by many commercially available nucleic acid tests, particularly HIV DNA PCR. Many of the newer HIV RNA assays have improved detection of non-subtype B HIV, but there are still variants that are either poorly detected or undetectable. If non-subtype B HIV infection is suspected based on maternal origins, then newer HIV RNA assays that have improved ability to detect non-subtype B HIV should be used as part of the initial diagnostic algorithm. For a detailed discussion of pediatric HIV diagnosis, see <u>Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines</u>.

Postnatal Management

Following birth, HIV-exposed infants should have a detailed physical examination, and a thorough maternal history should be obtained. HIV-infected mothers may be coinfected with other pathogens that can be transmitted from mother to child, such as cytomegalovirus, herpes simplex virus, hepatitis B, hepatitis C, syphilis, toxoplasmosis, or tuberculosis. Infants born to mothers with such coinfections should undergo appropriate evaluation, as indicated by maternal CD4 T lymphocyte count and evidence of disease activity, to rule out transmission of additional infectious agents. The routine primary immunization schedule should be followed for HIV-exposed infants born to HIV-infected mothers. Modifications in the schedule for live virus vaccines may be required for infants with known HIV infection (see the <u>Pediatric Opportunistic Infections Guidelines</u>).

No evidence is available to enable the Panel to assess whether any changes in routine bathing practices, or timing of circumcision, are indicated for HIV-exposed newborns.

Infant Feeding Practices and Risk of HIV Transmission

In the United States, where safe infant feeding alternatives are available and free for women in need, HIV-infected women should not breastfeed their infants.¹⁷ Maternal receipt of cART is likely to reduce free virus in the breast milk, but the presence of cell-associated virus (intracellular HIV DNA) remains unaffected and, therefore, may continue to pose a transmission risk.¹⁸

Late HIV transmission events in infancy have been reported in HIV-infected children suspected of acquiring HIV infection as a result of consuming premasticated food given to them by their caregivers. Phylogenetic comparisons of virus from cases and suspected sources and supporting clinical history and investigations identified the practice of feeding premasticated foods to infants as a potential risk factor for HIV transmission. Health care providers should routinely inquire about premastication, instruct HIV-infected caregivers against this feeding practice, and advise on safer feeding options. ^{19,20}

- 1. Connor EM, Sperling RS, Gelber R, et al. Reduction of maternal-infant transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 with zidovudine treatment. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 076 Study Group. *N Engl J Med*. 1994;331(18):1173-1180. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7935654.
- 2. Feiterna-Sperling C, Weizsaecker K, Buhrer C, et al. Hematologic effects of maternal antiretroviral therapy and transmission prophylaxis in HIV-1-exposed uninfected newborn infants. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2007;45(1):43-51. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17356471.
- 3. El Beitune P, Duarte G. Antiretroviral agents during pregnancy: consequences on hematologic parameters in HIV-

- exposed, uninfected newborn infant. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2006;128(1-2):59-63. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16876310.
- 4. Watson WJ, Stevens TP, Weinberg GA. Profound anemia in a newborn infant of a mother receiving antiretroviral therapy. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 1998;17(5):435-436. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9613665.
- 5. Dryden-Peterson S, Shapiro RL, Hughes MD, et al. Increased risk of severe infant anemia after exposure to maternal HAART, Botswana. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2011;56(5):428-436. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21266910.
- 6. Nielsen-Saines K, Watts DH, Veloso VG, et al. Three postpartum antiretroviral regimens to prevent intrapartum HIV infection. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;366(25):2368-2379. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22716975.
- 7. Mandelbrot L, Landreau-Mascaro A, Rekacewicz C, et al. Lamivudine-zidovudine combination for prevention of maternal-infant transmission of HIV-1. *JAMA*. 2001;285(16):2083-2093. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11311097.
- 8. de Ruiter A, Mercey D, Anderson J, et al. British HIV Association and Children's HIV Association guidelines for the management of HIV infection in pregnant women 2008. *HIV Med.* 2008;9(7):452-502. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18840151.
- 9. Ferguson W, Goode M, Walsh A, Gavin P, Butler K. Evaluation of 4 weeks' neonatal antiretroviral prophylaxis as a component of a prevention of mother-to-child transmission program in a resource-rich setting. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2011;30(5):408-412. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21266939.
- 10. Lahoz R, Noguera A, Rovira N, et al. Antiretroviral-related hematologic short-term toxicity in healthy infants: implications of the new neonatal 4-week zidovudine regimen. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2010;29(4):376-379. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19949355.
- 11. Ekouevi DK, Toure R, Becquet R, et al. Serum lactate levels in infants exposed peripartum to antiretroviral agents to prevent mother-to-child transmission of HIV: Agence Nationale de Recherches Sur le SIDA et les Hepatites Virales 1209 study, Abidjan, Ivory Coast. *Pediatrics*. 2006;118(4):e1071-1077. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16950945.
- 12. Noguera A, Fortuny C, Munoz-Almagro C, et al. Hyperlactatemia in human immunodeficiency virus-uninfected infants who are exposed to antiretrovirals. *Pediatrics*. 2004;114(5):e598-603. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15492359.
- 13. Mofenson LM, Brady MT, Danner SP, et al. Guidelines for the Prevention and Treatment of Opportunistic Infections among HIV-exposed and HIV-infected children: recommendations from CDC, the National Institutes of Health, the HIV Medicine Association of the Infectious Diseases Society of America, the Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society, and the American Academy of Pediatrics. MMWR Recomm Rep. 2009;58(RR-11):1-166. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19730409.
- 14. Schneider E, Whitmore S, Glynn KM, et al. Revised surveillance case definitions for HIV infection among adults, adolescents, and children aged <18 months and for HIV infection and AIDS among children aged 18 months to <13 years--United States, 2008. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* 2008;57(RR-10):1-12. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19052530.
- 15. Kovacs A, Xu J, Rasheed S, et al. Comparison of a rapid nonisotopic polymerase chain reaction assay with four commonly used methods for the early diagnosis of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection in neonates and children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 1995;14(11):948-954. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8584360.
- 16. Gutierrez M, Ludwig DA, Khan SS, et al. Has highly active antiretroviral therapy increased the time to seroreversion in HIV exposed but uninfected children? *Clin Infect Dis.* 2012;55(9):1255-1261. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22851494.
- 17. Committee on Pediatric AIDS. Infant feeding and transmission of human immunodeficiency virus in the United States. *Pediatrics*. 2013;131(2):391-396. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23359577.
- 18. Gaillard P, Fowler MG, Dabis F, et al. Use of antiretroviral drugs to prevent HIV-1 transmission through breast-feeding: from animal studies to randomized clinical trials. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2004;35(2):178-187. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14722452.
- 19. Ivy W, 3rd, Dominguez KL, Rakhmanina NY, et al. Premastication as a route of pediatric HIV transmission: case-control and cross-sectional investigations. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2012;59(2):207-212. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22027873.
- 20. Gaur AH, Dominguez KL, Kalish ML, et al. Practice of feeding premasticated food to infants: a potential risk factor for HIV transmission. *Pediatrics*. 2009;124(2):658-666. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19620190.

Long-Term Follow-Up of Antiretroviral Drug-Exposed Infants (Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Panel's Recommendation

• Children with *in uterol* neonatal exposure to antiretroviral drugs who develop significant organ system abnormalities of unknown etiology, particularly of the nervous system or heart, should be evaluated for potential mitochondrial dysfunction (CIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

Data remain insufficient to address the effect that exposure to zidovudine or other antiretroviral (ARV) agents *in utero* might have on long-term risk of neoplasia or organ system toxicities in children; however, the balance of evidence over 2 decades is reassuring. Potential toxicities require further, long-term investigation especially as individual antenatal ARV and ARV combinations continue to evolve. Initial data from follow-up of PACTG 076 infants through age 6 years did not indicate any differences in immunologic, neurologic, and growth parameters between infants who were exposed to the zidovudine regimen and those who received placebo, and no malignancies were noted. However, concerns remain that exposure to ARVs may have long-term effects on mitochondrial and immunologic function. Ongoing studies within the Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study (PHACS) and other HIV-exposed uninfected cohorts may help to identify the long-term risks of ARVs in exposed infants.

Potential Mitochondrial Toxicity

Nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI) drugs induce some degree of mitochondrial dysfunction reflecting varying affinity for mitochondrial gamma DNA polymerase. This affinity can interfere with mitochondrial replication, resulting in mitochondrial DNA (mt DNA) depletion and dysfunction.³⁻⁵ Aberrant histological morphology of mitochondria, mt DNA mutations, alterations in mt DNA levels in cord blood mononuclear cells, and even aneuploidy in cord blood cells have all been described in both non-human primates and neonates exposed *in utero* to NRTIs.⁶⁻⁹ Reported increased and decreased alterations in mt DNA levels add further complexity to interpretation of their clinical significance; in addition, the data may be confounded by stage of maternal HIV infection and differences in laboratory assays and cell lines used.^{8,10-12} One study has reported that respiratory chain mitochondrial function is subtly perturbed, at least transiently, with an increased incidence of abnormal newborn metabolic screen results for products of intermediary metabolism (elevated amino acids and acylcarnitines) in HIV-exposed (but uninfected) infants compared with HIV-unexposed infants.¹³ The degrees to which these theoretical concerns and even documented mitochondrial abnormalities are clinically relevant are not yet known but are significantly outweighed by the robust, proven efficacy of maternal and infant ARV prophylaxis to prevent perinatal HIV transmission.^{8,14}

Evidence of clinically apparent effects of mitochondrial toxicity is also conflicting. A low rate of hyperlactatemia (3.4%) is documented among HIV-exposed, uninfected infants born to US women receiving ARV therapy. However, earlier studies from the French Perinatal Study Group cohort noted a significantly increased incidence of clinical effects possibly reflecting mitochondrial dysfunction including seizures, cognitive and motor delays, abnormal neuroimaging, hyperlactatemia, cardiac dysfunction, and two deaths, with abnormal mitochondrial histology noted among some HIV-uninfected infants born to HIV-infected women (who received or did not receive ARV drugs during pregnancy: 12/2,644 vs. 0/1,748, respectively, *P* = 0.002). Holder Further clinical studies from the United States and Europe have not duplicated these French reports. In a report from a long-term follow-up study in the United States (PACTG 219/219C), 20 children with possible symptoms of mitochondrial dysfunction were identified among a cohort of 1,037 HIV-exposed uninfected infants. Definitive diagnosis was not possible because none of the children had biopsies

for mitochondrial function; however, 3 of the 20 children had no exposure to ARV drugs. In the 17 remaining children, there was an association between symptoms and first exposure to zidovudine/lamivudine limited to the third trimester, but overall exposure to NRTIs was not associated with symptoms. Some small alterations in mt DNA and oxidative phosphorylation enzyme activities were documented in stored specimens from these children, but the clinical significance of these observations remains unknown.^{25,26}

Given the above data, mitochondrial dysfunction should be considered in uninfected children with perinatal exposure to ARV drugs who present with severe clinical findings of unknown etiology, particularly neurologic findings. It is important that the long-term medical record of an uninfected child includes information about ARV exposure, should unusual symptoms develop later in life, or if adverse late effects of HIV or ARV exposure in uninfected children are identified in the future.^{8,27,28}

Potential Immunologic Dysfunction

The potential impact of HIV exposure on the immune system of an uninfected infant is unclear. One study reported lower CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts in HIV-exposed uninfected infants born to mothers whose viral load at the time of delivery was >1,000 copies/mL compared to HIV-exposed uninfected infants whose mothers had a viral load <50 copies/mL at the time of delivery.²⁹ The French Perinatal Cohort Group have reported an increased risk of serious bacterial infections with encapsulated organisms in HIV-exposed infants born to mothers with low CD4 number near the time of delivery.³⁰ Other data suggest that exposure to HIV *in utero* may be associated with alterations in CD4 and CD8 cell-mediated immune responses in infants to vaccines and non-specific antigens in infants.³¹ Further study is needed regarding the reproducibility of these data, whether findings are transient or prolonged, and whether they are primarily associated with advanced maternal HIV disease.

Conclusion

Ongoing evaluations of the early and late effects of *in utero* exposure to ARV drugs include the Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study Surveillance Monitoring of Antiretroviral Toxicity Study, natural history studies, and HIV/AIDS surveillance conducted by state health departments and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Because many of the available follow-up data to date relate to *in utero* exposure to antenatal zidovudine or other NRTIs alone, and most HIV-infected pregnant women currently receive combination ARV drug regimens, it is critical that studies to evaluate potential adverse effects of *in utero* drug exposure continue to be supported. HIV surveillance databases from states that require HIV reporting provide an opportunity to collect population-based information concerning *in utero* exposure to ARVs. To the extent permitted by federal law and regulations, data from these confidential registries can be compared with information from birth defect and cancer registries to identify potential adverse outcomes.

- 1. Culnane M, Fowler M, Lee SS, et al. Lack of long-term effects of in utero exposure to zidovudine among uninfected children born to HIV-infected women. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 219/076 Teams. *JAMA*. 1999;281(2):151-157. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9917118.
- 2. Hanson IC, Antonelli TA, Sperling RS, et al. Lack of tumors in infants with perinatal HIV-1 exposure and fetal/neonatal exposure to zidovudine. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol*. 1999;20(5):463-467. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10225228.
- 3. Brinkman K, Ter Hofstede HJM, Burger DM, et al. Adverse effects of reverse transcriptase inhibitors: mitochondrial toxicity as common pathway. *AIDS*. 1998;12(14):1735-1744. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=9792373&dopt=Abstract.
- 4. Birkus G, Hitchcock MJ, Cihlar T. Assessment of mitochondrial toxicity in human cells treated with tenofovir: comparison with other nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2002;46(3):716-723. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11850253.
- 5. Saitoh A, Haas RH, Naviaux RK, Salva NG, Wong JK, Spector SA. Impact of nucleoside reverse transcriptase

- inhibitors on mitochondrial DNA and RNA in human skeletal muscle cells. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2008;52(8):2825-2830. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18541728.
- 6. Divi RL, Leonard SL, Kuo MM, et al. Transplacentally exposed human and monkey newborn infants show similar evidence of nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor-induced mitochondrial toxicity. *Environ Mol Mutagen*. 2007;48(3-4):201-209. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16538687.
- 7. Poirier MC, Divi RL, Al-Harthi L, et al. Long-term mitochondrial toxicity in HIV-uninfected infants born to HIV-infected mothers. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2003;33(2):175-183. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12794551.
- 8. Jao J, Abrams EJ. Metabolic Complications of in utero Maternal HIV and Antiretroviral Exposure in HIV-exposed Infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2014;33(7):734-740. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24378947.
- 9. Martin F, Taylor GP. The safety of highly active antiretroviral therapy for the HIV-positive pregnant mother and her baby: is 'the more the merrier'? *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2009;64(5):895-900. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19706669.
- Aldrovandi GM, Chu C, Shearer WT, et al. Antiretroviral exposure and lymphocyte mtDNA content among uninfected infants of HIV-1-infected women. *Pediatrics*. 2009;124(6):e1189-1197. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19933732.
- 11. Cote HC, Raboud J, Bitnun A, et al. Perinatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy is associated with increased blood mitochondrial DNA levels and decreased mitochondrial gene expression in infants. *J Infect Dis.* 2008;198(6):851-859. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18684095.
- 12. Gingelmaier A, Grubert TA, Kost BP, et al. Mitochondrial toxicity in HIV type-1-exposed pregnancies in the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Antivir Ther*. 2009;14(3):331-338. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19474467.
- 13. Kirmse B, Hobbs CV, Peter I, et al. Abnormal newborn screens and acylcarnitines in HIV-exposed and ARV-exposed infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2013;32(2):146-150. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22935866.
- 14. Newell ML, Bunders MJ. Safety of antiretroviral drugs in pregnancy and breastfeeding for mother and child. *Curr Opin HIV AIDS*. 2013;8(5):504-510. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23743789.
- Crain MJ, Williams PL, Griner R, et al. Point-of-care capillary blood lactate measurements in human immunodeficiency virus-uninfected children with in utero exposure to human immunodeficiency virus and antiretroviral medications. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2011;30(12):1069-1074. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22051859.
- 16. Blanche S, Tardieu M, Rustin P, et al. Persistent mitochondrial dysfunction and perinatal exposure to antiretroviral nucleoside analogues. *Lancet*. 1999;354(9184):1084-1089. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10509500.
- 17. Barret B, Tardieu M, Rustin P, et al. Persistent mitochondrial dysfunction in HIV-1-exposed but uninfected infants: clinical screening in a large prospective cohort. *AIDS*. 2003;17(12):1769-1785. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12891063.
- 18. Sperling RS, Shapiro DE, McSherry GD, et al. Safety of the maternal-infant zidovudine regimen utilized in the Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trial Group 076 Study. *AIDS*. 1998;12(14):1805-1813. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9792381.
- 19. The Perinatal Safety Review Working Group. Nucleoside exposure in the children of HIV-infected women receiving antiretroviral drugs: absence of clear evidence for mitochondrial disease in children who died before 5 years of age in five United States cohorts. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2000;25(3):261-268. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11115957.
- 20. Lipshultz SE, Easley KA, Orav EJ, et al. Absence of cardiac toxicity of zidovudine in infants. Pediatric Pulmonary and Cardiac Complications of Vertically Transmitted HIV Infection Study Group. *N Engl J Med.* 2000;343(11):759-766. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10984563.
- 21. European Collaborative Study. Exposure to antiretroviral therapy in utero or early life: the health of uninfected children born to HIV-infected women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2003;32(4):380-387. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=12640195&dopt=Abstract.
- 22. Alimenti A, Forbes JC, Oberlander TF, et al. A prospective controlled study of neurodevelopment in HIV-uninfected children exposed to combination antiretroviral drugs in pregnancy. *Pediatrics*. 2006;118(4):e1139-1145. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16940166.
- 23. Brogly SB, Ylitalo N, Mofenson LM, et al. In utero nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor exposure and signs of

- possible mitochondrial dysfunction in HIV-uninfected children. *AIDS*. 2007;21(8):929-938. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17457086.
- 24. Hankin C, Lyall H, Peckham C, Tookey P. Monitoring death and cancer in children born to HIV-infected women in England and Wales: use of HIV surveillance and national routine data. *AIDS*. 2007;21(7):867-869. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17415042.
- 25. Brogly SB, DiMauro S, Van Dyke RB, et al. Short communication: transplacental nucleoside analogue exposure and mitochondrial parameters in HIV-uninfected children. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 2011;27(7):777-783. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21142587.
- 26. Brogly SB, Foca M, Deville JG, et al. Potential confounding of the association between exposure to nucleoside analogues and mitochondrial dysfunction in HIV-uninfected and indeterminate infants. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2010;53(1):154-157. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20035168.
- 27. Mofenson LM, Watts DH. Safety of pediatric HIV elimination: the growing population of HIV- and antiretroviral-exposed but uninfected infants. *PLoS Med.* 2014;11(4):e1001636. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781352.
- 28. Hazra R, Siberry GK, Mofenson LM. Growing up with HIV: children, adolescents, and young adults with perinatally acquired HIV infection. *Annu Rev Med.* 2010;61:169-185. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19622036
- 29. Kakkar F, Lamarre V, Ducruet T, et al. Impact of maternal HIV-1 viremia on lymphocyte subsets among HIV-exposed uninfected infants: protective mechanism or immunodeficiency. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2014;14:236. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24885498.
- 30. Taron-Brocard C, Le Chenadec J, Faye A, et al. Increased risk of serious bacterial infections due to maternal immunosuppression in HIV-exposed uninfected infants in a European country. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2014;59(9):1332-1345. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25053719.
- 31. Kidzeru EB, Hesseling AC, Passmore JA, et al. In-utero exposure to maternal HIV infection alters T-cell immune responses to vaccination in HIV-uninfected infants. *AIDS*. 2014;28(10):1421-1430. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24785950.

Appendix A: Review of Clinical Trials of Antiretroviral Interventions to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission (Last updated)

August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

One of the major achievements in HIV research was the demonstration by the Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group (PACTG) 076 clinical trial that administration of zidovudine to pregnant women and their infants could reduce the risk of perinatal transmission by nearly 70%. Following the results of PACTG 076, researchers began to explore the development of shorter, less expensive prophylactic regimens more applicable to resource-constrained settings. In addition, a number of studies have examined optimal regimens to reduce postnatal transmission during breastfeeding. This Appendix provides a table summarizing results of major studies of antiretroviral (ARV) interventions to prevent perinatal transmission (see <u>Supplemental Table 1</u>) and a brief discussion of lessons learned. In many cases, the direct comparison of results from trials of these regimens is not possible because the studies involved diverse patient populations residing in different geographic locations, infected with diverse viral subtypes, and with different infant feeding practices. However, some generalizations are relevant to understanding the use of ARV drugs for prevention of perinatal transmission in both resource-limited and resource-rich countries.

Combination antenatal prophylaxis taken over a longer duration is more effective than a short-course single-drug regimen in reducing perinatal transmission.

The use of ARV drugs to prevent transmission is highly effective, even in HIV-infected women with advanced disease.^{2,3} Efficacy has been demonstrated for a number of short-course ARV regimens, including those with zidovudine alone, zidovudine plus lamivudine, single-dose nevirapine, and single-dose nevirapine combined with either short-course zidovudine or zidovudine/lamivudine.⁴⁻¹³ In general, combination regimens are more effective than single-drug regimens in reducing perinatal transmission. In addition, for prevention of perinatal transmission, administration of ARV drugs during the antepartum, intrapartum, and postpartum periods is superior to administration of ARV drugs during only the antepartum and intrapartum periods or the intrapartum and postpartum periods.^{5,14,15}

Almost all trials in resource-limited countries have included oral intrapartum prophylaxis, with varying durations of maternal antenatal and/or infant (and sometimes maternal) postpartum prophylaxis. Perinatal transmission is reduced by regimens with antenatal components starting as late as 36 weeks' gestation, even when lacking an infant prophylaxis component. However, longer-duration antenatal zidovudine prophylaxis, beginning at 28 weeks' gestation, is more effective than shorter-duration zidovudine prophylaxis, beginning at 35 weeks' gestation. The European National Study of HIV in Pregnancy and Childhood demonstrated that each additional week of an antenatal, triple-drug regimen corresponded to a 10% reduction in risk of transmission. More prolonged infant post-exposure prophylaxis does not appear to substitute for longer-duration maternal ARV prophylaxis.

The PROMISE study is the first randomized clinical trial to demonstrate the superiority of combination antiretroviral therapy over zidovudine-based prophylaxis for prevention of *in utero* transmission in women with CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts >350 cells/mm³. Pregnant women were randomized to one of three study arms:

- Zidovudine plus single-dose nevirapine at delivery plus postpartum tenofovir disoproxil fumarate/emtricitabine tail
- Zidovudine plus lamivudine plus ritonavir-boosted lopinavir
- Tenofovir plus emtricitabine plus ritonavir-boosted lopinavir

The rate of perinatal transmission through 14 days of life was significantly lower among women receiving triple ARV prophylaxis (0.6%, 9 infections among 1,710 infants) compared with those in the zidovudine arm (1.8%, 25 infections among 1,326 infants).

Regimens that do not include maternal ARV prophylaxis during pregnancy have been evaluated because some women may lack antenatal care and present for prenatal care for the first time when they go into labor. Regimens that include only intrapartum and postpartum drug administration also have been shown to be effective in reducing perinatal transmission. However, without continued infant post-exposure prophylaxis, intrapartum pre-exposure prophylaxis alone with nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor drugs (zidovudine/lamivudine) is not effective in reducing transmission. The SAINT trial demonstrated that intrapartum/postpartum zidovudine/lamivudine and single-dose intrapartum/newborn nevirapine are similar in efficacy and safety.

<u>Combination infant ARV prophylaxis is recommended in the United States for infants whose mothers</u> have not received antenatal ARV drugs.

In some situations, it may be impossible to administer maternal antepartum and intrapartum therapy, and only infant prophylaxis may be an option. In the absence of maternal therapy, the standard infant prophylaxis regimen of 6 weeks of zidovudine was effective in reducing HIV transmission compared with no prophylaxis, based on epidemiological data in resource-rich countries. A trial in Malawi in breastfeeding infants demonstrated that adding 1 week of zidovudine therapy to infant single-dose nevirapine reduced risk of transmission by 36% compared with infant single-dose nevirapine alone.

To define the optimal infant prophylaxis regimen in the absence of maternal antepartum ARV drug administration in a formula-fed population of infants such as in the United States, the NICHD-HPTN 040/P1043 (NCT00099359) clinical trial compared 3 infant ARV regimens in formula-fed infants born to mothers who did not receive ARV drugs during the current pregnancy: standard 6 weeks of zidovudine alone versus 6 weeks of zidovudine plus 3 doses of nevirapine given in the first week of life (first dose birth to 48 hours, second dose 48 hours after first dose, third dose 96 hours after second dose) versus 6 weeks of zidovudine plus lamivudine and nelfinavir given from birth through age 2 weeks. ¹⁹ The study demonstrated that both the dual- and triple-combination regimens reduced the risk of intrapartum transmission by approximately 50% compared with infant prophylaxis with zidovudine alone, although there was more hematologic toxicity with the triple regimen (see <u>Supplemental Table 1</u>). Based on these data, combination ARV prophylaxis is now recommended in the United States for infants whose mothers have not received antenatal ARV drugs, with the dual regimen of zidovudine plus three doses of nevirapine in the first week of life being preferred because of lower rates of toxicity (see <u>Infant Antiretroviral Prophylaxis</u>).

Adding single-dose intrapartum nevirapine is not recommended for women in the United States who are receiving standard recommended antenatal ARV prophylaxis.

PACTG 316, a clinical trial conducted in the United States, Europe, Brazil, and the Bahamas, demonstrated that for non-breastfeeding women in resource-rich countries, the addition of single-dose nevirapine did not offer significant benefit in the setting of combination ARV prophylaxis throughout pregnancy and very low viral load at the time of delivery.²⁰ Thus, adding single-dose intrapartum nevirapine is not recommended for women in the United States who are receiving standard recommended antenatal ARV prophylaxis (see Intrapartum Antiretroviral Therapy/Prophylaxis).

Breastfeeding by HIV-infected women is not recommended in the United States.

Breastfeeding by HIV-infected women (including those receiving ARV drugs) is not recommended in the United States, where replacement feeding is affordable, feasible, acceptable, sustainable, and safe and the risk of infant mortality due to diarrheal and respiratory infections is low.²¹ Clinical trials have demonstrated that both infant prophylaxis (primarily using daily infant nevirapine) during breastfeeding and maternal triple-drug prophylaxis during breastfeeding decrease postnatal infection (see <u>Supplemental Table 1</u>).^{2,22-29} Hypothetically, maternal triple-drug prophylaxis may be less effective than infant prophylaxis if the maternal regimen is first started postpartum or late in pregnancy because it takes several weeks to months before full viral suppression in breast milk is achieved.^{26,30} Importantly, although significantly lowering the risk of postnatal infection, neither infant nor maternal postpartum ARV prophylaxis completely eliminates the risk

of HIV transmission through breast milk. Therefore, breastfeeding is not recommended for HIV-infected women in the United States (including those receiving combination ARV drug regimens). Finally, both infant nevirapine prophylaxis and maternal triple-drug prophylaxis during breastfeeding may be associated with the development of ARV drug resistance in infants who become infected despite prophylaxis; multiclass drug resistance has been described in breastfeeding infants infected despite maternal triple-drug prophylaxis. 131-35

Supplemental Table 1. Results of Major Studies on Antiretroviral Prophylaxis to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission (page $1\ of\ 7$)

Study; Location(s); Mode of Infant Feeding	Antiretroviral Drugs	Antepartum and Intrapartum	Postpartum	Perinatal Transmission Rate and Efficacy
Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group (PACTG) 076; United States, France; ¹ Formula feeding	ZDV vs. placebo	Long (from 14 weeks) IV IP	Long (6 weeks); infant only	Perinatal transmission at 18 months was 8.3% in ZDV arm vs. 25.5% in placebo arm (68% efficacy).
CDC short-course ZDV trial; Thailand; ¹² Formula feeding	ZDV vs. placebo	Short (from 36 weeks) Oral IP	None	Perinatal transmission at 6 months was 9.4% in ZDV arm vs. 18.9% in placebo arm (50% efficacy).
DITRAME (ANRS 049a) trial; Ivory Coast, Burkina Faso; ^{11,36} Breastfeeding	ZDV vs. placebo	Short (from 36 weeks) Oral IP	Short (1 week); mother only	Perinatal transmission was 18.0% in ZDV arm vs. 27.5% in placebo arm at 6 months (38% efficacy) and 21.5% vs. 30.6%, respectively, at 15 months (30% efficacy).
				Perinatal transmission was 22.5% in ZDV arm vs. 30.2% in placebo arm in pooled analysis at 24 months (26% efficacy).
CDC short-course ZDV trial; Ivory Coast; 10,11	ZDV vs. placebo	Short (from 36 weeks) Oral IP	None	Perinatal transmission was 16.5% in ZDV arm vs. 26.1% in placebo arm at 3 months (37% efficacy).
Breastfeeding				Perinatal transmission was 22.5% in ZDV arm vs. 30.2% in placebo arm in pooled analysis at 24 months (26% efficacy).
PETRA trial; South Africa, Tanzania, Uganda; ⁵ Breastfeeding and formula feeding	AP/IP/PP ZDV plus 3TC vs. IP/PP ZDV plus 3TC	Short (from 36 weeks) Oral IP	Short (1 week); mother and infant	Perinatal transmission was 5.7% at 6 weeks for AP/IP/PP ZDV plus 3TC, 8.9% for IP/PP ZDV plus 3TC, 14.2% for IP-only ZDV plus 3TC, and 15.3% for placebo (efficacy compared with placebo: 63%, 42%, and 0%, respectively).
	vs. IP-only ZDV plus 3TC vs. Placebo			Perinatal transmission was 14.9% at 18 months for AP/IP/PP ZDV plus 3TC, 18.1% for IP/PP ZDV plus 3TC, 20.0% for IP-only ZDV plus 3TC, and 22.2% for placebo (efficacy compared with placebo: 34%, 18%, and 0%, respectively).
HIVNET 012 trial; Uganda; ⁴ Breastfeeding	SD NVP vs. ZDV	No AP ARV Oral IP: SD NVP vs. oral ZDV	SD NVP within 72 hours of birth, infant only vs. ZDV (1 week); infant only	Perinatal transmission was 11.8% in NVP arm vs. 20.0% in ZDV arm at 6–8 weeks (42% efficacy) and 15.7% in NVP arm vs. 25.8% in ZDV arm at 18 months (41% efficacy).

Supplemental Table 1. Results of Major Studies on Antiretroviral Prophylaxis to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission (page 2 of 7)

Study; Location(s); Mode of Infant Feeding	Antiretroviral Drugs	Antepartum and Intrapartum	Postpartum	Perinatal Transmission Rate and Efficacy
SAINT trial; South Africa; ⁶ Breastfeeding and formula feeding	SD NVP vs. ZDV plus 3TC	No AP ARV Oral IP: SD NVP vs. ZDV plus 3TC	SD NVP within 48 hours of birth, mother and infant vs. ZDV plus 3TC (1 week); mother and infant	Perinatal transmission was 12.3% in SD NVP arm vs. 9.3% in ZDV plus 3TC arm at 8 weeks (difference not statistically significant, <i>P</i> = 0.11).
Perinatal HIV Prevention Trial (PHPT-1); Thailand; ¹³ Formula feeding	Four ZDV regimens with different durations of AP and infant PP administration; no placebo	Long (from 28 weeks), short (from 36 weeks) Oral IP	Long (6 weeks), short (3 days); infant only	Short-short arm was stopped at interim analysis (10.5%). Perinatal transmission was 6.5% in long-long arm vs. 4.7% in long-short arm and 8.6% in short-long arm at 6 months (no statistical difference). <i>In utero</i> transmission was significantly higher with short vs. long maternal therapy regimens (5.1% vs. 1.6%).
PACTG 316 trial; Bahamas, Belgium, Brazil, France, Germany, Italy, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom, United States; ²⁰ Formula feeding	SD NVP vs. placebo among women already receiving ZDV alone (23%) or ZDV plus other ARV drugs (77% combination therapy)	Non-study ARV regimen Oral IP: Placebo vs. SD NVP plus IV ZDV	Placebo vs. SD NVP within 72 hours of birth plus non-study ARV drugs (ZDV); infant only	77% of women received dual- or triple-combination ARV regimens during pregnancy. Trial stopped early because of very low perinatal transmission in both arms: 1.4% in SD NVP arm vs. 1.6% in placebo arm (53% of perinatal transmission was <i>in utero</i>).
Perinatal HIV Prevention Trial (PHPT-2); Thailand; ³⁷ Formula feeding	ZDV alone vs. ZDV plus maternal and infant SD NVP vs. ZDV plus maternal SD NVP	ZDV from 28 weeks Oral IP: ZDV alone, or ZDV plus SD NVP	ZDV for 1 week with or without SD NVP; infant only	ZDV-alone arm was stopped because of higher perinatal transmission than the NVP-NVP arm (6.3% vs. 1.1%, respectively). In arms in which the mother received SD NVP, the perinatal transmission rate did not differ significantly between the infant receiving or not receiving SD NVP (2.0% vs. 2.8%, respectively).
DITRAME Plus (ANRS 1201.0) trial; Ivory Coast; ¹⁵ Breastfeeding and formula feeding	Open label, ZDV plus SD NVP	ZDV from 36 weeks Oral IP: ZDV plus SD NVP	SD NVP plus ZDV for 1 week; infant only	Perinatal transmission was 6.5% (95% CI, 3.9%–9.1%) at 6 weeks; perinatal transmission for historical control group receiving short ZDV (98% breastfed) was 12.8%.
DITRAME Plus (ANRS 1201.1) trial; Ivory Coast; ¹⁵ Breastfeeding and formula feeding	Open label, ZDV plus 3TC plus SD NVP	ZDV plus 3TC from 32 weeks (stopped at 3 days PP) Oral IP: • ZDV plus 3TC plus SD NVP	SD NVP plus ZDV for 1 week; infant only	Perinatal transmission was 4.7% (95% CI, 2.4%–7.0%) at 6 weeks; perinatal transmission for historical control group receiving short ZDV (98% breastfed) was 12.8%.
NVAZ trial; Malawi; ⁷ Breastfeeding	Neonatal SD NVP vs. SD NVP plus ZDV	No AP or IP ARV (latecomers)	SD NVP with or without ZDV for 1 week; infant only	Perinatal transmission was 15.3% in SD NVP plus ZDV arm and 20.9% in SD NVP-only arm at 6–8 weeks. Perinatal transmission rates at 6–8 weeks among infants who were HIV uninfected at birth were 7.7% and 12.1%, respectively (36% efficacy).

Supplemental Table 1. Results of Major Studies on Antiretroviral Prophylaxis to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission (page 3 of 7)

Study; Location(s); Mode of Infant Feeding	Antiretroviral Drugs	Antepartum and Intrapartum	Postpartum	Perinatal Transmission Rate and Efficacy
Postnatal NVP plus ZDV trial; Malawi; ⁸ Breastfeeding	Neonatal SD NVP vs. SD NVP plus ZDV	No AP ARV Oral IP: • SD NVP	SD NVP with or without ZDV for 1 week; infant only	Perinatal transmission was 16.3% in NVP plus ZDV arm and 14.1% in SD NVP-only arm at 6–8 weeks (difference not statistically significant). Perinatal transmission rates at 6–8 weeks
				among infants who were HIV uninfected at birth were 6.5% and 16.9%, respectively.
Post-Exposure Infant Prophylaxis; South Africa; ⁹ Breastfeeding and formula feeding	Neonatal SD NVP vs. ZDV for 6 weeks	No AP or IP ARV	SD NVP vs. ZDV for 6 weeks	For formula-fed infants only, perinatal transmission was 14.3% in SD NVP arm vs. 14.1% in ZDV arm at 6 weeks (not significant, $P = 0.30$). For breastfed infants only, perinatal transmission was 12.2% in SD NVP arm and 19.6% in ZDV arm ($P = 0.03$).
Mashi; Botswana; 38,39 Breastfeeding and formula feeding	Initial: Short-course ZDV with/without maternal and infant SD NVP and with/without breastfeeding Revised: Short-course ZDV plus infant SD NVP with/without maternal SD NVP and with/without breastfeeding; women with CD4 cell counts <200 cells/mm³ receive combination therapy.	First Randomization: • ZDV from 34 weeks Oral IP: • ZDV plus either SD NVP or placebo	Second Randomization: Breastfeeding plus ZDV (infant) 6 months plus SD NVP; infant only, vs. Formula feeding plus ZDV (infant) 4 weeks plus SD NVP; infant only	 Initial Design: In formula-feeding arm, perinatal transmission at 1 month was 2.4% in maternal and infant SD NVP arm and 8.3% in placebo arm (<i>P</i> = 0.05). In breastfeeding plus infant ZDV arm, perinatal transmission at 1 month was 8.4% in SD NVP arm and 4.1% in placebo arm (difference not statistically significant). Revised Design: Perinatal transmission at 1 month was 4.3% in maternal plus infant SD NVP arm and 3.7% in maternal placebo plus infant SD NVP arm (no significant difference; no interaction with mode of infant feeding). Perinatal transmission at 7 months was 9.1% in breastfeeding plus ZDV arm and 5.6% in formula-feeding arm; mortality at 7 months was 4.9% in breastfeeding plus ZDV arm vs. 9.3% in formula-feeding arm; HIV-free survival at 18 months was 15.6% in the breastfeeding plus ZDV arm vs. 14.2% in the formula-feeding arm.
SWEN; Uganda, Ethiopia, India; ²³ Breastfeeding	SD NVP vs. NVP for 6 weeks	No AP ARV Oral IP: • SD NVP	Infant SD NVP vs. NVP for 6 weeks	Postnatal Infection in Infants Uninfected at Birth: • Perinatal transmission at 6 weeks was 5.3% in SD NVP arm vs. 2.5% in extended NVP arm (risk ratio 0.54, <i>P</i> = 0.009). • Perinatal transmission at 6 months was 9.0% in SD NVP arm vs. 6.9% in extended NVP arm (risk ratio 0.80, <i>P</i> = 0.16). HIV-free survival was significantly lower in extended NVP arm at both 6 weeks and 6 months of age.

Supplemental Table 1. Results of Major Studies on Antiretroviral Prophylaxis to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission (page 4 of 7)

Study; Location(s); Mode of Infant Feeding	Antiretroviral Drugs	Antepartum and Intrapartum	Postpartum	Perinatal Transmission Rate and Efficacy
PEPI-Malawi Trial; Malawi; ²² Breastfeeding	SD NVP plus ZDV for 1 week (control) vs. Two extended infant regimens (NVP or NVP/ZDV) for 14 weeks	No AP ARV Oral IP: • SD NVP (if mother presents in time)	Infant SD NVP plus ZDV for 1 week (control) vs. Control plus NVP for 14 weeks vs. Control plus NVP/ZDV for 14 weeks	Postnatal Infection in Infants Uninfected at Birth: • Perinatal transmission at age 6 weeks was 5.1% in control vs. 1.7% in extended NVP (67% efficacy) and 1.6% in extended NVP/ZDV arms (69% efficacy). • Perinatal transmission at age 9 months was 10.6% in control vs. 5.2% in extended NVP (51% efficacy) and 6.4% in extended NVP/ZDV arms (40% efficacy). No significant difference in perinatal transmission between the extended prophylaxis arms; however, more hematologic toxicity with NVP/ZDV.
MITRA; Tanzania; ²⁵ Breastfeeding	Infant 3TC for 6 months (observational)	ZDV/3TC from 36 weeks through labor	Maternal ZDV/3TC for 1 week, infant 3TC for 6 months	Perinatal transmission at age 6 months was 4.9% (postnatal perinatal transmission between ages 6 weeks and 6 months was 1.2%).
Kisumu Breastfeeding Study (KiBS); Kenya; ²⁸ Breastfeeding	Maternal triple-drug prophylaxis (observational)	ZDV/3TC/NVP (NFV if CD4 count >250 cells/mm³) from 34 weeks through labor	Maternal ZDV/3TC/NVP (NFV if CD4 count >250 cells/mm³) for 6 months, infant SD NVP	Perinatal transmission at age 6 months was 5.0% (postnatal perinatal transmission between ages 7 days and 6 months was 2.6%).
MITRA-PLUS; Tanzania; ²⁴ Breastfeeding	Maternal triple-drug prophylaxis (observational)	ZDV/3TC/NVP (NFV if CD4 count >200 cells/mm³) from 34 weeks through labor	Maternal ZDV/3TC/NVP (NFV if CD4 count >200 cells/mm³) for 6 months, infant ZDV/3TC for 1 week	Perinatal transmission at age 6 months was 5.0% (postnatal perinatal transmission between ages 6 weeks and 6 months was 0.9%), not significantly different from 6-month infant prophylaxis in MITRA.
Kesho Bora; Multi- African; ²⁷ Breastfeeding primarily	Antepartum ZDV/SD NVP with no postnatal prophylaxis vs. Maternal triple-drug prophylaxis in women with CD4 counts of 200–500 cells/mm³	Arm 1: • ZDV/3TC/LPV/r Arm 2: • ZDV plus SD NVP From 28 weeks through labor	Arm 1: • Maternal ZDV/3TC/LPV/r for 6 months, infant SD NVP plus ZDV for 1 week Arm 2: • Maternal ZDV/3TC for 1 week (no further postnatal prophylaxis), infant SD NVP plus ZDV for 1 week (no further postnatal prophylaxis)	Perinatal transmission at birth was 1.8% with maternal triple-drug prophylaxis (Arm 1) and 2.5% with ZDV/SD NVP (Arm 2), not significantly different. In women with CD4 counts 350–500 cells/mm³, perinatal transmission at birth was 1.7% in both arms. Perinatal transmission at age 12 months was 5.4% with maternal triple-drug prophylaxis (Arm 1) and 9.5% with ZDV/SD NVP (with no further postnatal prophylaxis after 1 week) (Arm 2) (<i>P</i> = 0.029).

Supplemental Table 1. Results of Major Studies on Antiretroviral Prophylaxis to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission (page 5 of 7)

Study; Location(s); Mode of Infant Feeding	Antiretroviral Drugs	Antepartum and Intrapartum	Postpartum	Perinatal Transmission Rate and Efficacy
Mma Bana; Botswana; ² Breastfeeding	Maternal triple- drug prophylaxis (compares 2 regimens) in women with CD4 cell counts >200 cells/mm³	Arm 1: • ZDV/3TC/ABC Arm 2: • ZDV/3TC/LPV/r From 26 weeks through labor	Arm 1: • Maternal ZDV/3TC/ ABC for 6 months, infant SD NVP plus ZDV for 4 weeks Arm 2: • Maternal ZDV/3TC/ LPV/r for 6 months, infant SD NVP plus ZDV for 4 weeks	Perinatal transmission at age 6 months overall was 1.3%: 2.1% in ZDV/3TC/ABC Arm 1 and 0.4% in ZDV/3TC/LPV/r Arm 2 (<i>P</i> = 0.53).
BAN; Malawi; ^{26,40} Breastfeeding	Postpartum maternal triple- drug prophylaxis vs. infant NVP in women with CD4 cell counts ≥250 cells/mm³	No AP drugs IP Regimens Arm 1 (Control): • ZDV/3TC plus SD NVP Arm 2: • ZDV/3TC plus SD NVP Arm 3: • ZDV/3TC plus SD NVP	Arm 1 (Control): • Maternal ZDV/3TC for 1 week, infant SD NVP plus ZDV/3TC for 1 week Arm 2: • Control as above, then maternal ZDV/3TC/ LPV/r for 6 months Arm 3: • Control as above, then infant NVP for 6 months	Postnatal Infection in Infants Uninfected at Age 2 Weeks: • Perinatal transmission at age 28 weeks was 5.7% in control Arm 1, 2.9% in maternal triple-drug prophylaxis Arm 2 (<i>P</i> = 0.009 vs. control), and 1.7% in infant NVP Arm 3 (<i>P</i> <0.001 vs. control). • Perinatal transmission at age 48 weeks was 7.0% in control Arm 1, 4.0% in maternal triple-drug prophylaxis Arm 2 (<i>P</i> = 0.0273 vs. control), and 4% in infant NVP Arm 3 (<i>P</i> = 0.0027 vs. control). No significant difference between maternal triple-drug prophylaxis (Arm 2) and infant NVP (Arm 3) (<i>P</i> = 0.12 at 28 weeks and <i>P</i> = 0.426 at 48 weeks).
HPTN 046; South Africa, Tanzania, Uganda, Zimbabwe; 35.41 Breastfeeding	Postpartum prophylaxis of breast milk transmission of HIV with 6 weeks vs. 6 months of infant NVP	AP drugs allowed if required for maternal health	All infants received daily NVP from birth through age 6 weeks. Arm 1: Daily infant NVP from age 6 weeks through age 6 months Arm 2: Daily infant placebo from age 6 weeks through age 6 months	In infants uninfected at age 6 weeks, the 6-month infant HIV infection rate was 1.1% (0.3% to 1.8%) in the extended NVP Arm 1 and 2.4% (1.3% to 3.6%) in the placebo Arm 2 (<i>P</i> = 0.048). 18-month postnatal infection rates were 2.2% (1.1% to 3.3%) in the extended NVP Arm 1 and 3.1% (1.9% to 4.4%) in the placebo Arm 2 (<i>P</i> = 0.28). HIV infection and mortality rates did not differ between arms at any age through 18 months. At infant randomization at age 6 weeks, 29% of mothers in each arm were receiving a triple-drug ARV regimen for the treatment of HIV. For mothers receiving triple-drug ARV regimens at the time of randomization, in infants uninfected at age 6 weeks, the 6-month infant HIV infection rate was 0.2% and not statistically different between the extended NVP Arm 1 (0.5%) and placebo Arm 2 (0%). For mothers with CD4 counts >350 cells/mm³ who were not receiving triple-drug ARV regimens, in infants uninfected at age 6 weeks, the 6-month infant HIV infection rate was 0.7% (0% to 1.5%) in the extended NVP Arm 1 and 2.8% (1.3% to 4.4%) in the placebo Arm 2 (<i>P</i> = 0.014).

Supplemental Table 1. Results of Major Studies on Antiretroviral Prophylaxis to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission (page 6 of 7)

Study; Location(s); Mode of Infant Feeding	Antiretroviral Drugs	Antepartum and Intrapartum	Postpartum	Perinatal Transmission Rate and Efficacy
NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 trial; Brazil, Argentina, South Africa, United States; ⁴² Formula feeding	Infant prophylaxis with 6 weeks ZDV vs. 6 weeks infant ZDV plus three doses of NVP in first week of life vs. 6 weeks infant ZDV plus 2 weeks of 3TC/NFV	No AP drugs If mother presented early enough, IV ZDV during labor through delivery	Arm 1 (Control): Infant ZDV for 6 weeks Arm 2: Control as above plus NVP with first dose within Handler for the second Handler for the second dose Arm 3: Control as above, plus TC and NFV from birth Hrough age 2 weeks	IP HIV transmission among infants with negative HIV test at birth: 4.8% (3.2% to 7.1%) with ZDV (Arm 1) vs. 2.2% (1.2% to 3.9%) with ZDV plus NVP (Arm 2) ($P=0.046$ compared with Arm 1) vs. 2.4% (1.4% to 4.3%) with ZDV plus 3TC/NFV (Arm 3) ($P=0.046$ compared with Arm 1). Overall HIV transmission rates, including <i>in utero</i> infection: 11.0% (8.7% to 14.0%) with ZDV (Arm 1) vs. 7.1% (5.2% to 9.6%) with ZDV plus NVP (Arm 2) ($P=0.035$ compared with Arm 1) vs. 7.4% (5.4% to 9.9%) with ZDV plus 3TC/NFV (Arm 3) ($P=0.035$ compared with Arm 1). Grade 3 or 4 neutropenia more frequent in ZDV/3TC/NFV Arm 3, 70 infants, compared with ZDV-alone Arm 1, 33 infants, or ZDV/NVP Arm 2, 32 infants ($P<0.001$).
ANRS 12174 trial; Burkina Faso, South Africa, Uganda, Zambia; ²⁹ Breastfeeding	Compared two infant ARV prophylaxis regimens during breastfeeding; infants testing PCR-negative at birth, born to mothers with CD4 counts >350 cells/mm³	As per standard of care	Arm 1: Daily infant LPV/r from 1 week through 50 weeks of age Arm 2: Daily infant 3TC from 1 week through 50 weeks of age	Postnatal Infection in Infants Uninfected at Birth: • Postnatal transmission at age 50 weeks was 1.4% (0.70–2.76) in Arm 1 and 1.5% (0.80–2.91) in Arm 2 (<i>P</i> = 0.83). • HIV-free survival was 96.5% (84.6–97.7) in Arm 1 and 96.3% (94.4–975) in Arm 2 (<i>P</i> = 0.85).
PROMOTE; Uganda; ⁴³ Breastfeeding	Compared two triple-ARV regimens; no CD4 restriction	Arm 1: AZT/3TC/LPV/r Arm 2: AZT/3TC/EFV ARVs started at 12–28 weeks' gestation and continued through labor	Randomized regimen continued postpartum through 1 year of breastfeeding	HIV-free survival was 92.9% in the LPV/r arm vs 97.2% in the EFV arm (<i>P</i> = 0.10). Only 2 of 374 liveborn infants acquired infection, both in the LPV/r arm.

Supplemental Table 1. Results of Major Studies on Antiretroviral Prophylaxis to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission (page 7 of 7)

Study; Location(s); Mode of Infant Feeding	Antiretroviral Drugs	Antepartum and Intrapartum	Postpartum	Perinatal Transmission Rate and Efficacy
PROMISE; India, Malawi, South Africa, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia, Zimbabwe; ¹⁷ Breastfeeding and formula feeding (antepartum component)	Compared two ARV regimens during pregnancy among women >14 weeks gestation and CD4 counts ≥350 cells/mm³	Arm 1: • ZDV during pregnancy plus SD NVP plus TDF plus FTC at delivery Arm 2: • ZDV plus 3TC plus LPV/r Arm 3: • TDF plus FTC plus LPV/r	Arm 1: • TDF/FTC tail continued for 6–14 days postpartum Arms 2 and 3: • Triple-drug regimen continued for 6–14 days postpartum Infants received once-daily NVP for 6 weeks.	Infant HIV Infection Rates by Age 14 Days Arm 1: • 1.8% (25/1,386) Arm 2: • 0.5% (7/1,385) Arm 3: • 0.6% (2/325) Combined triple-ARV arms vs. Arm 1 difference in perinatal transmission risk: -1.28% (95% CI, -2.11% to -0.44%)

Key to Abbreviations: 3TC = lamivudine; ABC = abacavir; AP = antepartum; ARV = antiretroviral; CD4 = CD4 T lymphocyte; CDC = Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; CI = confidence interval; EFV = efavirenz; FTC = emtricitabine; IP = intrapartum; IV = intravenous; LPV/r = ritonavir-boosted lopinavir; NFV = nelfinavir; NVP = nevirapine; PCR = polymerase chain reaction; PP = postpartum; SD = single-dose; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; ZDV = zidovudine

- 1. Connor EM, Sperling RS, Gelber R, et al. Reduction of maternal-infant transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 with zidovudine treatment. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 076 Study Group. *N Engl J Med*. 1994;331(18):1173-1180. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7935654.
- 2. Shapiro RL, Hughes MD, Ogwu A, et al. Antiretroviral regimens in pregnancy and breast-feeding in Botswana. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;362(24):2282-2294. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20554983.
- 3. Kesho Bora Study Group. Eighteen-month follow-up of HIV-1-infected mothers and their children enrolled in the Kesho Bora study observational cohorts. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2010;54(5):533-541. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20543706.
- 4. Jackson JB, Musoke P, Fleming T, et al. Intrapartum and neonatal single-dose nevirapine compared with zidovudine for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 in Kampala, Uganda: 18-month follow-up of the HIVNET 012 randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2003;362(9387):859-868. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/13678973.
- 5. Petra Study T. Efficacy of three short-course regimens of zidovudine and lamivudine in preventing early and late transmission of HIV-1 from mother to child in Tanzania, South Africa, and Uganda (Petra study): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2002;359(9313):1178-1186. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11955535.
- Moodley D, Moodley J, Coovadia H, et al. A multicenter randomized controlled trial of nevirapine versus a
 combination of zidovudine and lamivudine to reduce intrapartum and early postpartum mother-to-child transmission of
 human immunodeficiency virus type 1. *J Infect Dis*. 2003;187(5):725-735. Available at
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12599045.
- 7. Taha TE, Kumwenda NI, Gibbons A, et al. Short postexposure prophylaxis in newborn babies to reduce mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1: NVAZ randomised clinical trial. *Lancet*. 2003;362(9391):1171-1177. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14568737.
- 8. Taha TE, Kumwenda NI, Hoover DR, et al. Nevirapine and zidovudine at birth to reduce perinatal transmission of HIV in an African setting: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2004;292(2):202-209. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15249569.

- 9. Gray GE, Urban M, Chersich MF, et al. A randomized trial of two postexposure prophylaxis regimens to reduce mother-to-child HIV-1 transmission in infants of untreated mothers. *AIDS*. 2005;19(12):1289-1297. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16052084.
- Wiktor SZ, Ekpini E, Karon JM, et al. Short-course oral zidovudine for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 in Abidjan, Cote d'Ivoire: a randomised trial. *Lancet*. 1999;353(9155):781-785. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10459958.
- 11. Leroy V, Karon JM, Alioum A, et al. Twenty-four month efficacy of a maternal short-course zidovudine regimen to prevent mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 in West Africa. *AIDS*. 2002;16(4):631-641. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11873008.
- 12. Shaffer N, Chuachoowong R, Mock PA, et al. Short-course zidovudine for perinatal HIV-1 transmission in Bangkok, Thailand: a randomised controlled trial. Bangkok Collaborative Perinatal HIV Transmission Study Group. *Lancet*. 1999;353(9155):773-780. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10459957.
- 13. Lallemant M, Jourdain G, Le Coeur S, et al. A trial of shortened zidovudine regimens to prevent mother-to-child transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Perinatal HIV Prevention Trial (Thailand) Investigators. *N Engl J Med*. 2000;343(14):982-991. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11018164.
- 14. Leroy V, Sakarovitch C, Cortina-Borja M, et al. Is there a difference in the efficacy of peripartum antiretroviral regimens in reducing mother-to-child transmission of HIV in Africa? *AIDS*. 2005;19(16):1865-1875. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16227795.
- 15. Dabis F, Bequet L, Ekouevi DK, et al. Field efficacy of zidovudine, lamivudine and single-dose nevirapine to prevent peripartum HIV transmission. *AIDS*. 2005;19(3):309-318. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15718842.
- 16. Townsend CL, Cortina-Borja M, Peckham CS, de Ruiter A, Lyall H, Tookey PA. Low rates of mother-to-child transmission of HIV following effective pregnancy interventions in the United Kingdom and Ireland, 2000-2006. *AIDS*. 2008;22(8):973-981. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18453857.
- 17. Fowler M, Qin M, Shapiro D, et al. PROMISE: efficacy and safety of 2 strategies to prevent perinatal HIV transmission. Presented at: 22nd Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2015. Seattle, WA.
- 18. Wade NA, Birkhead GS, Warren BL, et al. Abbreviated regimens of zidovudine prophylaxis and perinatal transmission of the human immunodeficiency virus. *N Engl J Med.* 1998;339(20):1409-1414. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9811915.
- 19. Nielsen-Saines K, Watts DH, Veloso VG, et al. Three postpartum antiretroviral regimens to prevent intrapartum HIV infection. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;366(25):2368-2379. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22716975.
- 20. Dorenbaum A, Cunningham CK, Gelber RD, et al. Two-dose intrapartum/newborn nevirapine and standard antiretroviral therapy to reduce perinatal HIV transmission: a randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2002;288(2):189-198. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12095383.
- 21. Committee on Pediatric AIDS. Infant feeding and transmission of human immunodeficiency virus in the United States. *Pediatrics*. 2013;131(2):391-396. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23359577.
- 22. Kumwenda NI, Hoover DR, Mofenson LM, et al. Extended antiretroviral prophylaxis to reduce breast-milk HIV-1 transmission. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;359(2):119-129. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18525035.
- 23. Six Week Extended-Dose Nevirapine Study T, Bedri A, Gudetta B, et al. Extended-dose nevirapine to 6 weeks of age for infants to prevent HIV transmission via breastfeeding in Ethiopia, India, and Uganda: an analysis of three randomised controlled trials. *Lancet*. 2008;372(9635):300-313. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18657709.
- 24. Kilewo C, Karlsson K, Ngarina M, et al. Prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 through breastfeeding by treating mothers with triple antiretroviral therapy in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania: the Mitra Plus study. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2009;52(3):406-416. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19730269.
- 25. Kilewo C, Karlsson K, Massawe A, et al. Prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 through breast-feeding by treating infants prophylactically with lamivudine in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania: the Mitra Study. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2008;48(3):315-323. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18344879.
- 26. Chasela CS, Hudgens MG, Jamieson DJ, et al. Maternal or infant antiretroviral drugs to reduce HIV-1 transmission. *N Engl J Med.* 2010;362(24):2271-2281. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20554982.
- 27. Kesho Bora Study Group, de Vincenzi I. Triple antiretroviral compared with zidovudine and single-dose nevirapine prophylaxis during pregnancy and breastfeeding for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 (Kesho Bora

- study): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2011;11(3):171-180. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21237718.
- 28. Thomas TK, Masaba R, Borkowf CB, et al. Triple-antiretroviral prophylaxis to prevent mother-to-child HIV transmission through breastfeeding--the Kisumu Breastfeeding Study, Kenya: a clinical trial. *PLoS Med*. 2011;8(3):e1001015. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21468300.
- 29. Kankasa C, Nagot N, Meda N. Infant lopinavir/r versus 3TC to prevent postnatal HIV-1 transmission: the ANRS 12174 trial. Presented at: 21st Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2014. Boston, MA.
- 30. Mofenson LM. Protecting the next generation--eliminating perinatal HIV-1 infection. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;362(24):2316-2318. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20554987.
- 31. Moorthy A, Gupta A, Bhosale R, et al. Nevirapine resistance and breast-milk HIV transmission: effects of single and extended-dose nevirapine prophylaxis in subtype C HIV-infected infants. *PLoS One*. 2009;4(1):e4096. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19119321.
- 32. Lidstrom J, Guay L, Musoke P, et al. Multi-class drug resistance arises frequently in HIV-infected breastfeeding infants whose mothers initiate HAART postpartum. Presented at: 17th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2010. San Francisco, CA.
- 33. Zeh C, Weidle PJ, Nafisa L, et al. HIV-1 drug resistance emergence among breastfeeding infants born to HIV-infected mothers during a single-arm trial of triple-antiretroviral prophylaxis for prevention of mother-to-child transmission: a secondary analysis. *PLoS Med.* 2011;8(3):e1000430. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21468304.
- 34. Fogel J, Li Q, Taha TE, et al. Initiation of antiretroviral treatment in women after delivery can induce multiclass drug resistance in breastfeeding HIV-infected infants. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2011;52(8):1069-1076. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21460326.
- 35. Coovadia HM, Brown ER, Fowler MG, et al. Efficacy and safety of an extended nevirapine regimen in infant children of breastfeeding mothers with HIV-1 infection for prevention of postnatal HIV-1 transmission (HPTN 046): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2012;379(9812):221-228. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22196945.
- 36. Dabis F, Msellati P, Meda N, et al. 6-month efficacy, tolerance, and acceptability of a short regimen of oral zidovudine to reduce vertical transmission of HIV in breastfed children in Cote d'Ivoire and Burkina Faso: a double-blind placebo-controlled multicentre trial. DITRAME Study Group. DIminution de la Transmission Mere-Enfant. *Lancet*. 1999;353(9155):786-792. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10459959.
- 37. Lallemant M, Jourdain G, Le Coeur S, et al. Single-dose perinatal nevirapine plus standard zidovudine to prevent mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 in Thailand. *N Engl J Med*. 2004;351(3):217-228. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15247338.
- 38. Shapiro RL, Thior I, Gilbert PB, et al. Maternal single-dose nevirapine versus placebo as part of an antiretroviral strategy to prevent mother-to-child HIV transmission in Botswana. *AIDS*. 2006;20(9):1281-1288. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16816557.
- 39. Thior I, Lockman S, Smeaton LM, et al. Breastfeeding plus infant zidovudine prophylaxis for 6 months vs formula feeding plus infant zidovudine for 1 month to reduce mother-to-child HIV transmission in Botswana: a randomized trial: the Mashi Study. *JAMA*. 2006;296(7):794-805. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16905785.
- 40. Jamieson DJ, Chasela CS, Hudgens MG, et al. Maternal and infant antiretroviral regimens to prevent postnatal HIV-1 transmission: 48-week follow-up of the BAN randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2012. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22541418.
- 41. Fowler MG, Coovadia H, Herron CM, et al. Efficacy and safety of an extended nevirapine regimen in infants of breastfeeding mothers with HIV-1 infection for prevention of HIV-1 transmission (HPTN 046): 18-month results of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;65(3):366-374. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24189151.
- 42. Nielsen-Saines K, al e. Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate pharmacokinetics with daily dosing in the first week of life (HPTN 057). Abstract no. TUAB0201. Presented at: 19th International AIDS Conference. 2012. Washington, DC.
- 43. Cohan D, Natureeba P, Koss CA, et al. Efficacy and safety of lopinavir/ritonavir versus efavirenz-based antiretroviral therapy in HIV-infected pregnant Ugandan women. *AIDS*. 2015;29(2):183-191. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25426808.

Appendix B: Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy (Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Glossary of Terms for Supplement

Carcinogenic = producing or tending to produce cancer

- Some agents, such as certain chemicals or forms of radiation, are both mutagenic and clastogenic.
- Genetic mutations and/or chromosomal damage can contribute to cancer formation.

Clastogenic = causing disruption of or breakages in chromosomes

Genotoxic = damaging to genetic material such as DNA and chromosomes

Mutagenic = inducing or capable of inducing genetic mutation

Teratogenic = interfering with fetal development and resulting in birth defects

Nucleoside and Nucleotide Analogue Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors

Data are available from clinical trials in human pregnancy for the nucleoside NRTIs zidovudine, abacavir, lamivudine, didanosine, emtricitabine, and stavudine and the nucleotide NRTI tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (tenofovir). The nucleoside analogue drugs require three intracellular phosphorylation steps to form the triphosphate nucleoside, which is the active drug moiety. Tenofovir, an acyclic nucleotide analogue drug, contains a monophosphate component attached to the adenine base and, hence, requires only two phosphorylation steps to form the active moiety.

For information regarding the nucleoside analogue drug class and potential mitochondrial toxicity in pregnancy and to the infant, see <u>Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy</u> and <u>Long-Term Follow-Up of Antiretroviral Drug-Exposed Infant</u>.

Abacavir (Ziagen, ABC)

(Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Abacavir is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category C.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Abacavir is mutagenic and clastogenic in some *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays. In long-term carcinogenicity studies in mice and rats, malignant tumors of the preputial gland of males and the clitoral gland of females were observed in both species, and malignant hepatic tumors and nonmalignant hepatic and thyroid tumors were observed in female rats. The tumors were seen in rodents at doses that were 6 to 32 times that of human therapeutic exposure.

Reproduction/Fertility

No effect of abacavir on reproduction or fertility in male and female rodents has been seen at doses of up to 500 mg/kg/day (about 8 times that of human therapeutic exposure based on body surface area).

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

Abacavir is associated with developmental toxicity (decreased fetal body weight and reduced crown-rump length) and increased incidence of fetal anasarca and skeletal malformations in rats treated with abacavir during organogenesis at doses of 1000 mg/kg (about 35 times that of human therapeutic exposure based on area under the curve [AUC]). Toxicity to the developing embryo and fetus (increased resorptions and decreased fetal body

weight) occurred with administration of 500 mg/kg/day of abacavir to pregnant rodents. The offspring of female rats were treated with 500 mg/kg of abacavir, beginning at embryo implantation and ending at weaning. In these animals, an increased incidence of stillbirth and lower body weight was seen throughout life. However, in the rabbit, no evidence of drug-related developmental toxicity was observed and no increase in fetal malformations was observed at doses up to 700 mg/kg (about 8.5 times that of human therapeutic exposure).

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Abacavir crosses the placenta and is excreted into the breast milk of lactating rats.¹

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

A Phase I study of abacavir in pregnant women indicates that the AUC drug concentration during pregnancy was similar to that at 6 to 12 weeks postpartum and in non-pregnant individuals.² Thus, no dose adjustment for abacavir is needed during pregnancy.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In the Mma Bana study,¹ at 1 month postpartum, the median breast milk-to-plasma ratio for abacavir was 0.85 in the 15 women tested, and the drug was detected in the plasma of 1 of 9 breastfeeding infants whose mothers were receiving abacavir.

Teratogenicity

In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to abacavir in humans have been monitored to be able to detect at least a 2-fold increase in risk of overall birth defects. No such increase in birth defects has been observed with abacavir. Among cases of first-trimester abacavir exposure reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 3.0% (27 of 905 births; 95% CI, 2.0% to 4.3%) compared with 2.7% in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance. There was no association of birth defects with first trimester exposure to abacavir in the SMARTT study (aOR 0.94 [0.53–1.65])⁴ or in the French Perinatal Study (aOR 1.01, [0.73–1.41]).

Safety

Serious hypersensitivity reactions have been associated with abacavir therapy in non-pregnant adults, but these reactions have rarely been fatal; symptoms include fever, skin rash, fatigue, and gastrointestinal symptoms such as nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, or abdominal pain. Abacavir should not be restarted following a hypersensitivity reaction because more severe symptoms will occur within hours and may include life-threatening hypotension and death. Patients who test positive for HLA-B*5701 are at highest risk; HLA screening should be done before initiation of abacavir.

- 1. Shapiro RL, Rossi S, Ogwu A, et al. Therapeutic levels of lopinavir in late pregnancy and abacavir passage into breast milk in the Mma Bana Study, Botswana. *Antivir Ther*. 2013;18(4):585-590. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23183881.
- 2. Best BM, Mirochnick M, Capparelli EV, et al. Impact of pregnancy on abacavir pharmacokinetics. *AIDS*. 2006;20(4):553-560. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16470119.
- 3. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 Jan 1989–31 July 2014. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2014. Available at http://www.APRegistry.com.
- 4. Williams PL, Crain MJ, Yildirim C, et al. Congenital anomalies and in utero antiretroviral exposure in human immunodeficiency virus-exposed uninfected infants. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2015;169(1):48-55. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25383770.
- 5. Sibiude J, Le Chenadec J, Bonnet D, et al. In utero exposure to zidovudine and heart anomalies in the ANRS French Perinatal Cohort and the Nested PRIMEVA Randomized Trial. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25838291.

Didanosine (Videx, ddI)

(Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Didanosine is classified as Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Pregnancy Category B.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity Studies

Didanosine is both mutagenic and clastogenic in several *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays. Long-term animal carcinogenicity screening studies of 0.7 to 1.7 times human exposure in mice and 3 times human exposure in rats have been negative.

Reproduction/Fertility

At approximately 12 times the estimated human exposure, didanosine was slightly toxic to female rats and their pups during mid and late lactation. These rats showed reduced food intake and body weight gains; however, the physical and functional development of the offspring was not impaired and there were no major changes in the F2 generation.

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

No evidence of teratogenicity or toxicity was observed with administration of didanosine at 12 and 14 times human exposure, respectively, in pregnant rats and rabbits.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

A study in rats showed that didanosine and/or its metabolites are transferred to the fetus through the placenta.

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

A Phase I study (PACTG 249) of didanosine was conducted in 14 HIV-infected pregnant women enrolled at gestational age 26 to 36 weeks and treated through 6 weeks postpartum. The drug was well tolerated during pregnancy by the women and the fetuses. PK parameters after oral administration were not significantly affected by pregnancy, and dose modification from the usual adult dosage is not needed.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Placental transfer of didanosine was low-moderate in a Phase I/II safety and pharmacokinetic (PK) study. This was confirmed in a study of 100 HIV-infected pregnant women who were receiving nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) (generally as part of a two- or three-drug combination antiretroviral [ARV] regimen). At the time of delivery, cord-to-maternal-blood ratio for didanosine (n = 10) was 0.38 (range 0.0–2.0) and in 15 of 24 (62%) samples, cord blood concentrations for didanosine were below the limits of detection.

It is not known if didanosine is excreted in human breast milk.

Teratogenicity

The French Perinatal Cohort reported an association of head and neck birth defects with first-trimester exposure to didanosine (0.5%, AOR = 3.4 (95% CI 1.1–10.4), P = 0.04). The PHACS/SMARTT cohort found no association between any NRTIs and birth defects. Among cases of first-trimester didanosine exposure reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, prevalence of birth defects was 4.8% (20 of 418 births; 95% CI, 2.9% to 7.3%) compared with 2.7% in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance. All defects were reviewed in detail by the Registry, and no pattern of defects was discovered. The rate and types of defects will continue to be closely monitored.

Safety

Lactic acidosis, fatal in some cases, has been described in pregnant women receiving the combination of didanosine and stavudine along with other ARV agents;⁶⁻⁸ the FDA and Bristol-Myers Squibb have issued a warning to health care professionals that pregnant women may be at increased risk of fatal lactic acidosis when prescribed didanosine and stavudine in combination. These two drugs should be prescribed together to

pregnant women only when the potential benefit clearly outweighs the potential risk. Clinicians should prescribe this ARV combination in pregnancy with caution and generally only when other nucleoside analog drug combinations have failed or have caused unacceptable toxicity or side effects.

- 1. Wang Y, Livingston E, Patil S, et al. Pharmacokinetics of didanosine in antepartum and postpartum human immunodeficiency virus—infected pregnant women and their neonates: an AIDS clinical trials group study. *J Infect Dis.* 1999;180(5):1536-1541. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=pubmed&dopt=Abstract&list_uids=10515813.
- 2. Chappuy H, Treluyer JM, Jullien V, et al. Maternal-fetal transfer and amniotic fluid accumulation of nucleoside analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitors in human immunodeficiency virus-infected pregnant women. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2004;48(11):4332-4336. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15504861.
- 3. Sibiude J, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Association between prenatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy and birth defects: an analysis of the French perinatal cohort study (ANRS CO1/CO11). *PLoS Med.* 2014;11(4):e1001635. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781315.
- 4. Williams PL, Crain M, Yildirim C, et al. Congenital anomalies and in utero antiretroviral exposure in HIV-exposed uninfected infants. In press. *JAMA*. 2014. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25383770.
- 5. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 Jan 1989 31 July 2014. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2014. Available at http://www.APRegistry.com.
- 6. Mandelbrot L, Kermarrec N, Marcollet A, et al. Case report: nucleoside analogue-induced lactic acidosis in the third trimester of pregnancy. *AIDS*. 2003;17(2):272-273. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12545093.
- 7. Sarner L, Fakoya A. Acute onset lactic acidosis and pancreatitis in the third trimester of pregnancy in HIV-1 positive women taking antiretroviral medication. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2002;78(1):58-59. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11872862.
- 8. Bristol-Myers Squibb Company. Healthcare Provider Important Drug Warning Letter. January 5, 2001. 2001. Available at http://www.fda.gov/Safety/MedWatch/SafetyInformation/SafetyAlertsforHumanMedicalProducts/ucm173947.htm. Accessed on February 14, 2014.

Emtricitabine (Emtriva, FTC)

(Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Emtricitabine is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category B.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Emtricitabine was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. In long-term carcinogenicity studies of oral emtricitabine, no drug-related increases in tumor incidence were found in mice at doses up to 26 times the human systemic exposure or in rats at doses up to 31 times the human systemic exposure at the therapeutic dose.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

No effect of emtricitabine on reproduction or fertility was observed with doses that produced systemic drug exposures (as measured by area under the curve [AUC]) approximately 60-fold higher in female and male mice and 140-fold higher in male rats than human exposure at the recommended therapeutic dose.¹

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

Incidence of fetal variations and malformations was not increased with emtricitabine dosing in mice that resulted in systemic drug exposure 60-fold higher than observed with human exposure at recommended doses or in rabbits with dosing resulting in drug exposure 120-fold higher than human exposure.¹

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Emtricitabine has been shown to cross the placenta in mice and rabbits; the average fetal/maternal drug concentration was 0.4 in mice and 0.5 in rabbits.²

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

Emtricitabine pharmacokinetic (PK) parameters have been evaluated in 18 HIV-infected pregnant women receiving antiretroviral therapy including emtricitabine (200 mg once daily) at 30 to 36 weeks' gestation and 6 to 12 weeks postpartum.³ Emtricitabine exposure was modestly lower during the third trimester (8.6) mcg*h/mL [5.2–15.9]) compared with the postpartum period (9.8 mcg*h/mL [7.4–30.3]). Two-thirds (12 of 18) of pregnant women versus 100% (14 of 14) of postpartum women met the AUC target (10th percentile in non-pregnant adults). Trough emtricitabine levels were also lower during pregnancy (minimum plasma concentration 52 ng/mL [14–180]) compared with the postpartum period (86 ng/mL [<10 to 306]). In the IMPAACT P1026s study, 26 women had emtricitabine PKs assessed during the third trimester (median 35 weeks) and 22 postpartum (mean 8 weeks postpartum). The PK parameters during pregnancy were slightly altered in comparison to PK parameters during the postpartum period, with higher emtricitabine clearance (25.0 vs. 20.6 L/hour during pregnancy vs. postpartum, respectively) and lower 24-hour post-dose levels (0.058 vs. 0.085 mg/L), but the 24-hour, post-dose levels were well above the inhibitory concentration 50% (IC₅₀) in all patients. Similar differences in PK parameters of emtricitabine among women during pregnancy or after delivery were found in the PACTG 394 study⁵ and in a European study.⁶ A population PK study of 83 pregnant women and 103 non-pregnant control women demonstrated that the 18% increase in emtricitabine clearance in pregnancy correlated with the normal pregnancy-related increase in glomerular filtration rate.⁷ Thus, these changes are not believed to be large enough to warrant dosage adjustment during pregnancy.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Emtricitabine has been shown to have excellent placental transfer in pregnant women. In 18 women who received 200 mg emtricitabine once daily during pregnancy, mean cord blood concentration was 300 ± 268 ng/mL and the mean ratio of cord blood/maternal emtricitabine concentrations was 1.17 ± 0.6 (n = 9).³ In a study of 15 women enrolled in IMPAACT P1026s who received emtricitabine during pregnancy, the mean cord-to-maternal-blood ratio was 1.2 (90% confidence interval [CI], 1.0–1.5).⁴ In 8 women enrolled in

PACTG 394 who were given a single dose of 600 mg emtricitabine with 900 mg tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, the median cord blood emtricitabine concentration was 717 ng/mL (range 21–1,072), and the median cord blood/maternal ratio was 0.85 (range 0.46–1.07).⁵

Emtricitabine is excreted into human milk. In a study in the Ivory Coast, 5 HIV-infected women who chose to exclusively breastfeed their newborn infants were given 400 mg emtricitabine, 600 mg tenofovir, and 200 mg nevirapine at onset of labor, followed by 200 mg emtricitabine and 300 mg tenofovir once daily for 7 days postpartum. The median minimal and maximal concentrations of emtricitabine in breast milk were 177 and 679 ng/mL, respectively (interquartile ranges 105–254 and 658–743 ng/mL, respectively), well above the estimated emtricitabine IC₅₀ for HIV-1.8

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

In a study of pregnancies occurring during an HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) trial in which HIV-uninfected participants were randomized to placebo, tenofovir, or tenofovir plus emtricitabine, there was no increase in congenital anomalies in the tenofovir-plus-emtricitabine arm. There was no overall difference in the rate of pregnancy loss in the tenofovir-plus-emtricitabine or tenofovir-alone arms of this PrEP study. In a large French cohort, emtricitabine exposure in the first trimester was associated with lower risk of birth defects. In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to emtricitabine in humans have been monitored to be able to detect at least a 1.5-fold increased risk of overall birth defects. No such increase in birth defects has been observed with emtricitabine. Among cases of first-trimester emtricitabine exposure reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 2.3% (35 of 1,543 births; 95% CI, 1.6% to 3.1%), compared with a 2.7% total prevalence in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.

- Emtriva [package insert]. Gilead Sciences. 2012. Available at http://www.gilead.com/~/media/Files/pdfs/medicines/hiv/emtriva/emtriva_pi.pdf. Accessed August 8, 2014.
- 2. Szczech GM, Wang LH, Walsh JP, Rousseau FS. Reproductive toxicology profile of emtricitabine in mice and rabbits. *Reprod Toxicol*. 2003;17(1):95-108. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12507664.
- 3. Hirt D, Urien S, Rey E, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of emtricitabine in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected pregnant women and their neonates. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2009;53(3):1067-1073. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19104016.
- 4. Stek AM, Best BM, Luo W, et al. Effect of pregnancy on emtricitabine pharmacokinetics. *HIV Med*. 2012;13(4):226-235. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22129166.
- 5. Flynn PM, Mirochnick M, Shapiro DE, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of single-dose tenofovir disoproxil fumarate and emtricitabine in HIV-1-infected pregnant women and their infants. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2011;55(12):5914-5922. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21896911.
- 6. Colbers AP, Hawkins DA, Gingelmaier A, et al. The pharmacokinetics, safety and efficacy of tenofovir and emtricitabine in HIV-1-infected pregnant women. *AIDS*. 2013;27(5):739-748. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23169329.
- 7. Valade E, Treluyer JM, Dabis F, et al. Modified renal function in pregnancy: impact on emtricitabine pharmacokinetics. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2014;78(6):1378-1386. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24995851.
- 8. Benaboud S, Pruvost A, Coffie PA, et al. Concentrations of tenofovir and emtricitabine in breast milk of HIV-1-infected women in Abidjan, Cote d'Ivoire, in the ANRS 12109 TEmAA Study, Step 2. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2011;55(3):1315-1317. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21173182.
- 9. Mugo NR, Hong T, Celum C, et al. Pregnancy incidence and outcomes among women receiving preexposure prophylaxis for HIV prevention: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2014;312(4):362-371. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25038355.
- 10. Sibiude J, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Association between prenatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy and birth defects: an analysis of the French perinatal cohort study (ANRS CO1/CO11). *PLoS Med.* 2014;11(4):e1001635. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781315.
- 11. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 Jan 1989 31 July 2014. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2014. Available at http://www.APRegistry.com.

Lamivudine (Epivir, 3TC)

(Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Lamivudine is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category C.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Lamivudine has weak mutagenic activity in one *in vitro* assay but no evidence of *in vivo* genotoxicity in rats at 35 to 45 times human exposure. Long-term animal carcinogenicity screening studies at 10 and 58 times human exposure have been negative in mice and rats, respectively.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

Lamivudine administered to rats at doses up to 4000 mg/kg/day, producing plasma levels 47 to 70 times those in humans, revealed no evidence of impaired fertility and no effect on the offspring's survival, growth, and development up to the time of weaning.¹

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

There is no evidence of lamivudine-induced teratogenicity at 35 times human plasma levels in rats and rabbits.

Early embryolethality was seen in rabbits at doses similar to human therapeutic exposure but not in rats at 35 times the human exposure level.¹

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

Pregnancy does not significantly affect lamivudine pharmacokinetic parameters, as reported in two separate studies.^{2,3} This was confirmed in a larger analysis of 114 pregnant women, 123 women in labor, and 47 non-pregnant women, in which all received standard once- or twice-daily lamivudine doses.⁴ Pregnant women had a 22% higher apparent clearance than non-pregnant and postpartum women, but this increase did not lead to sub-therapeutic exposure. The level of lamivudine exposure in pregnant women, although lower than exposure in non-pregnant and parturient women, was relatively close to data reported previously for non-pregnant adults.⁴ Thus, no dose adjustment in pregnancy is necessary.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Lamivudine readily crosses the placenta in humans, achieving comparable cord blood and maternal concentrations.³ In a study of 123 mother/infant pairs, the placental transfer expressed as fetal-to-maternal area under the curve (AUC) ratio was 0.86, and the lamivudine amniotic fluid accumulation, expressed as the amniotic fluid-to-fetal AUC ratio, was 2.9.⁴ Other studies have also noted accumulation of lamivudine in amniotic fluid due to urinary excretion of lamivudine by the fetus into amniotic fluid.²

Lamivudine is excreted into human breast milk. In a study in Kenya of 67 HIV-infected nursing mothers receiving a combination regimen of zidovudine, lamivudine, and nevirapine, the median breast milk lamivudine concentration was 1,214 ng/mL and the median ratio of lamivudine concentration in breast milk to that in plasma was 2.56.⁵ In infants who were exposed to lamivudine only via breast milk, median plasma lamivudine concentration was 23 ng/mL (IC₅₀ of lamivudine against wild-type HIV = 0.6–21 ng/mL).

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

In a large French cohort, lamivudine exposure in the first trimester was associated with an increased risk of overall birth defects (adjusted odds ratio = 1.37, 95% CI 1.06-1.73) but there was no organ system or specific birth defect that predominated.⁶ However, in the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to lamivudine in humans have been monitored to detect at least a 1.5-fold increase in risk of overall birth defects and a 2-fold increase in the most commonly occurring birth defects, such as defects of the cardiovascular and genitourinary systems. No such increase in birth defects has been observed

with lamivudine. Among cases of first-trimester lamivudine exposure reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 3.1% (137 of 4,418 births; 95% CI, 2.6% to 3.7%) compared with a 2.7% total prevalence in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.

- 1. Epivir [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2011. Available at http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda docs/label/2002/20564s14,20596s15lbl.pdf. Accessed April 17, 2015.
- 2. Mandelbrot L, Peytavin G, Firtion G, Farinotti R. Maternal-fetal transfer and amniotic fluid accumulation of lamivudine in human immunodeficiency virus-infected pregnant women. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2001;184(2):153-158. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11174495.
- 3. Moodley J, Moodley D, Pillay K, et al. Pharmacokinetics and antiretroviral activity of lamivudine alone or when coadministered with zidovudine in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected pregnant women and their offspring. *J Infect Dis.* 1998;178(5):1327-1333. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9780252.
- 4. Benaboud S, Treluyer JM, Urien S, et al. Pregnancy-related effects on lamivudine pharmacokinetics in a population study with 228 women. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2012;56(2):776-782. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22106227.
- 5. Mirochnick M, Thomas T, Capparelli E, et al. Antiretroviral concentrations in breast-feeding infants of mothers receiving highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2009;53(3):1170-1176. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19114673.
- 6. Sibiude J, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Association between prenatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy and birth defects: an analysis of the French perinatal cohort study (ANRS CO1/CO11). *PLoS Med.* 2014;11(4):e1001635. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781315.
- 7. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 Jan 1989–31 July 2014. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2014. Available at http://www.APRegistry.com.

Stavudine (Zerit, d4T)

(Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Stavudine is classified as Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Pregnancy Category C.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Stavudine is clastogenic in *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays but not mutagenic in *in vitro* assays. In 2-year carcinogenicity studies in mice and rats, stavudine was non-carcinogenic in doses producing exposures 39 (mice) and 168 (rats) times human exposure at the recommended therapeutic dose. At higher levels of exposure (250 [mice] and 732 [rats] times human exposure at therapeutic doses), benign and malignant liver tumors occurred in mice and rats and urinary bladder tumors occurred in male rats.

Reproduction/Fertility

Stavudine has not been shown to have an effect on reproduction or fertility in rodents. No evidence of impaired fertility was seen in rats with exposures (based on C_{max}) up to 216 times that observed following a clinical dosage of 1 mg/kg/day. A dose-related cytotoxic effect has been observed on pre-implantation mouse embryos, with inhibition of blastocyst formation at a concentration of 100 μ M and of post-blastocyst development at 10 μ M.

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

No evidence of teratogenicity was noted in rats or rabbits with exposures (based on C_{max}) up to 399 and 183 times, respectively, that seen at a clinical dosage of 1 mg/kg/day. In rat fetuses, the incidence of a common skeletal variation—unossified or incomplete ossification of sternebra—was increased at 399 times human exposure, although no effect was observed at 216 times human exposure. A slight post-implantation loss was noted at 216 times human exposure, with no effect noted at approximately 135 times human exposure. An increase in early rat neonatal mortality (birth to day 4) occurred at 399 times human exposure, although survival of neonates was unaffected at approximately 135 times the human exposure.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

A study in rats showed that stavudine is transferred to the fetus through the placenta. The concentration in fetal tissue was approximately one-half the concentration in maternal plasma.

In primates (pig-tailed macaques), fetal/maternal plasma concentrations were approximately 0.80.³ Stavudine is excreted into the breast milk of lactating rats.

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

A Phase I/II safety and pharmacokinetic (PK) study has been conducted of combination stavudine and lamivudine in pregnant HIV-infected women and their infants (PACTG 332). Both drugs were well tolerated, with stavudine PKs similar to those in non-pregnant adults.⁴

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Stavudine crosses the human placenta *ex vivo*, resulting in a fetal/maternal concentration of approximately 0.50. Stavudine also crosses into human breast milk, resulting in breast milk/maternal plasma concentrations of 1.0 to 1.76. Concentrations in nursing infants were negligible.^{5,6}

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

No association was found between first-trimester exposure to stavudine and birth defects in a large French cohort study that had 70% power to detect an increased adjusted odds ratio of 1.5.7 In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to stavudine in humans have been

monitored to be able to detect at least a two-fold increased risk of overall birth defects. No such increase in birth defects has been observed with stavudine. Among cases of first-trimester stavudine exposure reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 2.6% (21 of 809 births; 95% CI, 1.6% to 4.0%) compared with a total prevalence in the US population of 2.7%, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.

Other Safety Data

Lactic acidosis, in some cases fatal, has been described in pregnant women receiving the combination of didanosine and stavudine along with other antiretroviral (ARV) agents. 9-11 The FDA and Bristol-Myers Squibb have issued a warning to health care professionals that pregnant women may be at increased risk of fatal lactic acidosis when prescribed didanosine and stavudine in combination (see Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Long-Term Follow-Up of Antiretroviral Drug-Exposed Infant). These drugs should be prescribed together for pregnant women only when the potential benefit clearly outweighs the potential risk. Clinicians should prescribe this ARV combination in pregnancy with caution and generally only when other nucleoside analog drug combinations have failed or have caused unacceptable toxicity or side effects.

- Zerit [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2012. Available at http://packageinserts.bms.com/pi/pi_zerit.pdf. Accessed April 17, 2015.
- 2. Toltzis P, Mourton T, Magnuson T. Comparative embryonic cytotoxicity of antiretroviral nucleosides. *J Infect Dis*. 1994;169(5):1100-1102. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8169400.
- 3. Odinecs A, Nosbisch C, Keller RD, Baughman WL, Unadkat JD. In vivo maternal-fetal pharmacokinetics of stavudine (2',3'-didehydro-3'-deoxythymidine) in pigtailed macaques (Macaca nemestrina). *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 1996;40(1):196-202. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8787905.
- 4. Wade NA, Unadkat JD, Huang S, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of stavudine in HIV-infected pregnant women and their infants: Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group protocol 332. *J Infect Dis*. 2004;190(12):2167-2174. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15551216.
- 5. Fogel JM, Taha TE, Sun J, et al. Stavudine concentrations in women receiving postpartum antiretroviral treatment and their breastfeeding infants. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2012;60(5):462-465. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22614899.
- 6. Palombi L, Pirillo MF, Andreotti M, et al. Antiretroviral prophylaxis for breastfeeding transmission in Malawi: drug concentrations, virological efficacy and safety. *Antivir Ther*. 2012;17(8):1511-1519. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22910456.
- 7. Sibiude J, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Association between prenatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy and birth defects: an analysis of the French perinatal cohort study (ANRS CO1/CO11). *PLoS Med.* 2014;11(4):e1001635. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781315.
- 8. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 Jan 1989 31 July 2014. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2014. Available at http://www.APRegistry.com. Accessed April 17, 2015.
- Bristol-Myers Squibb Company. Healthcare Provider Important Drug Warning Letter. January 5, 2001. Available at http://www.fda.gov/Safety/MedWatch/SafetyInformation/SafetyAlertsforHumanMedicalProducts/ucm173947.htm. Accessed on February 14, 2014.
- Sarner L, Fakoya A. Acute onset lactic acidosis and pancreatitis in the third trimester of pregnancy in HIV-1 positive women taking antiretroviral medication. Sex Transm Infect. 2002;78(1):58-59. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11872862.
- 11. Mandelbrot L, Kermarrec N, Marcollet A, et al. Case report: nucleoside analogue-induced lactic acidosis in the third trimester of pregnancy. *AIDS*. 2003;17(2):272-273. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12545093.

Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate (Viread, TDF)

(Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, the orally bioavailable form of tenofovir, is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category B.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Tenofovir is mutagenic in one of two *in vitro* assays and has no evidence of clastogenic activity. Long-term oral carcinogenicity studies of tenofovir in mice and rats were carried out at 16 times (mice) and 5 times (rats) human exposure. In female mice, liver adenomas were increased at exposures 16 times that observed in humans at therapeutic doses. In rats, the study was negative for carcinogenic findings at exposures up to 5 times that observed in humans at the therapeutic dose.

Reproduction/Fertility

Reproduction studies have been performed in rats and rabbits at doses up to 14 and 19 times the human dose, respectively, based on body surface area comparisons and revealed no evidence of impaired fertility or harm to the fetus associated with tenofovir. There were also no effects on fertility, mating performance, or early embryonic development when tenofovir was administered to male rats (600 mg/kg/day; equivalent to 10 times the human dose based on body surface area) for 28 days before mating and to female rats for 15 days before mating through Day 7 of gestation. There was, however, an alteration of the estrous cycle in female rats administered 600 mg/kg/day.

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

Chronic exposure of fetal monkeys to tenofovir at high doses (exposure equivalent to 25 times the area under the curve (AUC) achieved with therapeutic dosing in humans) resulted in lower fetal circulating insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-1, higher IGF binding protein-3 levels, and lower body weights. A slight reduction in fetal bone porosity was also observed. Effects on these parameters were observed within 2 months of maternal treatment.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Intravenous administration of tenofovir to pregnant cynomolgus monkeys resulted in a fetal/maternal concentration of 17%, demonstrating that tenofovir crosses the placenta.¹

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

In a retrospective population pharmacokinetic study of 46 pregnant women and 156 non-pregnant women receiving combination regimens including tenofovir, pregnant women had a 39% higher apparent clearance of tenofovir compared with non-pregnant women, which decreased slightly but significantly with increasing age. In a P1026s study of 19 pregnant women receiving tenofovir-based combination therapy at 30 to 36 weeks' gestation and 6 to 12 weeks postpartum, the percentage of women with tenofovir AUC exceeding the target of 2 μ g*hour/mL (the 10th percentile in non-pregnant adults) was lower in the third trimester (74%, 14 of 19 women) than postpartum (86%, 12 of 14 women) (P = 0.02); however, trough levels were similar in the two groups. In another study of 34 women receiving tenofovir plus emtricitabine in the third trimester and postpartum, tenofovir AUC, peak, and trough were all about 25% lower in pregnant women compared to postpartum women, but these decreased exposures were not associated with virologic failure. Standard dosing during pregnancy continues to be recommended.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In studies of pregnant women on chronic tenofovir, the cord-to-maternal-blood ratio ranged from 0.60 to 1.03, indicating high placental transfer.³⁻⁶ In studies of pregnant women receiving single-dose tenofovir (with

and without emtricitabine) in labor, the drugs were well tolerated and the median tenofovir cord-to-maternal-blood ratio at delivery ranged from 0.55 to 0.73.^{7,8} Intracellular tenofovir concentrations were detected in the peripheral blood mononuclear cells from cord blood in all infants after a single maternal dose of 600 mg tenofovir with 400 mg emtricitabine, but intracellular tenofovir diphosphate was detectable in only 2 (5.5%) of 36 infants.⁹

Sixteen breast milk samples were obtained from five women who received 600 mg tenofovir at the start of labor followed by 300 mg daily for 7 days. Tenofovir levels in breast milk ranged from 5.8 to 16.3 ng/mL, resulting in nursing infants ingesting an estimated daily amount of tenofovir that corresponds to 0.03% of the proposed oral dose of tenofovir for neonates. ¹⁰ Because the form of tenofovir in breastmilk is expected to have lower bioavailability than tenofovir, these exposures are likely overestimates. No studies have measured tenofovir blood levels in infants breastfed by women taking tenofovir.

Reproduction/Fertility

A retrospective analysis of 7,275 women (1,199 receiving tenofovir-based combination antiretroviral therapy) demonstrated a slight reduction in pregnancy rates, but the findings were limited by the observational nature of the data and additional studies are needed for confirmation.¹¹

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

In a study of 431 pregnancies occurring during an HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis trial in which HIV-uninfected women were randomized to placebo, tenofovir, or tenofovir plus emtricitabine, there was no difference in risk of congenital anomalies between the tenofovir-containing and placebo arms.¹² No association was seen between maternal tenofovir and offspring birth defects in three large U.S. cohorts: PACT 219/219C (n = 2,202 with 214 first-trimester tenofovir exposures), P1025 (n = 1,112 with 138 first-trimester tenofovir exposures), and Pediatric HIV AIDS Cohort Study (n = 2,580 with 431 first-trimester tenofovir exposures). In the French Perinatal Cohort, no association was found between birth defects and tenofovir with a power of 70% for an odds ratio of 1.5 (n = 13,124 with 823 first-trimester tenofovir exposures). Finally, in the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to tenofovir in humans have been monitored to be able to detect at least a 1.5-fold increased risk of overall birth defects. No increase in birth defects has been observed with tenofovir. Among cases of first-trimester tenofovir exposure reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 2.2% (47 of 2,141 births; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.6% to 2.9%), compared with a 2.7% total prevalence in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.

Other Safety Data

Among 382 pregnancies occurring in 302 women in Uganda and Zimbabwe participating in the DART trial—approximately two-thirds of whom received tenofovir through more than 90% of their pregnancies there were no differences noted in mortality, birth defects, or growth. 18 In the Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study from the United States, 449 (21%) of the 2,029 HIV-exposed but uninfected infants had in utero exposure to tenofovir, and there was no difference at birth between those exposed to combination drug regimens with or without tenofovir in low birthweight, small-for-gestational-age, and newborn length-for-age and head circumference-for-age z-scores (LAZ and HCAZ, respectively). However, at age 1 year, infants exposed to combination regimens with tenofovir had a slight but significantly lower adjusted mean LAZ and HCAZ than those without tenofovir exposure (LAZ: -0.17 vs. -0.03, P = 0.04; HCAZ: 0.17 vs. 0.42, P = 0.04; HCAZ: 0.42 vs. 0.42 0.02), but no difference in weight-for-age z-score (WAZ). There were no significant differences between those with and without tenofovir exposure at age 1 year when defining low LAZ or HCAZ as \leq 1.5 z-score. Thus, these slightly lower mean LAZ and HCAZ scores are of uncertain significance. 19 In a different U.S. study (P1025), maternal tenofovir use was similarly not associated with differences in body size parameters at birth; however, among the 1,496 infants followed for 6 months, tenofovir exposure after the first trimester, relative to no exposure, was associated with being underweight (WAZ <5%) at age 6 months (OR [95% CI]: $2.06 [1.01, 3.95], P = 0.04)^{20}$

In a cross-sectional study of 68 HIV-exposed uninfected children enrolled at ages 1 to 6 years who had *in utero* exposure to combination regimens with (N = 33) or without (N = 35) tenofovir, evaluation of quantitative bone ultrasound and parameters of bone metabolism gave similar measures between groups.²¹ In contrast, a study evaluating whole body dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry scans within 4 weeks of birth among 74 infants exposed to more than 8 weeks of tenofovir *in utero* and 69 infants with no tenofovir exposures, the adjusted mean whole body bone mineral content (BMC) was significantly lower in the tenofovir group by 6.3 g (P = 0.004) as was the whole-body-less-head BMC (-2.6 g, P = 0.056). The duration and clinical significance of these findings require further longitudinal evaluation.²²

- 1. Tarantal AF, Marthas ML, Shaw JP, Cundy K, Bischofberger N. Administration of 9-[2-(R)-(phosphonomethoxy)propyl]adenine (PMPA) to gravid and infant rhesus macaques (Macaca mulatta): safety and efficacy studies. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol*. 1999;20(4):323-333. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10096575.
- 2. Benaboud S, Hirt D, Launay O, et al. Pregnancy-related effects on tenofovir pharmacokinetics: a population study with 186 women. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2012;56(2):857-862. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22123690.
- 3. Burchett S, Best B, Mirochnick M, al e. Tenofovir pharmacokinetics during pregnancy, at delivery, and postpartum. Presented at: 14th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2007. Los Angeles, CA.
- 4. Colbers AP, Hawkins DA, Gingelmaier A, et al. The pharmacokinetics, safety and efficacy of tenofovir and emtricitabine in HIV-1-infected pregnant women. *AIDS*. 2013;27(5):739-748. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23169329.
- 5. Bonora S, de Requena DG, Chiesa E, al e. Transplacental passage of tenofovir and other ARVs at delivery. Presented at: 14th Conference on Retoviruses and Opportunistic Infections; February 25-28, 2007. 2007. Los Angeles, CA.
- 6. Hirt D, Urien S, Ekouevi DK, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of tenofovir in HIV-1-infected pregnant women and their neonates (ANRS 12109). *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2009;85(2):182-189. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18987623.
- 7. Flynn PM, Mirochnick M, Shapiro DE, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of single-dose tenofovir disoproxil fumarate and emtricitabine in HIV-1-infected pregnant women and their infants. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2011;55(12):5914-5922. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21896911.
- 8. Mirochnick M, Taha T, Kreitchmann R, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of tenofovir in HIV-infected women during labor and their infants during the first week of life. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;65(1):33-41. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23979002.
- 9. Hirt D, Ekouevi DK, Pruvost A, et al. Plasma and intracellular tenofovir pharmacokinetics in the neonate (ANRS 12109 trial, step 2). *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2011;55(6):2961-2967. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21464249.
- 10. Benaboud S, Pruvost A, Coffie PA, et al. Concentrations of tenofovir and emtricitabine in breast milk of HIV-1-infected women in Abidjan, Cote d'Ivoire, in the ANRS 12109 TEmAA Study, Step 2. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2011;55(3):1315-1317. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21173182.
- 11. Maskew M, Westreich D, Firnhaber C, Sanne I. Tenofovir use and pregnancy among women initiating HAART. *AIDS*. 2012;26(18):2393-2397. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22951630.
- 12. Mugo NR, Hong T, Celum C, et al. Pregnancy incidence and outcomes among women receiving preexposure prophylaxis for HIV prevention: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2014;312(4):362-371. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25038355.
- 13. Brogly SB, Abzug MJ, Watts DH, et al. Birth defects among children born to human immunodeficiency virus-infected women: pediatric AIDS clinical trials protocols 219 and 219C. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2010;29(8):721-727. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20539252.
- 14. Knapp KM, Brogly SB, Muenz DG, et al. Prevalence of congenital anomalies in infants with in utero exposure to antiretrovirals. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2012;31(2):164-170. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21983213.
- 15. Williams PL, Crain M, Yildirim C, et al. Congenital anomalies and in utero antiretroviral exposure in human immunodeficiency virus-exposed uninfected infants. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2015;169(1):45-55. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25383770.

- 16. Sibiude J, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Association between prenatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy and birth defects: an analysis of the French perinatal cohort study (ANRS CO1/CO11). *PLoS Med.* 2014;11(4):e1001635. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781315.
- 17. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 Jan 1989 31 July 2014. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2014. Available at http://www.APRegistry.com.
- 18. Gibb DM, Kizito H, Russell EC, et al. Pregnancy and infant outcomes among HIV-infected women taking long-term ART with and without tenofovir in the DART trial. *PLoS Med*. 2012;9(5):e1001217. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22615543.
- Siberry GK, Williams PL, Mendez H, et al. Safety of tenofovir use during pregnancy: early growth outcomes in HIVexposed uninfected infants. AIDS. 2012;26(9):1151-1159. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22382151.
- Ransom CE, Huo Y, Patel K, et al. Infant growth outcomes after maternal tenofovir disoproxil fumarate use during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;64(4):374-381. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24169122.
- 21. Vigano A, Mora S, Giacomet V, et al. In utero exposure to tenofovir disoproxil fumarate does not impair growth and bone health in HIV-uninfected children born to HIV-infected mothers. *Antivir Ther*. 2011;16(8):1259-1266. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22155907.
- 22. Siberry GK, Jacobson DL, Kalkwarf H, et al. Lower newborn bone mineral content associated with maternal use of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate. Presented at: 21st Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2014.

Zalcitabine (HIVID, ddC)

(Last updated March 28, 2014; last reviewed March 28, 2014)

Zalcitabine is no longer available in the United States.

Zidovudine (Retrovir, AZT, ZDV)

(Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Zidovudine is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category C.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Zidovudine was shown to be mutagenic in two *in vitro* assays and clastogenic in one *in vitro* and two *in vivo* assays, but not cytogenic in a single-dose *in vivo* rat study. Long-term carcinogenicity studies have been performed with zidovudine in mice and rats. In mice, seven late-appearing (>19 months) vaginal neoplasms (5 non-metastasizing squamous cell carcinomas, 1 squamous cell papilloma, and 1 squamous polyp) occurred in animals given the highest dose. One late-appearing squamous cell papilloma occurred in the vagina of an animal given an intermediate dose. No vaginal tumors were found at the lowest dose. In rats, two late-appearing (>20 months), non-metastasizing vaginal squamous cell carcinomas occurred in animals given the highest dose. No vaginal tumors occurred at the low or middle dose in rats. No other drug-related tumors were observed in either sex in either species. At doses that produced tumors in mice and rats, the estimated drug exposure (as measured by area under the curve [AUC]) was approximately three times (mice) and 24 times (rats) the estimated human exposure at the recommended therapeutic dose of 100 mg every 4 hours. How predictive the results of rodent carcinogenicity studies may be for humans is unknown.

Two transplacental carcinogenicity studies were conducted in mice.^{2,3} In one study, zidovudine was administered at doses of 20 mg/kg/day or 40 mg/kg/day from gestational Day 10 through parturition and lactation, with postnatal dosing continuing in offspring for 24 months.³ The drug doses administered in this study produced zidovudine exposures approximately three times the estimated human exposure at recommended doses. After 24 months, an increase in incidence of vaginal tumors was noted with no increase in tumors in the liver or lung or any other organ in either gender. These findings are consistent with results of the standard oral carcinogenicity study in mice, as described earlier. In a second study, zidovudine was administered at maximum tolerated doses of 12.5 mg/day or 25 mg/day (~1,000 mg/kg non-pregnant body weight or ~450 mg/kg of term body weight) to pregnant mice from Days 12 to 18 of gestation.² There was an increase in the number of tumors in the lung, liver, and female reproductive tracts in the offspring of mice receiving the higher dose of zidovudine.

Reproduction/Fertility

When administered to male and female rats at doses up to seven times the usual adult dose based on body surface area, zidovudine had no effect on fertility, as judged by rates of conception. Zidovudine has been shown to have no effect on reproduction or fertility in rodents. A dose-related cytotoxic effect on preimplantation mouse embryos can occur, with inhibition of blastocyst and post-blastocyst development at zidovudine concentrations similar to levels achieved with human therapeutic doses.⁴

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

Oral teratology studies in the rat and in the rabbit at doses up to 500 mg/kg/day revealed no evidence of teratogenicity with zidovudine. Zidovudine treatment resulted in embryo/fetal toxicity, as evidenced by an increase in the incidence of fetal resorptions in rats given 150 or 450 mg/kg/day and rabbits given 500 mg/kg/day. The doses used in the teratology studies resulted in peak zidovudine plasma concentrations (after one-half of the daily dose) in rats 66 to 226 times and in rabbits 12 to 87 times mean steady-state peak human plasma concentrations (after one-sixth of the daily dose) achieved with the recommended daily dose (100 mg every 4 hours). In an *in vitro* experiment with fertilized mouse oocytes, zidovudine exposure

resulted in a dose-dependent reduction in blastocyst formation. In an additional teratology study in rats, a dose of 3,000 mg/kg/day (very near the oral median lethal dose in rats of 3,683 mg/kg) caused marked maternal toxicity and an increase in incidence of fetal malformations. This dose resulted in peak zidovudine plasma concentrations 350 times peak human plasma concentrations (estimated AUC in rats at this dose level was 300 times the daily AUC in humans given 600 mg/day). No evidence of teratogenicity was seen in this experiment at doses of 600 mg/kg/day or less.

Increased fetal resorption occurred in pregnant rats and rabbits treated with zidovudine doses that produced drug plasma concentrations 66 to 226 times (rats) and 12 to 87 times (rabbits) the mean steady-state peak human plasma concentration following a single 100-mg dose of zidovudine. No other developmental anomalies were reported. In another developmental toxicity study, pregnant rats received zidovudine up to near-lethal doses that produced peak plasma concentrations 350 times peak human plasma concentrations (300 times the daily AUC in humans given 600 mg/day zidovudine). This dose was associated with marked maternal toxicity and an increased incidence of fetal malformations. However, there were no signs of teratogenicity at doses up to one-fifth the lethal dose.

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

Zidovudine pharmacokinetics are not significantly altered by pregnancy, and standard adult doses are recommended.^{5,6}

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Zidovudine rapidly crosses the human placenta, achieving cord-to-maternal-blood ratios of about 0.80. The ratio of zidovudine in amniotic fluid to that in maternal plasma is 1.5.7 Zidovudine is excreted into human breast milk with breast milk-to-maternal-plasma zidovudine concentration ratios ranging from 0.44 to 1.35. No zidovudine was detectable in the plasma of the nursing infants, who received zidovudine only via breast milk.⁸⁻¹⁰

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

In PACTG 076, the incidence of minor and major congenital abnormalities was similar between zidovudine and placebo groups, and no specific patterns of defects were seen.^{5,11} Similarly, no increase in birth defects was detected among infants enrolled in the large observational cohorts PACTG 219/219C and P1025.^{12,13} A previous report from the Women and Infants Transmission Study described a 10-fold increased risk of hypospadias, but this finding was not confirmed in a more detailed analysis.^{14,15} The French Perinatal Cohort reported that first-trimester zidovudine exposure was associated with congenital heart defects (2.3%, or 74/3,267; adjusted odds ratio = 2.2 [95% confidence interval (CI), 1.3–3.7]).¹⁶ In the PHACS/SMARTT cohort, there was no association between first-trimester exposure and congenital anomalies.¹⁷ In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to zidovudine have been monitored to be able to detect at least a 1.5-fold increased risk of overall birth defects and a 2-fold increased incidence of defects in the more common classes, including the genitourinary system. No such increase in birth defects has been observed with zidovudine. With first-trimester zidovudine exposure, the prevalence of birth defects was 3.2% (129 of 4,034 births; 95% CI, 2.7%–3.8%), compared with a total prevalence in the U.S. population of 2.7%, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.¹⁸

Cancer has been observed no more frequently among zidovudine-exposed infants than among other HIV-exposed or HIV-unexposed infants in a long-term follow-up study for the original PACTG 076 study, ¹⁹ in prospective cohort studies, ²⁰ and in matches between HIV surveillance and cancer registries. ^{21,22}

Other Safety Data

In the placebo-controlled perinatal trial PACTG 076, no difference in disease progression was seen between women who received zidovudine and those who received a placebo, based on follow-up through 4 years postpartum.²³

No differences in immunologic, neurologic, or growth parameters were seen between PACTG 076 infants with *in utero* zidovudine exposure and those who received a placebo, based on nearly 6 years of follow-up. 11,19

Mitochondrial dysfunction in mothers and infants exposed to nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) during pregnancy has been described in some case reports, case series, prospective cohorts, and surveillance systems, but not in others. The result of the dysfunction, although fatal in a few cases, is more often asymptomatic and self-limited (e.g., leukopenia, anemia). At present, while a recognized possibility, the risk of NRTI-associated mitochondrial dysfunction in these mother-infant pairs does not outweigh the clear benefit of these drugs in preventing perinatal HIV transmission.

- 1. Ayers KM, Clive D, Tucker WE, Jr., Hajian G, de Miranda P. Nonclinical toxicology studies with zidovudine: genetic toxicity tests and carcinogenicity bioassays in mice and rats. *Fundam Appl Toxicol*. 1996;32(2):148-158. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8921318.
- 2. Olivero OA, Anderson LM, Diwan BA, et al. Transplacental effects of 3'-azido-2',3'-dideoxythymidine (AZT): tumorigenicity in mice and genotoxicity in mice and monkeys. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 1997;89(21):1602-1608. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=9362158&dopt=Abstract.
- 3. Ayers KM, Torrey CE, Reynolds DJ. A transplacental carcinogenicity bioassay in CD-1 mice with zidovudine. *Fundam Appl Toxicol*. 1997;38(2):195-198. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9299194.
- 4. Toltzis P, Marx CM, Kleinman N, Levine EM, Schmidt EV. Zidovudine-associated embryonic toxicity in mice. *J Infect Dis.* 1991;163(6):1212-1218. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2037787.
- 5. Connor EM, Sperling RS, Gelber R, et al. Reduction of maternal-infant transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 with zidovudine treatment. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 076 Study Group. *N Engl J Med*. 1994;331(18):1173-1180. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7935654.
- 6. O'Sullivan MJ, Boyer PJ, Scott GB, et al. The pharmacokinetics and safety of zidovudine in the third trimester of pregnancy for women infected with human immunodeficiency virus and their infants: phase I acquired immunodeficiency syndrome clinical trials group study (protocol 082). Zidovudine Collaborative Working Group. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1993;168(5):1510-1516. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=pubmed&dopt=Abstract&list_uids=8098905.
- 7. Bennetto-Hood C, Bryson YJ, Stek A, King JR, Mirochnick M, Acosta EP. Zidovudine, lamivudine, and nelfinavir concentrations in amniotic fluid and maternal serum. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2009;10(1):41-47. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19362995.
- 8. Mirochnick M, Thomas T, Capparelli E, et al. Antiretroviral concentrations in breast-feeding infants of mothers receiving highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2009;53(3):1170-1176. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19114673.
- 9. Palombi L, Pirillo MF, Andreotti M, et al. Antiretroviral prophylaxis for breastfeeding transmission in Malawi: drug concentrations, virological efficacy and safety. *Antivir Ther*. 2012;17(8):1511-1519. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22910456.
- 10. Corbett AH, Kayira D, White NR, et al. Antiretroviral pharmacokinetics in mothers and breastfeeding infants from 6 to 24 weeks post partum: results of the BAN Study. *Antivir Ther*. 2014;19(6):587-595. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24464632.
- 11. Sperling RS, Shapiro DE, McSherry GD, et al. Safety of the maternal-infant zidovudine regimen utilized in the Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trial Group 076 Study. *AIDS*. 1998;12(14):1805-1813. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9792381.
- 12. Brogly SB, Abzug MJ, Watts DH, et al. Birth defects among children born to human immunodeficiency virus-infected women: pediatric AIDS clinical trials protocols 219 and 219C. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2010;29(8):721-727. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20539252.
- 13. Knapp KM, Brogly SB, Muenz DG, et al. Prevalence of congenital anomalies in infants with in utero exposure to antiretrovirals. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2012;31(2):164-170. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21983213.
- 14. Watts DH, Li D, Handelsman E, et al. Assessment of birth defects according to maternal therapy among infants in the Women and Infants Transmission Study. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2007;44(3):299-305. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17159659.
- 15. Vannappagari V, al e. Zidovudine exposure during pregnancy and hypospadias in infants: data from the antiretroviral pregnancy registry, 1989-2011. Abstract no. MOPE070. Presented at: 19th International AIDS Conference. 2012.

- 16. Sibiude J, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Association between prenatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy and birth defects: an analysis of the French perinatal cohort study (ANRS CO1/CO11). *PLoS Med.* 2014;11(4):e1001635. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781315.
- 17. Williams PL, Crain M, Yildirim C, et al. Congenital anomalies and in utero antiretroviral exposure in human immunodeficiency virus-exposed uninfected infants. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2015;169(1):45-55. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25383770.
- 18. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 Jan 1989 31 July 2014. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2014. Available at http://www.APRegistry.com.
- 19. Culnane M, Fowler M, Lee SS, et al. Lack of long-term effects of in utero exposure to zidovudine among uninfected children born to HIV-infected women. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 219/076 Teams. *JAMA*. 1999;281(2):151-157. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9917118.
- 20. Hanson IC, Antonelli TA, Sperling RS, et al. Lack of tumors in infants with perinatal HIV-1 exposure and fetal/neonatal exposure to zidovudine. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol*. 1999;20(5):463-467. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10225228.
- 21. Hankin C, Lyall H, Peckham C, Tookey P. Monitoring death and cancer in children born to HIV-infected women in England and Wales: use of HIV surveillance and national routine data. *AIDS*. 2007;21(7):867-869. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17415042.
- 22. Ivy W, Nesheim SR, Paul S, et al. Cancer among children with perinatal exposure to HIV and antiretroviral medications—New Jersey, 1995-2010. In press.
- 23. Bardeguez AD, Shapiro DE, Mofenson LM, et al. Effect of cessation of zidovudine prophylaxis to reduce vertical transmission on maternal HIV disease progression and survival. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2003;32(2):170-181. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12571527.

Non-Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors

Glossary of Terms for Supplement

Carcinogenic = producing or tending to produce cancer

- · Some agents, such as certain chemicals or forms of radiation, are both mutagenic and clastogenic.
- · Genetic mutations and/or chromosomal damage can contribute to cancer formation.

Clastogenic = causing disruption of or breakages in chromosomes

Genotoxic = damaging to genetic material such as DNA and chromosomes

Mutagenic = inducing or capable of inducing genetic mutation

Teratogenic = interfering with fetal development and resulting in birth defects

Five non-nucleoside analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs) are currently approved: delavirdine, efavirenz, etravirine, nevirapine and rilpivirine. Delavirdine is no longer available in the United States.

For information about potential interactions between NNRTIs and methergine, see the <u>Postpartum Hemorrhage</u>, <u>Antiretroviral Drugs</u>, <u>and Methergine Use</u> section in the <u>Perinatal Guidelines</u>.

Delavirdine (Rescriptor, DLV)

(Last updated March 28, 2014; last reviewed March 28, 2014)

Delavirdine is no longer available in the United States.

Efavirenz (Sustiva, EFV)

(Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Regarding embryo-fetal toxicity, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) advises women to avoid becoming pregnant while taking efavirenz and health care providers to avoid administration in the first trimester of pregnancy as fetal harm may occur.¹

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Efavirenz was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. A study evaluating genotoxicity of efavirenz in mice noted DNA damage in brain cells after daily dosing for 36 days; no damage was seen in liver, heart, or peripheral blood cells.² Long-term animal carcinogenicity studies with efavirenz have been completed in mice and rats. At systemic drug exposures approximately 1.7-fold higher than in humans receiving standard therapeutic doses, no increase in tumor incidence above background was observed in male mice, but in female mice, an increase above background was seen in hepatocellular adenomas and carcinomas and pulmonary alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas. No increase in tumor incidence above background was observed in male and female rats with systemic drug exposures lower than that in humans receiving therapeutic doses.

Reproduction/Fertility

No effect of efavirenz on reproduction or fertility in rodents has been seen.

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

An increase in fetal resorption was observed in rats at efavirenz doses that produced peak plasma concentrations and area under the curve (AUC) values in female rats equivalent to or lower than those achieved in humans at the recommended human dose (600 mg once daily). Efavirenz produced no reproductive toxicities when given to pregnant rabbits at doses that produced peak plasma concentrations

similar to and AUC values approximately half of those achieved in humans administered efavirenz (600 mg once daily). Central nervous system (CNS) malformations and cleft palate were observed in 3 of 20 infants born to pregnant cynomolgus monkeys receiving efavirenz from gestational days 20 to 150 at a dose of 60 mg/kg/day (resulting in plasma concentrations 1.3 times that of systemic human therapeutic exposure, with fetal umbilical venous drug concentrations approximately 0.7 times the maternal values). The malformations included anencephaly and unilateral anophthalmia in one fetus, microphthalmia in another fetus, and cleft palate in a third fetus.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Efavirenz readily crosses the placenta in rats, rabbits, and primates, producing cord blood concentrations similar to concentrations in maternal plasma. Maternal and fetal blood concentrations in pregnant rabbits and cynomolgus monkeys are equivalent, while fetal concentrations in rats exceeded maternal concentrations.

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics/Pharmacogenomics

In an intensive sampling pharmacokinetic (PK) study of 25 pregnant women receiving efavirenz during the third trimester as part of clinical care, efavirenz clearance was slightly increased and trough levels were decreased compared with levels measured postpartum.⁴ These differences are not of sufficient magnitude to warrant dose adjustment during pregnancy. A recent review of this study plus four others that measured single efavirenz concentrations in pregnant women found that efavirenz concentrations were not significantly affected by pregnancy and that high rates of HIV RNA suppression at delivery were achieved with efavirenz regimens.⁵

In a pharmacogenomics study, non-pregnant individuals with the CYP2B6 516 TT genotype had more than 3-fold increases in both short-term and long-term efavirenz exposure, as measured by plasma and hair drug levels, suggesting there could be significant variation in drug levels with CYP2B6 polymorphisms. The frequency of this allele varies between different ethnic populations, ranging from 3.4% in white, 6.7% in Hispanic and 20% in African Americans.

PK interactions between efavirenz and some hormonal contraceptives have been reported, with the potential for failure of the progesterone component, potentially affecting efficacy of emergency contraception, combined oral contraceptive pills, progestin-only pills, and progestin implants. ⁷⁻¹⁰ A retrospective chart review study suggests that efavirenz may decrease the efficacy of levonorgestrel implants (e.g., Jadelle). ¹¹ Pregnancy occurred among 15 (12.4%) of 115 women on efavirenz using Jadelle, compared to no pregnancies among 208 women on nevirapine-based regimens and no pregnancies among 13 women on lopinavir/ritonavir-based regimens (P < 0.001) (see <u>Preconception Counseling and Care</u>). Barrier contraception should always be used in combination with hormonal contraceptives. A study evaluating the interaction between efavirenz and depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA) in 17 women found no change in the PK profile of either efavirenz or DMPA with concomitant use. ¹² DMPA levels remained above the level needed for inhibition of ovulation throughout the dosing interval. In addition intrauterine devices (IUDs), both copper-containing and levonorgestrel-containing, would be expected to maintain efficacy.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In a study of 25 mother-infant pairs, median efavirenz cord blood/maternal blood concentration was 0.49 (range 0.37–0.74).⁴ In a study of 13 women in Rwanda, efavirenz was given during the last trimester of pregnancy and for 6 months after delivery.¹³ Efavirenz concentrations were measured in maternal plasma, breast milk, and infant plasma. Efavirenz concentration was significantly higher in maternal plasma than skim breast milk (mean breast milk to mean maternal plasma concentration ratio 0.54) and higher in skim breast milk than in infant plasma (mean skim breast milk to mean newborn plasma concentration ratio 4.08). Mean infant plasma efavirenz concentrations were 860 ng/mL and the mean infant plasma efavirenz concentration was 13.1% of maternal plasma concentrations. All infants had detectable plasma

concentrations of efavirenz, and 8 of 13 newborns had plasma efavirenz concentrations below the minimum therapeutic concentration of 1,000 ng/mL recommended for treatment of HIV-infected adults. In a study of 51 women in Nigeria receiving efavirenz 600 mg daily, the median (range) milk/maternal plasma ratio was 0.82 (0.51–1.1) and the median (range) infant efavirenz concentration was 178 (88–340) ng/mL. In a study of plasma and hair drug concentration in 56 mother-infant pairs receiving efavirenz-based therapy during pregnancy and breastfeeding, infant plasma levels at delivery and hair levels at age 12 weeks suggested moderate *in utero* transfer during pregnancy and breastfeeding, with approximately one-third of transfer occurring postpartum (40% cumulative with 15% during breastfeeding). If All mothers and infants had detectable efavirenz plasma levels at 0, 8, and 12 weeks and mean infant-to-maternal-hair concentration at 12 weeks postpartum was 0.40 for efavirenz. No data currently are available about the safety and PK of efavirenz in neonates.

Teratogenicity Data

In pregnancies with prospectively reported exposure to efavirenz-based regimens in the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry through January 2015, birth defects were observed in 20 of 852 live births with first-trimester exposure (2.3%, 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.4% to 3.6%). Although these data provide sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to rule out a 2-fold or greater increase in the risk of overall birth defects, the low incidence of neural tube defects in the general population means that a larger number of exposures are still needed to be able to definitively rule out an increased risk of this specific defect. Prospective reports to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry of defects after first-trimester efavirenz exposure have documented one neural tube defect case (sacral aplasia, myelomeningocele, and hydrocephalus with fetal alcohol syndrome) and one case of bilateral facial clefts, anophthalmia, and amniotic band. Among retrospective cases, there are six reports of CNS defects, including three cases of meningomyelocele in infants born to mothers receiving efavirenz during the first trimester. Retrospective reports can be biased toward reporting of more unusual and severe cases and are less likely to be representative of the general population experience.

In an updated meta-analysis of 23 studies (including the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry data) reporting on birth outcomes among women exposed to efavirenz during the first trimester, there were 44 infants with birth defects among 2,026 live births to women receiving first-trimester efavirenz (rate of overall birth defects (1.63%, 95% CI, 0.78% to 2.48%).¹⁷ The rate of overall birth defects was similar among women exposed to efavirenz-containing regimens and non-efavirenz-containing regimens during the first trimester (pooled relative risk [RR] 0.78, 95% CI, 0.56–1.08). Across all births, one neural tube defect (myelomeningocele) was observed, giving a point prevalence of 0.05% (95% CI, <0.01 to 0.28), within the range reported in the general population. However, the number of reported first-trimester efavirenz exposures still remains insufficient to rule out a significant increase in low-incidence birth defects (incidence of neural tube defects in the general U.S. population is 0.02% to 0.2%).

A recent French study of 13,124 live births between 1994 and 2010 included an analysis of 372 infants born after first-trimester efavirenz exposure. ¹⁸ In the primary analysis using the European Surveillance of Congenital Anomalies (EUROCAT) classification system, no increase in birth defects after first trimester efavirenz exposure was detected compared to those without efavirenz exposure in pregnancy (adjusted odds ratio 1.16, 95% CI, 0.73–1.85). In a secondary analysis using the modified Metropolitan Atlanta Congenital Defect Program classification used by the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, an association was found between first-trimester efavirenz exposure and neurologic defects. However, none of the four defects (i.e., ventricular dilatation with anomalies of the white substance, partial agenesis of the corpus callosum, subependymal cyst, and pachygyria) were neural tube defects, and none of the defects had common embryology. ¹⁹ First-trimester efavirenz exposure was not associated with an increased risk of defects in a Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study analysis that included 2,580 live births, 94 after first-trimester efavirenz exposure²⁰ or an analysis of a national cohort in Italy that included 1,257 pregnancies, 80 after first-trimester efavirenz exposure. ²¹

Although two small studies (Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group [PACTG] protocol 219/219C and PACTG

protocol P1025) reported a higher rate of birth defects among infants with first-trimester exposure to efavirenz compared with those without exposure, the number of exposures was small (35 exposures in PACTG 219/219C and 42 in P1025) and there is overlap in defect cases between the 2 studies.²²⁻²⁴ Thus, additional data are needed on first-trimester efavirenz exposures to more conclusively determine if risk of neural tube defects is elevated.

The FDA advises women to avoid becoming pregnant while taking efavirenz and health care providers to avoid administration in the first trimester of pregnancy as fetal harm may occur. Although the limited data on first-trimester efavirenz exposure cannot rule out a 2- or 3-fold increased incidence of a rare outcome, such as neural tube defects, the available data from the meta-analysis on more than 2,000 births suggest that there is not a large increase (e.g., a 10-fold increase to a rate of 1%) in the risk of neural tube defects with firsttrimester exposure. Pregnancy should be avoided in women receiving efavirenz, and treatment with efavirenz should be avoided during the first 8 weeks of pregnancy (the primary period of fetal organogenesis) whenever possible because of the potential for teratogenicity. Women of childbearing potential should undergo pregnancy testing before initiation of efavirenz and should be counseled about the potential risk to the fetus and desirability of avoiding pregnancy. Alternate antiretroviral (ARV) regimens that do not include efavirenz should be strongly considered in women who are planning to become pregnant (or who are sexually active and not using effective contraception) if such alternative regimens are acceptable to provider and patient and will not compromise the woman's health. However, given that the risk of neural tube defects is restricted to the first 5 to 6 weeks of pregnancy (the neural tube closes at 36 to 39 days after last menstrual period), pregnancy is rarely recognized before 4 to 6 weeks of pregnancy, and ARV drug changes in pregnancy may be associated with loss of viral control and thus increase risk of transmission to the infant, ²⁵ efavirenz can be continued in pregnant women receiving efavirenz-based antiretroviral therapy who present for antenatal care in the first trimester. In such situations, additional fetal monitoring (e.g., second-trimester ultrasound) should be considered to evaluate fetal anatomy.

- Efavirenz (Sustiva) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2015. Available at http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2015/021360s037,020972s048lbl.pdf. Accessed June 22, 2015.
- de Oliveira HM, Damiani AP, Dias Rde O, Romao PR, Andrade VM. Effect of antiretroviral drugs on the DNA damage in mice. Environ Toxicol Pharmacol. 2014;37(1):390-395. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24441026.
- 3. Nightingale SL. From the Food and Drug Administration. *JAMA*. 1998;280(17):1472. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9809716.
- 4. Cressey TR, Stek A, Capparelli E, et al. Efavirenz pharmacokinetics during the third trimester of pregnancy and postpartum. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2012;59(3):245-252. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22083071.
- 5. Hill A, Ford N, Boffito M, Pozniak A, Cressey TR. Does pregnancy affect the pharmacokinetics of efavirenz? *AIDS*. 2014;28(10):1542-1543. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24896806.
- 6. Gandhi M, Greenblatt RM, Bacchetti P, et al. A single-nucleotide polymorphism in CYP2B6 leads to >3-fold increases in efavirenz concentrations in plasma and hair among HIV-infected women. *J Infect Dis.* 2012;206(9):1453-1461. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22927450.
- 7. Tseng A, Hills-Nieminen C. Drug interactions between antiretrovirals and hormonal contraceptives. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol*. 2013. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23425052.
- 8. Landolt NK, Phanuphak N, Ubolyam S, et al. Efavirenz, in contrast to nevirapine, is associated with unfavorable progesterone and antiretroviral levels when co-administered with combined oral contraceptives. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2012. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23187949.
- 9. Leticee N, Viard JP, Yamgnane A, Karmochkine M, Benachi A. Contraceptive failure of etonogestrel implant in patients treated with antiretrovirals including efavirenz. *Contraception*. 2012;85(4):425-427. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22036046.
- Carten ML, Kiser JJ, Kwara A, Mawhinney S, Cu-Uvin S. Pharmacokinetic interactions between the hormonal emergency contraception, levonorgestrel (Plan B), and Efavirenz. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol*. 2012;2012:137192.

- Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22536010.
- 11. Perry SH, Swamy P, Preidis GA, Mwanyumba A, Motsa N, Sarero HN. Implementing the Jadelle implant for women living with HIV in a resource-limited setting in sub-Saharan Africa: concerns for drug interactions leading to unintended pregnancies. *AIDS*. 2014. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24401645.
- 12. Cohn SE, Park JG, Watts DH, et al. Depo-medroxyprogesterone in women on antiretroviral therapy: effective contraception and lack of clinically significant interactions. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2007;81(2):222-227. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17192768.
- 13. Schneider E, Whitmore S, Glynn KM, et al. Revised surveillance case definitions for HIV infection among adults, adolescents, and children aged <18 months and for HIV infection and AIDS among children aged 18 months to <13 years--United States, 2008. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* 2008;57(RR-10):1-12. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19052530.
- 14. Olagunju A, Siccardi M, et al. Pharmacogenetics of efavirenz excretion into human breast milk and transfer to breastfed infants. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2014. Boston, MA.
- 15. Gandhi M, Mwesigwa J, Aweeka F, et al. Hair and plasma data show that lopinavir, ritonavir, and efavirenz all transfer from mother to infant in utero, but only efavirenz transfers via breastfeeding. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;63(5):578-584. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24135775.
- 16. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 Jan 1989–31 January 2015. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2015. Available at http://www.APRegistry.com.
- 17. Ford N, Mofenson L, Shubber Z, et al. Safety of efavirenz in the first trimester of pregnancy: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2014;28 Suppl 2:S123-131. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24849471.
- 18. Sibiude J, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Association between prenatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy and birth defects: an analysis of the French perinatal cohort study (ANRS CO1/CO11). *PLoS Med.* 2014;11(4):e1001635. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781315.
- Mofenson LM, Watts DH. Safety of pediatric HIV elimination: the growing population of HIV- and antiretroviralexposed but uninfected infants. *PLoS Med*. 2014;11(4):e1001636. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781352.
- Williams PL, Crain MJ, Yildirim C, et al. Congenital anomalies and in utero antiretroviral exposure in human immunodeficiency virus-exposed uninfected infants. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2015;169(1):48-55. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25383770.
- Floridia M, Mastroiacovo P, Tamburrini E, et al. Birth defects in a national cohort of pregnant women with HIV infection in Italy, 2001-2011. *BJOG*. 2013;120(12):1466-1475. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23721372.
- 22. Knapp KM, Brogly SB, Muenz DG, et al. Prevalence of congenital anomalies in infants with in utero exposure to antiretrovirals. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2012;31(2):164-170. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21983213.
- 23. Brogly SB, Abzug MJ, Watts DH, et al. Birth defects among children born to human immunodeficiency virus-infected women: pediatric AIDS clinical trials protocols 219 and 219C. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2010;29(8):721-727. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20539252.
- 24. Ford N, Calmy A. Efavirenz is not a known teratogen. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2012;31(9):999; author reply 1000. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22609611.
- Floridia M, Ravizza M, Pinnetti C, et al. Treatment change in pregnancy is a significant risk factor for detectable HIV-1 RNA in plasma at end of pregnancy. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2010;11(6):303-311. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21239358.

Etravirine (Intelence, ETV)

(Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Etravirine is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category B.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Etravirine was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests.¹ Etravirine was evaluated for carcinogenic potential by oral gavage administration to mice and rats for up to approximately 104 weeks. Daily doses of 50, 200, and 400 mg/kg were administered to mice and doses of 70, 200, and 600 mg/kg were administered to rats in the initial period of approximately 41 to 52 weeks. The high and middle doses were subsequently adjusted because of tolerability and reduced by 50% in mice and by 50% to 66% in rats to allow for completion of the studies. In the mouse study, statistically significant increases in the incidences of hepatocellular carcinoma and of hepatocellular adenomas or carcinomas combined were observed in treated females. In the rat study, no statistically significant increases in tumor findings were observed in either sex. The relevance to humans of these liver tumor findings in mice is unknown. Because of tolerability of the formulation in these rodent studies, maximum systemic drug exposures achieved at the doses tested were lower than those in humans at the clinical dose (400 mg/day), with animal versus human area under the curve (AUC) ratios being 0.6-fold (mice) and 0.2- to 0.7-fold (rats).¹

Reproduction/Fertility

No effect on fertility and early embryonic development was observed when etravirine was tested in rats at maternal doses up to 500 mg/kg/day, resulting in systemic drug exposure equivalent to the recommended human dose (400 mg/day).¹

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

Animal reproduction studies in rats and rabbits at systemic exposures equivalent to those at the recommended human dose of 400 mg/day revealed no evidence of fetal toxicity or altered development. Developmental toxicity studies were performed in rabbits (at oral doses up to 375 mg/kg/day) and rats (at oral doses up to 1000 mg/kg/day). In both species, no treatment-related embryo-fetal effects (including malformations) were observed. In addition, no treatment effects were observed in a separate prenatal and postnatal study performed in rats at oral doses up to 500 mg/kg/day. The systemic exposures achieved in these animal studies were equivalent to those at the recommended human dose (400 mg/day).

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

Etravirine pharmacokinetics in pregnant women have been reported in two recent studies. Ramgopal et al. found that AUC, C_{min} , and C_{max} were increased approximately 1.4 fold in the second trimester (n = 13) and 1.2 to 1.4 fold in the third trimester (n = 10) compared with the same women postpartum (n = 10).² Similarly, Best and colleagues found increases by 1.3 to 1.6 fold in AUC, C_{min} , and C_{max} during the third trimester (n = 13) compared with the same women postpartum (n = 9).³ Etravirine was well tolerated in both of these studies. Case report data are available describing etravirine use in a total of seven pregnant women.⁴ No adverse effects associated with etravirine use were reported. One report described etravirine pharmacokinetics (PK) in four pregnant women whose etravirine PK parameters were similar to those in non-pregnant adults.⁵

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

The median (range) ratio of etravirine concentrations in cord blood to maternal plasma at delivery in 6 mother-infant pairs was 0.76 (0.19–4.25).³ The median (range) cord blood to maternal concentrations in 10 mother-infant pairs in another study was 0.32 (0.19–0.63).² Etravirine concentrations in cord blood and maternal plasma at delivery were 112 ng/mL and 339 ng/mL, respectively (cord/maternal ratio of 33%), in

one mother-infant pair.⁵ In a second mother-infant pair, cord blood and maternal plasma at delivery were 218 ng/mL and 421 ng/mL (cord/maternal ratio of 51%).⁶ Placental passage of etravirine was described in a report of the use of etravirine, darunavir/ritonavir, and enfuvirtide in a woman who gave birth to twins, with cord blood etravirine levels of 414 ng/mL in Twin 1 and 345 ng/mL in Twin 2 (no maternal delivery etravirine concentration reported).⁴

In 8 women who began etravirine on postpartum day 1, plasma and breast milk concentrations were drawn on postpartum Days 5 and 14.7 Plasma PK were not different between Days 5 and 14 and were similar to published pharmacokinetic parameters of etravirine in non-pregnant adults. Breast milk AUC₀₋₁₂ was higher in mature milk (Day 14) than in colostrum/transitional milk (Day 5); 12.954 ± 10.200 versus 4.372 ± 3.016 ng-h/mL (P = 0.046). Median etravirine concentrations in plasma and breast milk on Day 5 were 300 ng/mL and 241 ng/mL (within subject breast milk/plasma ratio of 109%). Median plasma and breast milk concentrations on day 14 were 197 ng/mL and 798 ng/mL (within-subject breast milk/plasma ratio of 327%). The maximum concentration in breast milk was significantly higher than in plasma (1.245 ± 1.159 vs. 1.250 ± 1.159

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

In eight reported cases of etravirine use in pregnancy, no maternal, fetal, or neonatal toxicity was noted.^{4,6} One infant was born with a small accessory auricle on the right ear with no other malformations, but no birth defects were noted in the other children.⁴ Fewer than 200 first-trimester pregnancy exposures have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry; therefore, no conclusions can be made about risk of birth defects.⁸

- Etravirine [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. Available at http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/index.cfm?fuseaction=Search.DrugDetails. Accessed May 22, 2015.
- 2. Ramgopal M, Osiyemi O, Zorrilla C, et al. Pharmacokinetics of etravirine (ETV) in HIV-1 infected pregnant women. Presented at: 22nd Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2015. Seattle, WA.
- 3. Best B, Colbers A, Wang J, et al. Etravirine pharmacokinetics during pregnancy and postpartum. Presented at: 22nd Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2015. Seattle, WA.
- 4. Furco A, Gosrani B, Nicholas S, et al. Successful use of darunavir, etravirine, enfuvirtide and tenofovir/emtricitabine in pregnant woman with multiclass HIV resistance. *AIDS*. 2009;23(3):434-435. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19188762.
- 5. Izurieta P, Kakuda TN, Feys C, Witek J. Safety and pharmacokinetics of etravirine in pregnant HIV-1-infected women. *HIV Med.* 2011;12(4):257-258. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21371239.
- 6. Calcagno A, Trentini L, Marinaro L, et al. Transplacental passage of etravirine and maraviroc in a multidrug-experienced HIV-infected woman failing on darunavir-based HAART in late pregnancy. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2013;68(8):1938-1939. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23535879.
- 7. Spencer L, Liu S, Wang C, Neely M, Louie S, Kovacs A. Intensive etravirine PK and HIV-1 viral load in breast milk and plasma in HIV+ women receiving HAART. Poster 891. Presented at: 21st Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2014. Boston, MA.
- 8. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 Jan 1989–31 July 2014. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2014. Available at http://www.APRegistry.com.

Nevirapine (Viramune, NVP)

(Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Nevirapine is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category B.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Nevirapine showed no evidence of mutagenic or clastogenic activity in a battery of *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies. Hepatocellular adenomas and carcinomas were increased at all doses in male mice and rats and at higher doses in female mice and rats. Systemic exposure at all doses studied was lower than systemic exposure in humans receiving therapeutic nevirapine doses. Given the lack of genotoxic activity of nevirapine, the relevance to humans of hepatocellular neoplasms in nevirapine-treated mice and rats is unknown.

Reproduction/Fertility

Evidence of impaired fertility was seen in female rats at nevirapine doses providing systemic exposure comparable to human therapeutic exposure.

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

Teratogenic effects of nevirapine have not been observed in reproductive studies with rats and rabbits at systemic exposures approximately equivalent to or 50% greater than the recommended human dose (based on area under the curve [AUC]). In rats, however, a significant decrease in fetal weight occurred at doses producing systemic concentrations approximately 50% higher than human therapeutic exposure.

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

The pharmacokinetics (PKs) of nevirapine have been evaluated in pregnant women receiving nevirapine as part of combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) during pregnancy. A study that determined nevirapine PKs in 26 women during pregnancy (7 second trimester, 19 third trimester) and again in the same women 4 to 12 weeks after delivery found that pregnancy did not alter nevirapine PK parameters. In contrast, nevirapine clearance was 20% greater, AUC was 28% lower, and maximum plasma concentration was 30% lower in 16 pregnant women compared with 13 non-pregnant women, based on nevirapine PK data from a therapeutic drug monitoring program that included 12-hour sampling; they also reported high variability in plasma nevirapine concentrations. A Dutch study reported a nonsignificant trend toward lower nevirapine exposure during pregnancy, with steady-state nevirapine concentrations of 5.2 mcg/mL in 45 pregnant women compared to 5.8 mcg/mL in 152 non-pregnant women (P = 0.08). No dose adjustment during pregnancy is currently recommended for nevirapine.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Nevirapine demonstrates rapid and effective placental transfer, achieving near equivalent concentrations in maternal and cord blood (cord-to-maternal-blood ratio ranging from 0.60 to 1.02). Above Nevirapine has also been shown to be excreted into human breast milk. In a study of 57 Malawian women receiving postpartum nevirapine-based therapy, breast-milk-to-maternal-serum concentration ratio was approximately 0.6; detectable nevirapine concentrations were found in the breastfeeding infants (inter-quartile range 0.54–1.06 mcg/mL). In data from 15 breastfeeding women receiving nevirapine-based therapy in Botswana, median maternal plasma concentration at 1 month postpartum was 6.71 mcg/mL and median maternal breast milk concentration was 1.83 mcg/mL, for a median maternal breast-milk-to-plasma ratio of 0.27. Infant exposure was measured at 1 month in nine infants; all infants had biologically significant detectable nevirapine concentrations in their blood, with a median level of 0.37 mcg/mL (range, 0.24–1.2 mcg/mL), representing approximately 6% of median maternal value. Similar data were reported in a study of 67 mothers receiving nevirapine-based therapy in Kenya; the median concentration of nevirapine in breast milk was 4.55 mcg/mL, with median concentrations at 2, 6, and 14 weeks postpartum in breastfeeding infants of 0.99 mcg/mL, 1.03 mcg/mL, and 0.73 mcg/mL, respectively.

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to nevirapine in humans have been monitored to be able to detect at least a 1.5-fold increase in risk of overall birth defects and a 2-fold increase in risk of birth defects in more commonly seen classes of birth defects in the cardiovascular and genitourinary systems. No such increase in birth defects has been observed with nevirapine. Among cases of first-trimester nevirapine exposure reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 2.9% (31 of 1,068 births; 95% CI, 2.0% to 4.1%) compared with a total prevalence of 2.7% in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance. Similarly, the French Perinatal Cohort recently reported no association between nevirapine and birth defects with 71% power to detect a 1.5-fold increase.

Safety

Severe, life-threatening, and (in some cases) fatal hepatotoxicity—including fulminant and cholestatic hepatitis, hepatic necrosis, and hepatic failure and severe, life-threatening hypersensitivity skin reactions, including Stevens-Johnson syndrome (SJS)—has been reported in HIV-infected patients receiving nevirapine in combination with other drugs for treatment of HIV disease and in a small number of individuals receiving nevirapine as part of cART for post-exposure prophylaxis of nosocomial or sexual exposure to HIV.¹¹ In general, in controlled clinical trials, clinical hepatic events, regardless of severity, occurred in 4.0% (range 0% to 11.0%) of patients who received nevirapine; however, the risk of nevirapine-associated liver failure or hepatic mortality has been lower, in the range of 0.04% to 0.40%. ^{12,13} The greatest risk of severe rash or hepatic events occurs during the first 6 to 18 weeks of therapy, although the risk of toxicity continues past this period and monitoring should continue at frequent intervals.

Incidence of severe nevirapine-associated skin rash has been reported to be 5.5 to 7.3 times more common in women than men and has been reported in pregnant women. 14-16 Other studies have found that hepatic adverse events with systemic symptoms (often rash) were 3.2-fold more common in women than men.¹³ Several studies suggest that the degree of risk of hepatic toxicity varies with CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell count. In a summary analysis of data from 17 clinical trials of nevirapine therapy, women with CD4 cell counts >250 cells/mm³ were 9.8 times more likely than women with lower CD4 cell counts to experience symptomatic, often rash-associated, nevirapine-related hepatotoxicity. 13 Higher CD4 cell counts have also been associated with increased risk of severe nevirapine-associated skin rash. 15 Rates of hepatotoxicity and rash similar to those in U.S. studies have been seen in international cohorts of non-pregnant women, although not all have reported an association with CD4 cell counts >250 cells/mm³. ¹⁷ In a study of 359 nonpregnant women randomized to nevirapine-based therapy in sub-Saharan Africa, higher nevirapine exposure was associated with development of severe skin toxicity, and baseline CD4 cell counts ≥250 cells/mm³ were associated with nevirapine-related liver toxicity and drug discontinuation. 18 Some researchers have suggested that genetic variation in drug metabolism polymorphisms (e.g., CYP2B6 variants) and immune human leukocyte antigen loci may be associated with higher risk of nevirapine-associated adverse events and that the relationship between genetic variants and adverse effects may vary by race. 19,20

Although deaths as a result of hepatic failure have been reported in HIV-infected pregnant women receiving nevirapine as part of a combination antiretroviral (ARV) regimen, it is uncertain whether pregnancy increases the risk of hepatotoxicity in women receiving nevirapine or other ARV drugs.²¹ In a systematic review of 20 studies including 3,582 pregnant women from 14 countries, the pooled proportion of women experiencing a severe hepatotoxic event was 3.6% (95% CI, 2.4% to 4.8%) and severe rash was 3.3% (95% CI, 2.1% to 4.5%); overall 6.2% of women stopped nevirapine due to an adverse event (95% CI, 4.0% to 8.4%).²² These results were comparable to published frequencies in the general adult population and frequencies comparable to non-pregnant women within the same cohorts. These data suggest that the frequency of adverse events associated with nevirapine during pregnancy is not higher than reported for nevirapine in the general population, consistent with data from two multicenter prospective cohorts in which pregnancy was not associated with an increased risk of nevirapine-associated hepatic toxicity.^{23,24}

In the systematic review, there was a nonsignificant trend toward an increased likelihood of cutaneous events (OR 1.1, 95% CI, 0.8–1.6) and severe cutaneous adverse events in pregnant women with CD4 cell counts \geq 250 cell/mm³ (OR 1.4, 95% CI, 0.8–2.4).²² A separate systematic review of 14 studies did report a significant association of increased toxicity risk with initiation of nevirapine-based therapy during pregnancy in women with CD4 cell counts \geq 250 cells/mm³.²⁵ A small case-control study (6 cases, 30 controls) in South Africa recently reported that pregnancy increased the chance of developing SJS (OR 14.28, P = 0.006, 95% CI, 1.54–131.82).²⁶ Nevirapine (as a component of a combination regimen) should be initiated in pregnant women with CD4 cell counts \geq 250 cells/mm³ only if the benefit clearly outweighs the risk. Women with CD4 cell counts <250 cells/mm³ can receive nevirapine-based regimens, and women who become pregnant while taking nevirapine and who are tolerating their regimens well can continue therapy, regardless of CD4 cell count.

Because pregnancy itself can mimic some of the early symptoms of hepatotoxicity (i.e., pregnancy-related nausea and vomiting), health care providers caring for women receiving nevirapine during pregnancy should be aware of this potential complication. Frequent and careful monitoring of clinical symptoms and hepatic transaminases (i.e., alanine aminotransferase [ALT] and aspartate aminotransferase [AST]) is necessary, particularly during the first 18 weeks of therapy. Some clinicians measure serum transaminases at baseline, every 2 weeks for the first month, and then monthly for the first 18 weeks (<u>Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines</u>); in patients with pre-existing liver disease, monitoring should be performed more frequently when initiating therapy and monthly thereafter.²⁷ Transaminase levels should be checked in all women who develop a rash while receiving nevirapine. Patients who develop suggestive clinical symptoms accompanied by elevation in serum transaminase levels (ALT and/or AST) or have asymptomatic but severe transaminase elevations should stop nevirapine and not receive the drug in the future.

- 1. Capparelli EV, Aweeka F, Hitti J, et al. Chronic administration of nevirapine during pregnancy: impact of pregnancy on pharmacokinetics. *HIV Med.* 2008;9(4):214-220. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18366444.
- 2. von Hentig N, Carlebach A, Gute P, et al. A comparison of the steady-state pharmacokinetics of nevirapine in men, nonpregnant women and women in late pregnancy. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2006;62(5):552-559. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17061962.
- 3. Nellen JF, Damming M, Godfried MH, et al. Steady-state nevirapine plasma concentrations are influenced by pregnancy. *HIV Med.* 2008;9(4):234-238. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18366447.
- 4. Else LJ, Taylor S, Back DJ, Khoo SH. Pharmacokinetics of antiretroviral drugs in anatomical sanctuary sites: the fetal compartment (placenta and amniotic fluid). *Antivir Ther*. 2011;16(8):1139-1147. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22155898.
- 5. Benaboud S, Ekouevi DK, Urien S, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of nevirapine in HIV-1-infected pregnant women and their neonates. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2011;55(1):331-337. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20956588.
- 6. Palombi L, Pirillo MF, Andreotti M, et al. Antiretroviral prophylaxis for breastfeeding transmission in Malawi: drug concentrations, virological efficacy and safety. *Antivir Ther*. 2012;17(8):1511-1519. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22910456.
- 7. Shapiro RL, Rossi S, Ogwu A, et al. Therapeutic levels of lopinavir in late pregnancy and abacavir passage into breast milk in the Mma Bana Study, Botswana. *Antivir Ther*. 2013;18(4):585-590. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23183881.
- 8. Mirochnick M, Thomas T, Capparelli E, et al. Antiretroviral concentrations in breast-feeding infants of mothers receiving highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2009;53(3):1170-1176. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19114673.
- 9. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 Jan 1989–31 July 2014. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2014. Available at http://www.APRegistry.com.
- Sibiude J, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Association between prenatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy and birth defects: an analysis of the French perinatal cohort study (ANRS CO1/CO11). *PLoS Med.* 2014;11(4):e1001635. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781315.

- 11. Patel SM, Johnson S, Belknap SM, Chan J, Sha BE, Bennett C. Serious adverse cutaneous and hepatic toxicities associated with nevirapine use by non-HIV-infected individuals. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2004;35(2):120-125. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14722442.
- Viramune [package insert]. Boehringer-Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc. 2012. Available at http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda docs/label/2012/201152s004mg.pdf. Accessed April 21, 2015.
- 13. Stern JO, Robinson PA, Love J, Lanes S, Imperiale MS, Mayers DL. A comprehensive hepatic safety analysis of nevirapine in different populations of HIV infected patients. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2003;34 Suppl 1:S21-33. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14562855.
- Mazhude C, Jones S, Murad S, Taylor C, Easterbrook P. Female sex but not ethnicity is a strong predictor of nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor-induced rash. *AIDS*. 2002;16(11):1566-1568. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12131201.
- 15. Bersoff-Matcha SJ, Miller WC, Aberg JA, et al. Sex differences in nevirapine rash. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2001;32(1):124-129. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11118391.
- 16. Knudtson E, Para M, Boswell H, Fan-Havard P. Drug rash with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms syndrome and renal toxicity with a nevirapine-containing regimen in a pregnant patient with human immunodeficiency virus. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2003;101(5 Pt 2):1094-1097. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12738113.
- 17. Peters PJ, Stringer J, McConnell MS, et al. Nevirapine-associated hepatotoxicity was not predicted by CD4 count >/=250 cells/muL among women in Zambia, Thailand and Kenya. *HIV Med.* 2010;11(10):650-660. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20659176.
- 18. Dong BJ, Zheng Y, Hughes MD, et al. Nevirapine pharmacokinetics and risk of rash and hepatitis among HIV-infected sub-Saharan African women. *AIDS*, 2012;26(7):833-841. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22301417.
- 19. Yuan J, Guo S, Hall D, et al. Toxicogenomics of nevirapine-associated cutaneous and hepatic adverse events among populations of African, Asian, and European descent. *AIDS*. 2011;25(10):1271-1280. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21505298.
- 20. Carr DF, Chaponda M, Jorgensen AL, et al. Association of Human Leukocyte Antigen Alleles and Nevirapine Hypersensitivity in a Malawian HIV-Infected Population. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2013;56(9):1330-1339. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23362284.
- 21. Lyons F, Hopkins S, Kelleher B, et al. Maternal hepatotoxicity with nevirapine as part of combination antiretroviral therapy in pregnancy. *HIV Med.* 2006;7(4):255-260. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16630038.
- 22. Ford N, Calmy A, Andrieux-Meyer I, Hargreaves S, Mills EJ, Shubber Z. Adverse events associated with nevirapine use in pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2013. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23299174.
- 23. Ouyang DW, Brogly SB, Lu M, et al. Lack of increased hepatotoxicity in HIV-infected pregnant women receiving nevirapine compared with other antiretrovirals. *AIDS*. 2010;24(1):109-114. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19926957.
- 24. Ouyang DW, Shapiro DE, Lu M, et al. Increased risk of hepatotoxicity in HIV-infected pregnant women receiving antiretroviral therapy independent of nevirapine exposure. *AIDS*. 2009;23(18):2425-2430. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19617813.
- 25. Bera E, Mia R. Safety of nevirapine in HIV-infected pregnant women initiating antiretroviral therapy at higher CD4 counts: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *S Afr Med J.* 2012;102(11 Pt 1):855-859. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23116743.
- 26. Dube N, Adewusi E, Summers R. Risk of nevirapine-associated Stevens-Johnson syndrome among HIV-infected pregnant women: the Medunsa National Pharmacovigilance Centre, 2007–2012. *S Afr Med J.* 2013;103(5):322-325. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23971123.
- 27. Kontorinis N, Dieterich DT. Toxicity of non-nucleoside analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitors. *Semin Liver Dis.* 2003;23(2):173-182. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12800070.

Rilpivirine (Edurant, RPV)

(Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Rilpivirine is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category B.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Rilpivirine was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. Rilpivirine was not carcinogenic in rats when administered at doses 3 times higher than exposure in humans at the recommended dose of 25 mg once daily. Hepatocellular neoplasms were observed in both male and female mice at doses 21 times that of human therapeutic exposure; the observed hepatocellular findings in mice may be rodent-specific.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

No effect on fertility was observed when rilpivirine was tested in rats at maternal doses up to 400 mg/kg/day, resulting in systemic drug exposure equivalent to 40 times the recommended human dose.

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

No evidence of embryonic or fetal toxicity or an effect on reproductive function was observed in rat and rabbit dams treated with rilpivirine during pregnancy and lactation at doses 15 and 70 times higher, respectively, than exposure in humans at the recommended dose of 25 mg once daily.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Studies in lactating rats and their offspring indicate that rilpivirine is present in rat milk.

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

A report describing rilpivirine pharmacokinetic (PK) evaluations at 32 weeks' gestation and again postpartum in 2 HIV-infected pregnant women has been published. Rilpivirine area under the curve [AUC] was decreased by 30% to 43% during pregnancy. Postpartum AUC was similar to those seen in non-pregnant adults.² An abstract presented PK and safety data from 32 HIV-infected pregnant women receiving rilpivirine. Median rilpivirine AUC and trough concentrations were reduced by about 20% in the second trimester and 30% in the third trimester, compared with postpartum.³

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In one published case report, cord blood and maternal plasma rilpivirine concentrations were 0.016 and 0.021 mg/L, for a cord blood/maternal concentration ratio of 0.74.² An abstract has been presented including rilpivirine delivery concentration data from 9 mother-infant pairs, with median (range) cord blood rilpivirine plasma concentration of 53.8 ng/mL (<10.0 to 219.7 ng/mL), maternal delivery plasma rilpivirine concentration of 103.3 ng/mL (<10.0 to 273.4 ng/mL) and cord blood/maternal plasma ratio of 0.55 (0.38 to 0.83).³ No data exist on whether rilpivirine is excreted in breast milk in humans.

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

The number of first-trimester exposures to rilpivirine that have been monitored to date in the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry is insufficient to allow conclusions to be drawn regarding risk of birth defects.⁴

Other Safety Data

No safety issues have been observed in the case report and the small PK study of rilpivirine in pregnancy.^{2,3}

- 1. Rilpivirine [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2013. Available at http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2013/202022s005lbl.pdf. Accessed June 3, 2015.
- Colbers A, Gingelmaier A, van der Ende M, Rijnders B, Burger D. Pharmacokinetics, safety and transplacental passage of rilpivirine in pregnancy: two cases. *AIDS*. 2014;28(2):288-290. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24413315.
- 3. Mirochnick M, Stek A, Kreitchman R, et al. Pharmacokinetics of Rilpivirine in HIV-Infected Women during Pregnancy and Postpartum. Presented at: 22nd Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2015. Seattle, WA.
- 4. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 Jan 1989–31 July 2014. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2014. Available at http://www.APRegistry.com.

Protease Inhibitors

Glossary of Terms for Supplement

Carcinogenic = producing or tending to produce cancer

- Some agents, such as certain chemicals or forms of radiation, are both mutagenic and clastogenic.
- · Genetic mutations and/or chromosomal damage can contribute to cancer formation.

Clastogenic = causing disruption of or breakages in chromosomes

Genotoxic = damaging to genetic material such as DNA and chromosomes

Mutagenic = inducing or capable of inducing genetic mutation

Teratogenic = interfering with fetal development and resulting in birth defects

For information regarding the PI class of drugs and potential metabolic complications during pregnancy and pregnancy outcome, see <u>Combination Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Pregnancy Outcome</u>.

Amprenavir (Agenerase, APV)

(Last updated March 28, 2014; last reviewed March 28, 2014)

Amprenavir is no longer available in the United States.

Atazanavir (Reyataz, ATV)

(Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Atazanavir is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category B.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

In *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays, atazanavir shows evidence of clastogenicity but not mutagenicity. Two-year carcinogenicity studies in mice and rats were conducted with atazanavir. In female mice, the incidence of benign hepatocellular adenomas was increased at systemic exposures 2.8- to 2.9-fold higher than those in humans at the recommended therapeutic dose (300 mg atazanavir boosted with 100 mg ritonavir once daily). There was no increase in the incidence of tumors in male mice at any dose. In rats, no significant positive trends in the incidence of neoplasms occurred at systemic exposures up to 1.1-fold (males) or 3.9-fold (females) higher than those in humans at the recommended therapeutic dose.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

No effect of atazanavir on reproduction or fertility in male and female rodents was seen at area under the curve (AUC) levels that were 0.9-fold in males and 2.3-fold in females compared with the exposures achieved in humans at the recommended therapeutic dose.¹

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

In animal reproduction studies, there was no evidence of teratogenicity in offspring born to animals at systemic drug exposure levels (AUC) 0.7 (in rabbits) to 1.2 (in rats) times those observed at the human clinical dose (300 mg atazanavir boosted with 100 mg ritonavir once daily). In developmental toxicity studies in rats, maternal dosing that resulted in maternal toxicity and produced systemic drug exposure 1.3 times the human exposure also resulted in weight loss or suppression of weight gain in the offspring. However, offspring were unaffected at lower maternal doses that produced systemic drug exposure equivalent to that observed in humans at the recommended therapeutic dose. A more recent study demonstrated an association of maternal PI use (including atazanavir) with lower progesterone levels which correlated with lower birthweight in mice, but this potential mechanism requires further study.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Atazanavir is excreted in the milk of lactating rats.

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

Several studies have investigated the pharmacokinetics (PKs) and virologic outcomes of ritonavir-boosted atazanavir in pregnancy.³ Overall, most pregnant patients achieved undetectable HIV RNA at the time of delivery.^{1,4-7} In a retrospective study reporting trough atazanavir concentrations in 19 pregnant women receiving atazanavir 300 mg and ritonavir 100 mg once daily at a median of 30 weeks' gestation (14 in the third trimester), all but two women had a trough atazanavir concentration >100 ng/mL.⁸ In studies that have evaluated full PK profiles of atazanavir when administered daily as 300 mg with 100 mg ritonavir during pregnancy, atazanavir AUC was lower during pregnancy than in historic data from HIV-infected non-pregnant patients.^{4,6,7,9,10} In one of the studies there was no difference between atazanavir AUC during pregnancy and postpartum, but AUC at both times was lower than in non-pregnant HIV-infected historic controls.⁶ In the other studies, atazanavir AUC was lower during pregnancy than in the same patients postpartum and in non-pregnant control populations.^{4,5,7,9,10}

Although use of atazanavir/ritonavir combined with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (tenofovir) and emtricitabine as a complete once-a-day dosing combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) regimen is becoming increasingly common in pregnancy, tenofovir reduces atazanavir exposure by 25% in non-pregnant adults. This drug-drug interaction also is present during pregnancy, with a 30% lower third-trimester atazanavir AUC in pregnant women receiving concomitant tenofovir compared with women who were not receiving concomitant tenofovir. The increase in atazanavir AUC postpartum relative to that in the third trimester was similar for women taking concomitant tenofovir and for those not taking concomitant tenofovir.

Use of an increased dose of atazanavir of 400 mg with 100 mg ritonavir once daily during pregnancy has been investigated in two studies. ^{4,5} In both studies pregnant women receiving the increased dose without tenofovir had an atazanavir AUC equivalent to that seen in historic non-pregnant HIV-infected controls receiving standard-dose atazanavir without tenofovir. Pregnant women receiving the increased atazanavir dose with tenofovir had an AUC equivalent to that seen in non-pregnant HIV-infected patients receiving standard-dose atazanavir with tenofovir. ^{4,5} Although some experts recommend increased atazanavir dosing in all women during the second and third trimesters, the package insert recommends increased atazanavir dosing only for ARV-experienced pregnant women in the second and third trimesters also receiving either tenofovir or an H2-receptor antagonist. For additional details about dosing with interacting concomitant medications, please see <u>Table 7</u>. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In studies of women receiving atazanavir/ritonavir-based combination therapy during pregnancy, cord blood atazanavir concentration averaged 13% to 21% of maternal serum levels at delivery. 1,6,7

In a study of three women, the median ratio of breast milk atazanavir concentration to that in plasma was 13%.¹¹

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

In a multicenter U.S. cohort of HIV-exposed, uninfected children, first trimester atazanavir exposure was associated with increased odds of congenital anomalies of skin (aOR = 5.24, P = 0.020) and musculoskeletal system (aOR = 2.55, P = 0.007). On the other hand, there was no association of first-trimester atazanavir exposure and birth defects in a French cohort, though this study had <50% power to detect an adjusted odds ratio of 1.5.13 The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has monitored sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to atazanavir in humans to be able to detect at least a 2-fold increase in risk of overall birth defects and no such increase in birth defects has been observed with atazanavir. The prevalence of birth defects with

first-trimester atazanavir exposure was 2.2% (20 of 922 births; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.3% to 3.3%) compared with a 2.7% total prevalence in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance. 14

Maternal PI use (including atazanavir) was associated with lower progesterone levels, but the clinical significance of this finding requires further study.²

Other Safety Data

Elevation in indirect (unconjugated) bilirubin attributable to atazanavir-related inhibition of hepatic uridine diphosphate glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) enzyme occurs frequently during treatment with atazanavir, including during pregnancy. The effects on the fetus of elevated maternal indirect bilirubin throughout pregnancy are unknown. Dangerous or pathologic postnatal elevations in bilirubin have not been reported in infants born to mothers who received atazanavir during pregnancy. Although some studies have suggested that neonatal bilirubin elevations requiring phototherapy occur more frequently after prenatal atazanavir exposure, decisions to use phototherapy to treat infants with hyperbilirubinemia frequently are subjective and guidelines for phototherapy of infants vary between countries, making it difficult to compare the severity of hyperbilirubinemia between patients within a study and in different studies. Elevated neonatal bilirubin in atazanavir-exposed neonates is not associated with UGT-1 genotypes associated with decreased UGT function.

In an evaluation of neurodevelopment in 374 HIV-exposed uninfected infants aged 9 to 15 months, the adjusted mean on the language domain of the Bayley-III test was significantly lower for infants with perinatal exposure to atazanavir compared to those with exposure to other drugs.¹⁹ In a study of language assessments among 792 one- and 2-year-old HIV-exposed uninfected children, atazanavir-exposed children had an increased risk of late language emergence at age 12 months (adjusted odds ratio 1.83, 95% CI, 1.10–3.04) compared with atazanavir-unexposed children but the association was not significant at 24 months.²⁰

Hypoglycemia (glucose <40 mg/dL) that could not be attributed to maternal glucose intolerance, difficult delivery, or sepsis has been reported in three of 38 atazanavir-exposed infants with glucose samples collected in the first day of life. All three hypoglycemic infants' glucose samples were adequately collected and processed in a timely fashion. This finding of infant hypoglycemia is similar to a prior report in which two (both nelfinavir) of 14 infants exposed to PIs (nelfinavir, saquinavir, and indinavir) developed hypoglycemia in the first day of life. ²¹

- Reyataz [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2014. Available at http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda docs/label/2012/021567s028lbl.pdf. Accessed June 10, 2015.
- 2. Papp E, Mohammadi H, Loutfy MR, et al. HIV protease inhibitor use during pregnancy is associated with decreased progesterone levels, suggesting a potential mechanism contributing to fetal growth restriction. *J Infect Dis.* 2014. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25030058.
- 3. Eley T, Bertz R, Hardy H, Burger D. Atazanavir pharmacokinetics, efficacy and safety in pregnancy: a systematic review. *Antivir Ther*. 2013;18(3):361-375. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23676668.
- 4. Conradie F, Zorrilla C, Josipovic D, et al. Safety and exposure of once-daily ritonavir-boosted atazanavir in HIV-infected pregnant women. *HIV Med.* 2011;12(9):570-579. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21569187.
- 5. Kreitchmann R, Best BM, Wang J, et al. Pharmacokinetics of an Increased Atazanavir Dose With and Without Tenofovir During the Third Trimester of Pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;63(1):59-66. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23392467.
- 6. Ripamonti D, Cattaneo D, Maggiolo F, et al. Atazanavir plus low-dose ritonavir in pregnancy: pharmacokinetics and placental transfer. *AIDS*. 2007;21(18):2409-2415. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18025877.
- 7. Mirochnick M, Best BM, Stek AM, et al. Atazanavir pharmacokinetics with and without tenofovir during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2011;56(5):412-419. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21283017.

- 8. Natha M, Hay P, Taylor G, et al. Atazanavir use in pregnancy: a report of 33 cases. Presented at: 14th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2007. Los Angeles, CA.
- 9. Taburet AM, Piketty C, Chazallon C, et al. Interactions between atazanavir-ritonavir and tenofovir in heavily pretreated human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2004;48(6):2091-2096. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15155205.
- 10. Colbers A, Molto J, Ivanovic J, et al. A comparison of the pharmacokinetics of darunavir, atazanavir and ritonavir during pregnancy and post-partum. Abstract 1013. Presented at: 19th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2012. Seattle, WA.
- 11. Spencer L, Neely M, Mordwinkin N, et al. Intensive pharmacokinetics of zidovudine, lamivudine, and atazanavir and HIV-1 viral load in breast milk and plasma in HIV+ women receiving HAART. Presented at: 16th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2009. Montreal, Canada.
- 12. Williams PL, Crain M, Yildirim C, et al. Congenital anomalies and in utero antiretroviral exposure in HIV-exposed uninfected infants. In press. *JAMA*. 2014.
- 13. Sibiude J, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Association between prenatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy and birth defects: an analysis of the French perinatal cohort study (ANRS CO1/CO11). *PLoS Med.* 2014;11(4):e1001635. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781315.
- 14. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 Jan 1989–31 July 2014. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2014. Available at http://www.APRegistry.com.
- 15. Floridia M, Ravizza M, Masuelli G, et al. Atazanavir and lopinavir profile in pregnant women with HIV: tolerability, activity and pregnancy outcomes in an observational national study. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2014;69(5):1377-1384. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24370933.
- 16. Mandelbrot L, Mazy F, Floch-Tudal C, et al. Atazanavir in pregnancy: impact on neonatal hyperbilirubinemia. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2011;157(1):18-21. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21492993.
- 17. Atrio JM, Sperling RS, Posada R, Rodriguez Caprio G, Chen KT. Maternal atazanavir usage in HIV-infected pregnant women and the risk of maternal and neonatal hyperbilirubinemia. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;63(5):e158-159. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23970241.
- 18. Eley T, Huang SP, Conradie F, et al. Clinical and pharmacogenetic factors affecting neonatal bilirubinemia following atazanavir treatment of mothers during pregnancy. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 2013;29(10):1287-1292. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23782005.
- 19. Sirois PA, Huo Y, Williams PL, et al. Safety of perinatal exposure to antiretroviral medications: developmental outcomes in infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2013;32(6):648-655. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23340561.
- 20. Rice ML, Zeldow B, Siberry GK, et al. Evaluation of risk for late language emergence after in utero antiretroviral drug exposure in HIV-exposed uninfected infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2013;32(10):e406-413. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24067563.
- 21. Dinsmoor MJ, Forrest ST. Lack of an effect of protease inhibitor use on glucose tolerance during pregnancy. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol*. 2002;10(4):187-191. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12648312.

Darunavir (Prezista, DRV)

(Last reviewed August 6, 2015; last updated August 6, 2015)

Darunavir is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category C.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Darunavir was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. A dose-related increase in the incidence of hepatocellular adenomas and carcinomas was observed in both male and female mice and rats as well as an increase in thyroid follicular cell adenomas in male rats. The observed hepatocellular findings in rodents are considered to be of limited relevance to humans. Repeated administration of darunavir to rats caused hepatic microsomal enzyme induction and increased thyroid hormone elimination, which predispose rats, but not humans, to thyroid neoplasms. At the highest tested doses, the systemic exposures to darunavir (based on area under the curve) were between 0.4- and 0.7-fold (mice) and 0.7- and 1-fold (rats) those observed in humans at the recommended therapeutic doses (600/100 mg twice daily or 800/100 mg/day).

Reproduction/Fertility

No effects on fertility and early embryonic development were seen with darunavir in rats.

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

No embryotoxicity or teratogenicity was seen in mice, rats, or rabbits. Because of limited bioavailability of darunavir in animals and dosing limitation, the plasma exposures were approximately 50% (mice and rats) and 5% (rabbits) of those obtained in humans. In the rat prenatal and postnatal development study, a reduction in pup weight gain was observed with darunavir alone or with ritonavir exposure via breast milk during lactation. In juvenile rats, single doses of darunavir (20 mg/kg–160 mg/kg at age 5–11 days) or multiple doses of darunavir (40 mg/kg–1000 mg/kg at age 12 days) caused mortality. The deaths were associated with convulsions in some of the animals. Within this age range, exposures in plasma, liver, and brain were dose- and age-dependent and were considerably greater than those observed in adult rats. These findings were attributed to the ontogeny of the cytochrome P450 liver enzymes involved in the metabolism of darunavir and the immaturity of the blood-brain barrier. Sexual development, fertility, or mating performance of offspring was not affected by maternal treatment.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

No animal studies of placental passage of darunavir have been reported. Passage of darunavir into breast milk has been noted in rats.

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

Three intensive pharmacokinetic (PK) studies of darunavir/ritonavir administered as 600 mg/100 mg twice a day or 800 mg/100 mg once a day during pregnancy have been completed.¹⁻³ These studies demonstrate 17% to 33% reductions in darunavir plasma concentrations during the third trimester compared with postpartum.¹⁻³ Two of these studies measured darunavir protein binding during pregnancy with conflicting results. One study found no change in darunavir protein binding during the third trimester while the other found a decrease.¹⁻⁴ Because of low trough levels with once-daily dosing, twice-daily dosing of darunavir is recommended during pregnancy, especially for antiretroviral-experienced patients. A study of use of an increased twice-daily darunavir dose during pregnancy is underway. The PK and safety of darunavir/cobicistat during pregnancy have not been studied.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In an *ex vivo* human cotyledon perfusion model, the mean fetal transfer rate was 15%.⁵ In 4 studies reporting data from between eight and 14 subjects each, the median ratio of darunavir concentration in cord blood to that in maternal delivery plasma ranged from 13% to 24%.¹⁻⁴ No data are available describing breast milk passage of darunavir in humans.

Teratogenicity Data

Among cases of first-trimester darunavir exposure reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, prevalence of birth defects was 2.3% (6 of 258 births; 95% CI, 0.9% to 5.0%) compared with 2.7% in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.⁶

Other Safety Issues

No safety issues have been observed in case reports and small PK studies of darunavir in pregnancy. 1-4,7-11

- 1. Zorrilla CD, Wright R, Osiyemi OO, et al. Total and unbound darunavir pharmacokinetics in pregnant women infected with HIV-1: results of a study of darunavir/ritonavir 600/100 mg administered twice daily. *HIV Med.* 2014;15(1):50-56. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23731450.
- 2. Stek, A, Best, BM, Wang, J, et al. Pharmacokinetics of once versus twice daily darunavir in pregnant HIV-infected women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2015 May 6. [Epub ahead of print]. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25950206.
- 3. Colbers A, Molto J, Ivanovic J, et al. Pharmacokinetics of total and unbound darunavir in HIV-1-infected pregnant women. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2015;70(2):534-542. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25326090.
- 4. Courbon E, Matheron S, al e. Efficacy, and Pharmacokinetic of Darunavir/ritonavir-containing regimen in pregnant HIV+ women. Presented at: 19th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2012. Seattle, WA.
- 5. Mandelbrot L, Duro D, Belissa E, Peytavin G. Placental transfer of darunavir in an ex vivo human cotyledon perfusion model. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2014;58(9):5617-5620. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24982090.
- 6. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 Jan 1989–31 July 2014. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2014. Available at http://www.APRegistry.com.
- 7. Jaworsky D, Thompson C, Yudin MH, et al. Use of newer antiretroviral agents, darunavir and etravirine with or without raltegravir, in pregnancy: a report of two cases. *Antivir Ther*. 2010;15(4):677-680. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20587860.
- 8. Ivanovic J, Bellagamba R, Nicastri E, et al. Use of darunavir/ritonavir once daily in treatment-naive pregnant woman: pharmacokinetics, compartmental exposure, efficacy and safety. *AIDS*. 2010;24(7):1083-1084. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20386380.
- 9. Pacanowski J, Bollens D, Poirier JM, et al. Efficacy of darunavir despite low plasma trough levels during late pregnancy in an HIV-hepatitis C virus-infected patient. *AIDS*. 2009;23(14):1923-1924. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19710560.
- Furco A, Gosrani B, Nicholas S, et al. Successful use of darunavir, etravirine, enfuvirtide and tenofovir/emtricitabine in pregnant woman with multiclass HIV resistance. *AIDS*. 2009;23(3):434-435. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19188762.
- 11. Sued O, Lattner J, Gun A, et al. Use of darunavir and enfuvirtide in a pregnant woman. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2008;19(12):866-867. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19050223.

Fosamprenavir (Lexiva, FPV)

(Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Fosamprenavir is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category C.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Fosamprenavir and amprenavir were neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. Carcinogenicity studies of fosamprenavir showed an increase in the incidence of hepatocellular adenomas and hepatocellular carcinomas at all doses tested in male mice and at the highest dose tested in female mice. In rats, the incidence of hepatocellular adenomas and thyroid follicular cell adenomas in males (all doses tested) and in females (two highest doses tested) was also increased. Repeat dose studies in rats produced effects consistent with enzyme activation, which predisposes rats, but not humans, to thyroid neoplasms. In rats only, there was an increase in interstitial cell hyperplasia at higher doses and an increase in uterine endometrial adenocarcinoma at the highest dose tested. The incidence of endometrial findings was slightly increased over concurrent controls but was within background range for female rats. Thus, the relevance of the uterine endometrial adenocarcinomas is uncertain. Exposures in the carcinogenicity studies were 0.3- to 0.7 (mice) and 0.7- to 1.4 (rats) times those in humans given 1,400 mg twice daily of fosamprenavir alone and were 0.2- to 0.3 (mice) and 0.3- to 0.7 (rats) times those in humans given 1,400 mg once daily of fosamprenavir plus 200 mg ritonavir once daily or 0.1- to 0.3 (mice) and 0.3- to 0.6 (rats) times those in humans given 700 mg fosamprenavir plus 100 mg ritonavir twice daily.

Reproduction/Fertility

No impairment of fertility or mating was seen in rats at doses providing 3 to 4 times the human exposure to fosamprenavir alone or exposure similar to that with fosamprenavir and ritonavir dosing in humans. No effect was seen on the development or maturation of sperm in rats at these doses.

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

Fosamprenavir was studied in rabbits at 0.8 times and in rats at twice the exposure in humans to fosamprenavir alone and at 0.3 (rabbits) and 0.7 (rats) times the exposure in humans to the combination of fosamprenavir and ritonavir. In rabbits administered fosamprenavir (alone or in combination), the incidence of abortion was increased. In contrast, administration of amprenavir at a lower dose in rabbits was associated with abortions and an increased incidence of minor skeletal variations from deficient ossification of the femur, humerus, and trochlea. Fosamprenavir administered to pregnant rats (at twice human exposure) was associated with a reduction in pup survival and body weights in rats. F1 female rats had an increased time to successful mating, an increased length of gestation, a reduced number of uterine implantation sites per litter, and reduced gestational body weights, compared to controls.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Amprenavir is excreted in the milk of lactating rats.

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

Data on fosamprenavir in pregnant women are very limited. Fosamprenavir pharmacokinetic data have been reported in 26 women during pregnancy and postpartum. Following standard dosing with fosamprenavir 700 mg and ritonavir 100 mg, fosamprenavir area under the curve and 12-hour trough concentration were somewhat lower during pregnancy and higher postpartum, compared to historical data. Fosamprenavir exposure during pregnancy appeared to be adequate for patients without protease inhibitor resistance mutations.¹

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In a small study of women receiving fosamprenavir during pregnancy, the median (range) amprenavir concentration in cord blood was $0.27~(0.09-0.60)~\mu g/mL$, and the median (range) ratio of amprenavir concentration in cord blood to that in maternal plasma at the time of delivery was 0.24~(0.06-0.93). A second small study in pregnancy yielded a similar mean ratio (95% confidence interval) of amprenavir concentration in cord blood to that in maternal plasma at the time of delivery of 0.27~(0.24, 0.30). Whether amprenavir is excreted in human breast milk is unknown.

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

The number of first-trimester exposures to fosamprenavir that have been monitored to date in the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry is insufficient to allow conclusions to be drawn regarding the risk of birth defects.³

- 1. Capparelli EV, Stek A, Best B, et al. Boosted fosamprenavir pharmacokinetics during pregnancy. Presented at: 17th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2010. San Francisco, CA.
- 2. Cespedes MS, Castor D, Ford SL, et al. Steady-state pharmacokinetics, cord blood concentrations, and safety of ritonavir-boosted fosamprenavir in pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;62(5):550-554. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23314414.
- 3. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 Jan 1989 31 July 2014. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2014. Available at http://www.APRegistry.com.

Indinavir (Crixivan, IDV)

(Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Indinavir is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category C.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Indinavir is neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in both *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays. No increased incidence of any tumor types occurred in long-term studies in mice. At the highest dose studied in rats (640 mg/kg/day or 1.3-fold higher than systemic exposure at human therapeutic doses), thyroid adenomas were seen in male rats.

Reproduction/Fertility

No effect of indinavir has been seen on reproductive performance, fertility, or embryo survival in rats.

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

There has been no evidence of teratogenicity or treatment-related effects on embryonic/fetal survival or fetal weights of indinavir in rats, rabbits, or dogs at exposures comparable to, or slightly greater than, therapeutic human exposure. In rats, developmental toxicity manifested by an increase in supernumerary and cervical ribs was observed at doses comparable to those administered to humans. No treatment-related, external or visceral changes were observed in rats. No treatment-related external, visceral, or skeletal changes were seen in rabbits (fetal exposure limited, approximately 3% of maternal levels) or dogs (fetal exposure approximately 50% of maternal levels). Indinavir was administered to Rhesus monkeys during the third trimester (at doses up to 160 mg/kg twice daily) and to neonatal Rhesus monkeys (at doses up to 160 mg/kg twice daily). When administered to neonates, indinavir caused an exacerbation of the transient physiologic hyperbilirubinemia seen in this species after birth; serum bilirubin values were approximately 4-fold greater than controls at 160 mg/kg twice daily. A similar exacerbation did not occur in neonates after *in utero* exposure to indinavir during the third trimester. In Rhesus monkeys, fetal plasma drug levels were approximately 1% to 2% of maternal plasma drug levels approximately 1 hour after maternal dosing at 40, 80, or 160 mg/kg twice daily.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Significant placental passage of indinavir occurs in rats and dogs, but only limited placental transfer occurs in rabbits. Indinavir is excreted in the milk of lactating rats at concentrations slightly greater than maternal levels (milk-to-plasma ratio 1.26 to 1.45).

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

The optimal dosing regimen for use of indinavir in pregnant patients has not been established. Two studies of the pharmacokinetics (PKs) of unboosted indinavir (800 mg 3 times/day) during pregnancy demonstrated significantly lower indinavir plasma concentrations during pregnancy than postpartum. ^{1,2} Use of unboosted indinavir is not recommended in HIV-infected pregnant patients because of the substantially lower antepartum exposures observed in these studies and the limited experience in this patient population.

Several reports have investigated use of indinavir/ritonavir (IDV/r) during pregnancy. In an intensive PK study of 26 Thai pregnant women receiving 400 mg indinavir/100 mg ritonavir twice a day, indinavir plasma concentrations were significantly lower during pregnancy than postpartum. The median trough indinavir concentration was 0.13 μ g/mL; 24% of subjects had trough concentrations below 0.10 μ g/mL, the target trough concentration used in therapeutic drug monitoring programs; and 81% had RNA viral loads <50 copies/mL at delivery.³ In a study of pregnant French women receiving 400 mg indinavir/100 mg ritonavir twice a day, the median indinavir trough concentration was 0.16 μ g/mL, 18% of subjects had trough concentrations below 0.12 μ g/mL, and 93% had HIV RNA level <200 copies/mL at delivery.⁴ In a small

study of 2 patients who received indinavir 800 mg and ritonavir 200 mg twice daily, third-trimester indinavir area under the curve exceeded that for historical non-pregnant controls.⁵ The available data are insufficient to allow for definitive dosing recommendations for use of IDV/r during pregnancy.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In studies of pregnant women receiving unboosted indinavir and their infants, transplacental passage of indinavir was minimal.^{1,6} In a study of Thai pregnant women receiving IDV/r, median cord blood indinavir concentration was 0.12 μg/mL, median maternal plasma delivery concentration was 0.96 μg/mL, and the median ratio between indinavir concentrations in cord blood and maternal plasma at delivery was 0.12.³ It is unknown whether indinavir is excreted in human milk.

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

Although the French Perinatal Cohort reported an association of head and neck birth defects with first trimester exposure to indinavir (3 defects in 350 first-trimester exposures, 0.9%), the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has not observed an increase in birth defects with indinavir.^{7,8} Among cases of first-trimester indinavir exposure reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, defects have been seen in 2.4% (7/289; 95% CI, 1.0% to 4.9%) compared to total prevalence of birth defects in the U.S. population based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance of 2.7%. §

- 1. Unadkat JD, Wara DW, Hughes MD, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of indinavir in human immunodeficiency virus-infected pregnant women. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2007;51(2):783-786. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17158945.
- 2. Hayashi S, Beckerman K, Homma M, Kosel BW, Aweeka FT. Pharmacokinetics of indinavir in HIV-positive pregnant women. *AIDS*. 2000;14(8):1061-1062. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10853990.
- 3. Cressey TR, Best BM, Achalapong J, et al. Reduced indinavir exposure during pregnancy. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2013;76(3):475-483. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23305215.
- 4. Ghosn J, De Montgolfier I, Cornelie C, et al. Antiretroviral therapy with a twice-daily regimen containing 400 milligrams of indinavir and 100 milligrams of ritonavir in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected women during pregnancy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2008;52(4):1542-1544. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18250187.
- 5. Kosel BW, Beckerman KP, Hayashi S, Homma M, Aweeka FT. Pharmacokinetics of nelfinavir and indinavir in HIV-1-infected pregnant women. *AIDS*. 2003;17(8):1195-1199. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12819521.
- Mirochnick M, Dorenbaum A, Holland D, et al. Concentrations of protease inhibitors in cord blood after in utero exposure. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2002;21(9):835-838. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12352805.
- 7. Sibiude J, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Association between prenatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy and birth defects: an analysis of the French perinatal cohort study (ANRS CO1/CO11). *PLoS Med.* 2014;11(4):e1001635. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781315.
- 8. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 Jan 1989–31 July 2014. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2014. Available at http://www.APRegistry.com.

Lopinavir/Ritonavir (Kaletra, LPV/r)

(Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/r) is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category C.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Neither lopinavir nor ritonavir was found to be mutagenic or clastogenic in a battery of *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays. The LPV/r combination was evaluated for carcinogenic potential by oral gavage administration to mice and rats for up to 104 weeks. Results showed an increased incidence of benign hepatocellular adenomas and increased combined incidence of hepatocellular adenomas plus carcinoma in male and female mice and male rats at doses that produced approximately 1.6 to 2.2 times (mice) and 0.5 times (rats) the human exposure at the recommended therapeutic dose of 400 mg/100 mg (based on area under the curve [AUC]_{0-24hr} measurement). Administration of LPV/r did not cause a statistically significant increase in incidence of any other benign or malignant neoplasm in mice or rats.

Reproduction/Fertility

Lopinavir in combination with ritonavir at a 2:1 ratio produced no effects on fertility in male and female rats with exposures approximately 0.7-fold for lopinavir and 1.8-fold for ritonavir of the exposures in humans at the recommended therapeutic dose.

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

No evidence exists of teratogenicity with administration of LPV/r to pregnant rats or rabbits. In rats treated with a maternally toxic dosage (100 mg lopinavir/50 mg ritonavir/kg/day), embryonic and fetal developmental toxicities (e.g., early resorption, decreased fetal viability, decreased fetal body weight, increased incidence of skeletal variations, and skeletal ossification delays) were observed. Drug exposure in the pregnant rats was 0.7-fold for lopinavir and 1.8-fold for ritonavir of the exposures in humans at the recommended therapeutic dose. In a perinatal and postnatal study in rats, a decrease in survival of pups between birth and postnatal Day 21 occurred with exposure to 40 mg lopinavir/20 mg ritonavir/kg/day or greater. In rabbits, no embryonic or fetal developmental toxicities were observed with a maternally toxic dosage, where drug exposure was 0.6-fold for lopinavir and 1-fold for ritonavir of the exposures in humans at the recommended therapeutic dose. In a study of pregnant rats receiving chronic administration of zidovudine, lopinavir, and ritonavir, maternal body weight gain was significantly reduced, but no adverse fetal parameters were observed. In pregnant mice, ritonavir, lopinavir and atazanavir were associated with significantly lower progesterone levels, and the lower progesterone levels directly correlated with lower fetal weight.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

No information is available on placental transfer of lopinavir in animals. Studies in rats show secretion of lopinavir in breast milk.¹

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

The original capsule formulation of LPV/r has been replaced by a tablet formulation that is heat-stable, has improved bioavailability characteristics, and does not have to be administered with food. A,5 Pharmacokinetic (PK) studies of standard adult LPV/r doses (400 mg/100 mg twice a day) using either the capsule or tablet formulations in pregnant women have demonstrated a reduction in lopinavir plasma concentrations during pregnancy of around 30% compared with that in non-pregnant adults. Further reductions in lopinavir exposure by 33% were demonstrated in food-insecure, malnourished pregnant women in Uganda compared to well-nourished, historical pregnant controls. The authors attributed this reduction to decreased bioavailability. Increasing dose of LPV/r during pregnancy to 600 mg/150 mg (tablets) results in lopinavir plasma concentrations equivalent to those seen in non-pregnant adults receiving standard doses. 10,11 Reports

of clinical experience suggest that most, but not all, pregnant women receiving standard LPV/r tablet dosing during pregnancy will have trough lopinavir concentrations that exceed 1.0 mcg/mL, the usual trough concentration target used in the rapeutic drug monitoring programs for antiretroviral-naive subjects, but not the higher trough concentrations recommended for protease inhibitor (PI)-experienced subjects.^{4,7} A population PK study of LPV/r in 154 pregnant women demonstrated that body weight influences lopinavir clearance and volume, with larger women (>100 kg) or women who missed a dose at higher risk for subtherapeutic trough concentrations when taking the standard dose during pregnancy.¹² In one study of 29 women, lopinavir plasma protein binding was reduced during pregnancy, but the resulting increase in free (unbound) drug was insufficient to make up for the reduction in total plasma lopinavir concentration associated with pregnancy. 13 In a study of 12 women, total lopinavir exposure was significantly decreased throughout pregnancy, but unbound AUC and C12 did not differ throughout pregnancy, even with an increased dose of 500/125 mg. ¹⁴ Bonafe, et al. randomized 32 pregnant women to standard dose and 31 pregnant women to the 600/150 mg dose of LPV/r at gestational ages between 14 and 33 weeks. No differences in adverse events were seen between groups. In women with baseline viral loads >50 copies/mL, 45% in the standard dose group had plasma viral loads >50 copies/mL during the last 4 weeks of pregnancy, compared to 10.5% in the increased dose group (P = 0.01). In women with baseline viral loads <50 copies/mL, no difference was seen between groups in viral load measurements in the last 4 weeks of pregnancy. 15

These studies have led some experts to support use of an increased dose of LPV/r in HIV-infected pregnant women during the second and third trimesters, especially in PI-experienced pregnant women and women who start treatment during pregnancy with a baseline viral load >50 copies/mL. If standard doses of LPV/r are used during pregnancy, virologic response and lopinavir drug concentrations, if available, should be monitored. An alternative strategy to increasing LPV/r dosing during pregnancy by using 3 adult 200/50 mg tablets to provide a dose of 600/150 mg is to add a pediatric LPV/r tablet (100/25 mg) to the standard dose of 2 adult 200/50 mg tablets to provide a dose of 500/125 mg. Once-daily dosing of LPV/r is not recommended in pregnancy because no data exist to address whether drug levels are adequate with such administration.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Lopinavir crosses the human placenta; in the P1026s PK study, the average ratio of lopinavir concentration in cord blood to maternal plasma at delivery was 0.20 ± 0.13 . In contrast, in a study of plasma and hair drug concentration in 51 mother-infant pairs in Uganda receiving LPV/r during pregnancy and breastfeeding, infant plasma levels at delivery and hair levels at age 12 weeks suggested significant in utero transfer: 41% of infants had detectable plasma lopinavir concentrations at birth and mean infant-to-maternal-hair concentrations at 12 weeks postpartum were 0.87 for lopinavir. However, transfer during breastfeeding was not observed, and no infant had detectable plasma lopinavir levels at 12 weeks. Lopinavir concentrations in human breast milk are very low to undetectable and lopinavir concentrations in breastfeeding infants whose mothers received lopinavir are not clinically significant. $^{16-20}$

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

The French Perinatal Cohort found no association between birth defects and lopinavir or ritonavir with 85% power to detect a 1.5-fold increase.²¹ The Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study found no association between lopinavir and congenital anomalies.²² In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to LPV/r have been monitored for detection of at least a 2-fold increase in risk of overall birth defects. No such increase in birth defects has been observed with LPV/r. Among cases of first-trimester exposure to LPV/r reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 2.2% (26 of 1174; 95% CI, 1.4% to 3.2%) compared with a total prevalence of 2.7% in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.²³

Safety

LPV/r oral solution contains 42.4% (volume/volume) alcohol and 15.3% (weight/volume) propylene glycol. Reduced hepatic metabolic and kidney excretory function in newborns can lead to accumulation of lopinavir

as well as alcohol and propylene glycol, resulting in adverse events such as serious cardiac, renal, metabolic, or respiratory problems. Preterm babies may be at increased risk because their metabolism and elimination of lopinavir, propylene glycol, and alcohol are further reduced. Post-marketing surveillance has identified 10 neonates (i.e., babies aged <4 weeks), nine of whom were born prematurely, who received LPV/r and experienced life-threatening events.²⁴ In a separate report comparing 50 HIV-exposed newborns treated with LPV/r after birth to 108 HIV-exposed neonates treated with zidovudine alone, elevated concentrations of 17-hydoxyprogesterone and dehydroepiandrosterone-sulfate, consistent with impairment of 21α-hydroxylase activity, were seen only in the lopinavir-exposed infants. All term infants were asymptomatic but three of eight preterm infants had life-threatening symptoms, including hyponatremia, hyperkalemia, and cardiogenic shock, consistent with adrenal insufficiency.²⁵ LPV/r oral solution should not be administered to neonates before a postmenstrual age (first day of the mother's last menstrual period to birth, plus the time elapsed after birth) of 42 weeks and a postnatal age of at least 14 days has been attained.

- 1. Lopinavir/Ritonavir (KALETRA) [package insert] AbbVie. Revised January 2015. Available at http://www.rxabbvie.com/pdf/kaletratabpi.pdf and http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda docs/label/2013/021226s037lbl.pdf. Accessed May 22, 2015.
- 2. F CL, Simoes RS, Araujo Junior Corresponding Author E, Oliveira Filho RM, Kulay Junior L, Nakamura MU. Highly active antiretroviral therapy during gestation: effects on a rat model of pregnancy. *Ceska gynekologie/Ceska lekarska spolecnost J. Ev. Purkyne*. 2014;79(2):128-133. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24874827.
- 3. Papp E, Mohammadi H, Loutfy MR, et al. HIV protease inhibitor use during pregnancy is associated with decreased progesterone levels, suggesting a potential mechanism contributing to fetal growth restriction. *J Infect Dis*. 2015;211(1):10-18. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25030058.
- 4. Khuong-Josses MA, Azerad D, Boussairi A, Ekoukou D. Comparison of lopinavir level between the two formulations (soft-gel capsule and tablet) in HIV-infected pregnant women. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2007;8(4):254-255. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17720666.
- 5. Else LJ, Douglas M, Dickinson L, Back DJ, Khoo SH, Taylor GP. Improved oral bioavailability of lopinavir in melt-extruded tablet formulation reduces impact of third trimester on lopinavir plasma concentrations. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2012;56(2):816-824. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22106215.
- 6. Stek AM, Mirochnick M, Capparelli E, et al. Reduced lopinavir exposure during pregnancy. *AIDS*. 2006;20(15):1931-1939. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16988514.
- 7. Bouillon-Pichault M, Jullien V, Azria E, et al. Population analysis of the pregnancy-related modifications in lopinavir pharmacokinetics and their possible consequences for dose adjustment. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2009;63(6):1223-1232. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19389715.
- 8. Ramautarsing RA, van der Lugt J, Gorowara M, et al. Thai HIV-1-infected women do not require a dose increase of lopinavir/ritonavir during the third trimester of pregnancy. *AIDS*. 2011;25(10):1299-1303. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21516029.
- 9. Bartelink IH, Savic RM, Mwesigwa J, et al. Pharmacokinetics of lopinavir/ritonavir and efavirenz in food insecure HIV-infected pregnant and breastfeeding women in Tororo, Uganda. *J Clin Pharmacol*. 2014;54(2):121-132. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24038035.
- 10. Mirochnick M, Best BM, Stek AM, et al. Lopinavir exposure with an increased dose during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2008;49(5):485-491. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18989231.
- 11. Best BM, Stek AM, Mirochnick M, et al. Lopinavir tablet pharmacokinetics with an increased dose during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2010;54(4):381-388. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20632458.
- 12. Cressey TR, Urien S, Capparelli EV, et al. Impact of body weight and missed doses on lopinavir concentrations with standard and increased lopinavir/ritonavir doses during late pregnancy. *J Antimicrob Chemother*: 2015;70(1):217-224. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25261418.
- 13. Aweeka FT, Stek A, Best BM, et al. Lopinavir protein binding in HIV-1-infected pregnant women. *HIV Med*. 2010;11(4):232-238. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20002783.
- 14. Patterson KB, Dumond JB, Prince HA, et al. Protein binding of lopinavir and ritonavir during 4 phases of pregnancy:

- implications for treatment guidelines. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;63(1):51-58. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23221983.
- 15. Bonafe SM, Costa DA, Vaz MJ, et al. A randomized controlled trial to assess safety, tolerability, and antepartum viral load with increased lopinavir/ritonavir dosage in pregnancy. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2013;27(11):589-595. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24138537.
- 16. Gandhi M, Mwesigwa J, Aweeka F, et al. Hair and plasma data show that lopinavir, ritonavir, and efavirenz all transfer from mother to infant in utero, but only efavirenz transfers via breastfeeding. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;63(5):578-584. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24135775.
- 17. Rezk NL, White N, Bridges AS, et al. Studies on antiretroviral drug concentrations in breast milk: validation of a liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometric method for the determination of 7 anti-human immunodeficiency virus medications. *Ther Drug Monit*. 2008;30(5):611-619. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18758393.
- 18. Shapiro RL, Rossi S, Ogwu A, et al. Therapeutic levels of lopinavir in late pregnancy and abacavir passage into breast milk in the Mma Bana Study, Botswana. *Antivir Ther*. 2013;18(4):585-590. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23183881.
- 19. Palombi L, Pirillo MF, Andreotti M, et al. Antiretroviral prophylaxis for breastfeeding transmission in Malawi: drug concentrations, virological efficacy and safety. *Antivir Ther*. 2012;17(8):1511-1519. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22910456.
- 20. Corbett AH, Kayira D, White NR, et al. Antiretroviral pharmacokinetics in mothers and breastfeeding infants from 6 to 24 weeks post-partum: results of the BAN Study. *Antivir Ther*. 2014;19(6):587-595. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24464632.
- 21. Sibiude J, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Association between prenatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy and birth defects: an analysis of the French perinatal cohort study (ANRS CO1/CO11). *PLoS Med.* 2014;11(4):e1001635. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781315.
- 22. Williams PL, Crain M, Yildirim C, et al. Congenital anomalies and in utero antiretroviral exposure in human immunodeficiency virus-exposed uninfected infants. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2015;169(1):45-55. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25383770.
- 23. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 Jan 1989—31 July 2014. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2014.
- 24. Boxwell D, Cao K, Lewis L, Marcus K, Nikhar B. Neonatal toxicity of Kaletra oral solution: LPV, ethanol or prophylene glycol? Presented at: 18th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2011. Boston, MA.
- 25. Simon A, Warszawski J, Kariyawasam D, et al. Association of prenatal and postnatal exposure to lopinavir-ritonavir and adrenal dysfunction among uninfected infants of HIV-infected mothers. *JAMA*. 2011;306(1):70-78. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21730243.

Nelfinavir (Viracept, NFV)

(Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Nelfinavir is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category B.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Nelfinavir was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. However, incidence of thyroid follicular cell adenomas and carcinomas was increased over baseline in male rats receiving nelfinavir dosages of 300 mg/kg/day or higher (equal to a systemic exposure similar to that in humans at therapeutic doses) and female rats receiving 1000 mg/kg/day (equal to a systemic exposure 3-fold higher than that in humans at therapeutic doses).

Reproduction/Fertility

No effect of nelfinavir has been seen on reproductive performance, fertility, or embryo survival in rats at exposures comparable to human therapeutic exposure. Additional studies in rats indicated that exposure to nelfinavir in females from mid-pregnancy through lactation had no effect on the survival, growth, and development of the offspring to weaning. Maternal exposure to nelfinavir also did not affect subsequent reproductive performance of the offspring.

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

No evidence of teratogenicity has been observed in pregnant rats at exposures comparable to human exposure and in rabbits with exposures significantly less than human exposure.

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

A Phase I/II safety and pharmacokinetic (PK) study (PACTG 353) of nelfinavir in combination with zidovudine and lamivudine was conducted in pregnant HIV-infected women and their infants.¹ In the first 9 pregnant HIV-infected women enrolled in the study, nelfinavir administered at a dose of 750 mg three times daily produced drug exposures that were variable and generally lower than those reported in non-pregnant adults with both twice- and three-times-daily dosing. Therefore, the study was modified to evaluate an increased dose of nelfinavir given twice daily (1250 mg twice daily), which resulted in adequate levels of the drug in pregnancy. However, in two other small studies of women given 1250 mg nelfinavir twice daily in the second and third trimesters, drug concentrations in the second and third trimesters were somewhat lower than in non-pregnant women.^{2,3}

In a PK study of combination therapy including the new nelfinavir 625-mg tablet formulation (given as 1250 mg twice daily) in 25 women at 30 to 36 weeks' gestation (and 12 at 6–12 weeks postpartum), peak levels and area under the curve were lower in the third trimester than postpartum.⁴ Only 16% (4 of 25) of women during the third trimester and 8% (1/12) of women postpartum had trough values greater than the suggested minimum trough of 800 ng/mL; however, viral load was <400 copies/mL in 96% of women in the third trimester and 86% postpartum.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In a Phase I study in pregnant women and their infants (PACTG 353), transplacental passage of nelfinavir was minimal. In addition, in a study of cord blood samples from 38 women treated with nelfinavir during pregnancy, the cord blood nelfinavir concentration was less than the assay limit of detection in 24 (63%), and the cord blood concentration was low (median, $0.35~\mu g/mL$) in the remaining 14 women. Among 20 motherinfant pairs in the Netherlands, the cord blood-to-maternal-plasma ratio for nelfinavir was 0.14 compared to 0.67 for nevirapine and 0.24 for lopinavir.

Nelfinavir also has low breast milk passage. In a PK study conducted in Kisumu, Kenya, concentrations of nelfinavir and its active metabolite, M8, were measured in maternal plasma and breast milk from 26 mothers receiving nelfinavir as part of combination antiretroviral therapy and from their 27 infants at birth, 2, 6, 14, and 24 weeks. Peak nelfinavir concentrations were recorded in maternal plasma and breast milk at Week 2. Median breast milk-to-plasma ratio was 0.12 for nelfinavir and 0.03 for its active metabolite (i.e., M8). Nelfinavir and M8 concentrations were below the limit of detection in 20/28 (71%) of infant plasma dried blood spots tested from nine infants over time points from delivery though Week 24. Overall transfer to breast milk was low and resulted in non-significant exposure to nelfinavir among breastfed infants through age 24 weeks.

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to nelfinavir have been monitored to be able to detect at least a 1.5-fold increased risk of overall birth defects and a 2-fold increased risk of birth defects in the more common classes of birth defects—the cardiovascular and genitourinary systems. No such increase in birth defects has been observed with nelfinavir. Among cases of first-trimester nelfinavir exposure reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, prevalence of birth defects was 3.9% (47 of 1,214 births; 95% CI, 2.8% to 5.1%) compared with a 2.7% total prevalence in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.8

- 1. Bryson YJ, Mirochnick M, Stek A, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of nelfinavir when used in combination with zidovudine and lamivudine in HIV-infected pregnant women: Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group (PACTG) Protocol 353. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2008;9(2):115-125. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18474496.
- 2. Villani P, Floridia M, Pirillo MF, et al. Pharmacokinetics of nelfinavir in HIV-1-infected pregnant and nonpregnant women. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2006;62(3):309-315. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16934047.
- 3. Fang A, Valluri SR, O'Sullivan MJ, et al. Safety and pharmacokinetics of nelfinavir during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy and postpartum. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2012;13(1):46-59. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22306587.
- 4. Read JS, Best BM, Stek AM, et al. Pharmacokinetics of new 625 mg nelfinavir formulation during pregnancy and postpartum. *HIV Med*. 2008;9(10):875-882. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18795962.
- 5. Mirochnick M, Dorenbaum A, Holland D, et al. Concentrations of protease inhibitors in cord blood after in utero exposure. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2002;21(9):835-838. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12352805.
- 6. van Hoog S, Boer K, Nellen J, Scherpbier H, Godfried MH. Transplacental passage of nevirapine, nelfinavir and lopinavir. *The Netherlands Journal of Medicine*. 2012;70(2):102-103. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22418759.
- 7. Weidle PJ, Zeh C, Martin A, et al. Nelfinavir and its active metabolite, hydroxy-t-butylamidenelfinavir (M8), are transferred in small quantities to breast milk and do not reach biologically significant concentrations in breast-feeding infants whose mothers are taking nelfinavir. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2011;55(11):5168-5171. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21876052.
- 8. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 Jan 1989–31 July 2014. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2014. Available at http://www.APRegistry.com.

Ritonavir (Norvir, RTV)

(Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Ritonavir is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category B.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Ritonavir was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. Carcinogenicity studies in mice and rats have been completed. In male mice, a dose-dependent increase in adenomas of the liver and combined adenomas and carcinomas of the liver was observed at levels of 50, 100, or 200 mg/kg/day; based on area under the curve, exposure in male mice at the highest dose was approximately 0.3-fold that in male humans at the recommended therapeutic dose. No carcinogenic effects were observed in female mice with exposures 0.6-fold that of female humans at the recommended therapeutic dose. No carcinogenic effects were observed in rats at exposures up to 6% of recommended therapeutic human exposure.

Reproduction/Fertility

No effect of ritonavir has been seen on reproductive performance or fertility in rats at drug exposures 40% (male) and 60% (female) of that achieved with human therapeutic dosing; higher doses were not feasible because of hepatic toxicity in the rodents.

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

No ritonavir-related teratogenicity has been observed in rats or rabbits. Developmental toxicity, including early resorptions, decreased body weight, ossification delays, and developmental variations such as wavy ribs and enlarged fontanelles, was observed in rats; however, these effects occurred only at maternally toxic dosages (exposure equivalent to 30% of human therapeutic exposure). In addition, a slight increase in cryptorchidism was also noted in rats at exposures equivalent to 22% of the human therapeutic dose. In rabbits, developmental toxicity (resorptions, decreased litter size, and decreased fetal weight) was observed only at maternally toxic doses (1.8 times human therapeutic exposure based on body surface area).

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Transplacental passage of ritonavir has been observed in rats with fetal tissue-to-maternal-serum ratios >1.0 at 24 hours post-dose in mid- and late-gestation fetuses.

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

A Phase I/II safety and pharmacokinetic study (PACTG 354) of ritonavir (500 or 600 mg twice daily) in combination with zidovudine and lamivudine in pregnant HIV-infected women showed lower levels of ritonavir during pregnancy than postpartum.¹ Ritonavir concentrations are also reduced during pregnancy versus postpartum when the drug is used at a low dose (100 mg) to boost the concentrations of other protease inhibitors.^{2,3}

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In a human placental perfusion model, the clearance index of ritonavir was very low, with little accumulation in the fetal compartment and no accumulation in placental tissue.⁴ In a Phase I study of pregnant women and their infants (PACTG 354), transplacental passage of ritonavir was minimal, with an average cord blood-to-maternal-delivery concentration ratio of 5.3%.¹ In a study of cord blood samples from six women treated with ritonavir during pregnancy, the cord blood concentration was less than the assay limit of detection in 5 of the women and was only 0.38 micrograms/mL in the remaining woman.⁵ In contrast, in a study of plasma and hair drug concentration in 51 mother-infant pairs in Uganda receiving lopinavir/ritonavir-based therapy during pregnancy and breastfeeding, infant plasma levels at delivery and hair levels at age 12 weeks

suggested *in utero* transfer of ritonavir: 2% of infants had detectable plasma ritonavir concentrations at birth while mean infant-to-maternal-hair concentration at 12 weeks postpartum was 0.47 for ritonavir.⁶ However, transfer during breastfeeding was not observed, with no infant having detectable ritonavir plasma levels at 12 weeks

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to ritonavir have been monitored to be able to detect at least a 2-fold increase in risk of overall birth defects. No such increase in birth defects has been observed with ritonavir. Among cases of first-trimester ritonavir exposure reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 2.4% (60 of 2,542 births; 95% CI, 1.8% to 3.0%) compared with a total prevalence of 2.7% in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.⁷

- 1. Scott GB, Rodman JH, Scott WA, al e. Pharmacokinetic and virologic response to ritonavir (RTV) in combination with zidovudine (ZDV) and lamivudine (3TC) in HIV-10-infected pregnant women and their infants. Presented at: 9th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2002. Seattle, WA.
- 2. Best BM, Stek AM, Mirochnick M, et al. Lopinavir tablet pharmacokinetics with an increased dose during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2010;54(4):381-388. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20632458.
- 3. Mirochnick M, Best BM, Stek AM, et al. Atazanavir pharmacokinetics with and without tenofovir during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2011;56(5):412-419. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21283017.
- 4. Casey BM, Bawdon RE. Placental transfer of ritonavir with zidovudine in the ex vivo placental perfusion model. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1998;179(3 Pt 1):758-761. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9757985.
- 5. Mirochnick M, Dorenbaum A, Holland D, et al. Concentrations of protease inhibitors in cord blood after in utero exposure. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2002;21(9):835-838. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12352805.
- 6. Gandhi M, Mwesigwa J, Aweeka F, et al. Hair and plasma data show that lopinavir, ritonavir, and efavirenz all transfer from mother to infant in utero, but only efavirenz transfers via breastfeeding. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;63(5):578-584. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24135775.
- 7. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 Jan 1989–31 July 2014. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2014. Available at http://www.APRegistry.com.

Pharmacoenhancers

Glossary of Terms for Supplement

Carcinogenic = producing or tending to produce cancer

- Some agents, such as certain chemicals or forms of radiation, are both mutagenic and clastogenic.
- · Genetic mutations and/or chromosomal damage can contribute to cancer formation.

Clastogenic = causing disruption of or breakages in chromosomes

Genotoxic = damaging to genetic material such as DNA and chromosomes

Mutagenic = inducing or capable of inducing genetic mutation

Teratogenic = interfering with fetal development and resulting in birth defects

Cobicistat (Tybost, COBI)

(Last updated August 6, 2015, last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Cobicistat is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category B.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

At cobicistat exposures 7 times and 16 times the human systemic exposure, no increases in tumor incidence were seen in male and female mice. In rats, an increased incidence of follicular cell adenomas and/or carcinomas in the thyroid gland was observed at doses up to twice the typical human exposure. The follicular cell findings are considered rat-specific, and not relevant to humans.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

No effect has been seen on fertility in male or female rats.¹

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

Rats and rabbits treated with cobicistat during pregnancy at 1.4 and 3.3 times higher than the recommended human exposure have shown no evidence of teratogenicity.¹

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

No information is available on placental passage of cobicistat. Studies in rats have shown that cobicistat is secreted in breast milk.¹

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

No pharmacokinetic studies of cobicistat have been conducted in pregnant women.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

No data are available on placental or breast milk passage of cobicistat in humans.

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, insufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to cobicistat in humans have been monitored to be able to make a risk determination. Cobicistat is not currently reported separately in the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registery. All reports of elvitegravir include exposure to cobicistat.²

- 1. Cobicistat (Tybost) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2014. Available at http://www.gilead.com/~/media/Files/pdfs/medicines/hiv/tybost/tybost/pi.pdf. 2014. Accessed July 1, 2015.
- Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 Jan 1989 - 31 July 2014. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2014. Available at http://www.APRegistry.com.

Saquinavir (Invirase, SQV)

(Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Saquinavir is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category B.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Saquinavir was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. Carcinogenicity studies found no indication of carcinogenic activity in rats and mice administered saquinavir for approximately 2 years at plasma exposures approximately 60% of those obtained in humans at the recommended therapeutic dose (rats) and at exposures equivalent to those in humans at the recommended therapeutic dose (mice).

Reproduction/Fertility

No effect of saquinavir has been seen on reproductive performance, fertility, or embryo survival in rats. Because of limited bioavailability of saquinavir in animals, the maximal plasma exposures achieved in rats were approximately 26% of those obtained in humans at the recommended clinical dose boosted with ritonavir.

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

No evidence of embryotoxicity or teratogenicity of saquinavir has been found in rabbits or rats. Because of limited bioavailability of saquinavir in animals and/or dosing limitations, the plasma exposures (area under the curve [AUC] values) in the respective species were approximately 29% (using rat) and 21% (using rabbit) of those obtained in humans at the recommended clinical dose boosted with ritonavir.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Placental transfer of saquinavir in the rat and rabbit was minimal. Saquinavir is excreted in the milk of lactating rats.

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

Studies of saquinavir pharmacokinetics (PK) in pregnancy with the original hard-gel capsule formulation demonstrated reduced saquinavir exposures compared to postpartum and dosing recommendations for 800 to 1200 mg saquinavir with 100 mg ritonavir. The PK of saquinavir with the current 500-mg tablets boosted with ritonavir at a dose of 1000 mg saquinavir/100 mg ritonavir given twice daily has been studied in pregnant women in two studies. Tone study performed intensive sampling on HIV-infected pregnant women at 20 weeks' gestation (n = 16), 33 weeks' gestation (n = 31), and 6 weeks postpartum (n = 9). PK parameters were comparable during pregnancy and postpartum. The second study performed intensive sampling in 14 pregnant women at 24 and 34 weeks' gestation and 6 weeks postpartum. Saquinavir AUC was similar during the second trimester and postpartum. Although there was a 50% reduction in saquinavir AUC in the third trimester compared to postpartum, no subject experienced loss of virologic control and all but one maintained adequate third-trimester trough levels of saquinavir. In an observational study of saquinavir concentrations collected as part of clinical care between 11 and 13 hours after dosing with the tablet formulation (1000 mg saquinavir/100 mg ritonavir) in HIV-infected pregnant women during the third trimester (n = 20) and at delivery (n = 5), saquinavir plasma concentrations averaged around 1.15 mg/L and exceeded the usual trough drug concentration target for saquinavir of 0.1 mg/L in all but one subject.

One study of 42 pregnant women receiving a combination antiretroviral drug regimen that included ritonavir-boosted saquinavir reported abnormal transaminase levels in 13 women (31%) within 2 to 4 weeks of treatment initiation, although the abnormalities were mild (toxicity Grade 1–2 in most, Grade 3 in 1 woman). In a study of 62 pregnant women on a regimen that included saquinavir/ritonavir, one severe

adverse event occurred (maternal Grade 3 hepatotoxicity).⁷

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In a Phase I study in pregnant women and their infants (PACTG 386), transplacental passage of saquinavir was minimal.¹⁰ In addition, in a study of eight women treated with saquinavir during pregnancy, the cord blood concentration of saquinavir was less than the assay limit of detection in samples from all women.¹¹ It is not known if saquinavir is excreted in human milk.

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

Too few first-trimester saquinavir exposures have been monitored by the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry to be able to accurately calculate the prevalence of birth defects in exposed cases.¹²

- 1. Hanlon M, O'Dea S, Woods S, et al. Evaluation of saquinavir/ritonavir based regimen for prevention of MTCT of HIV. Presented at: 13th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2006. Denver, CO.
- 2. Khan W, Hawkins DA, Moyle G, et al. Pharmacokinetics (PK), safety, tolerability and efficacy of saquinavir hard-gel capsules/ritonavir (SQV/r) plus 2 nucleosides in HIV-infected pregnant women. Presented at: XV International AIDS Conference. 2004. Bangkok, Thailand.
- 3. Lopez-Cortes LF, Ruiz-Valderas R, Pascual R, Rodriguez M, Marin Niebla A. Once-daily saquinavir-hgc plus low-dose ritonavir (1200/100 mg) in HIV-infected pregnant women: pharmacokinetics and efficacy. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2003;4(3):227-229. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12815561.
- 4. Acosta EP, Bardeguez A, Zorrilla CD, et al. Pharmacokinetics of saquinavir plus low-dose ritonavir in human immunodeficiency virus-infected pregnant women. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2004;48(2):430-436. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14742191.
- 5. Lopez-Cortes LF, Ruiz-Valderas R, Rivero A, et al. Efficacy of low-dose boosted saquinavir once daily plus nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors in pregnant HIV-1-infected women with a therapeutic drug monitoring strategy. *Ther Drug Monit*. 2007;29(2):171-176. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17417070.
- 6. van der Lugt J, Colbers A, Molto J, et al. The pharmacokinetics, safety and efficacy of boosted saquinavir tablets in HIV type-1-infected pregnant women. *Antivir Ther*. 2009;14(3):443-450. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19474478.
- 7. Brunet C, Reliquet V, Jovelin T, et al. Effectiveness and safety of saquinavir/ritonavir in HIV-infected pregnant women: INEMA cohort. *Medecine et maladies infectieuses*. 2012;42(9):421-428. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22938775.
- 8. Martinez-Rebollar M, Lonca M, Perez I, et al. Pharmacokinetic study of saquinavir 500 mg plus ritonavir (1000/100 mg twice a day) in HIV-positive pregnant women. *Ther Drug Monit*. 2011;33(6):772-777. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22105596.
- 9. Hanlon M, O'Dea S, Clarke S, et al. Maternal hepatotoxicity with boosted saquinavir as part of combination ART in pregnancy. Presented at: 14th Conference on Retoviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2007. Los Angeles, CA.
- 10. Zorrilla CD, Van Dyke R, Bardeguez A, et al. Clinical response and tolerability to and safety of saquinavir with low-dose ritonavir in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected mothers and their infants. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2007;51(6):2208-2210. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17420209.
- 11. Mirochnick M, Dorenbaum A, Holland D, et al. Concentrations of protease inhibitors in cord blood after in utero exposure. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2002;21(9):835-838. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12352805.
- 12. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral pregnancy registry international interim report for 1 Jan 1989–31 July 2013. 2013. Available at http://www.APRegistry.com. Accessed March 5, 2014.

Tipranavir (Aptivus, TPV)

(Last reviewed August 6, 2015; last updated August 6, 2015)

Tipranavir is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category C.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Tipranavir was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a battery of five in vitro and animal in vivo screening tests. Long-term carcinogenicity studies in mice and rats have been conducted with tipranavir. Mice were administered 30, 150, or 300 mg/kg/day tipranavir, 150/40 mg/kg/day tipranavir/ritonavir (TPV/r) in combination, or 40 mg/kg/day ritonavir. Incidence of benign hepatocellular adenomas and combined adenomas/carcinomas was increased in females of all groups except females given the low dose of tipranavir. Such tumors also were increased in male mice at the high dose of tipranavir and in the TPV/r combination group. Incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma was increased in female mice given the high dose of tipranavir and in both sexes receiving TPV/r. The combination of tipranavir and ritonavir caused an exposure-related increase in this same tumor type in both sexes. The clinical relevance of the carcinogenic findings in mice is unknown. Systemic exposures in mice (based on area under the curve [AUC] or maximum plasma concentration) at all dose levels tested were below those in humans receiving the recommended dose level. Rats were administered 30, 100, or 300 mg/kg/day tipranavir, 100/26.7 mg/kg/day TPV/r in combination, or 10 mg/kg/day ritonavir. No drug-related findings were observed in male rats. At the highest dose of tipranavir, an increased incidence of benign follicular cell adenomas of the thyroid gland was observed in female rats. Based on are under the curve measurements, exposure to tipranavir at this dose level in rats is approximately equivalent to exposure in humans at the recommended therapeutic dose. This finding is probably not relevant to humans because thyroid follicular cell adenomas are considered a rodent-specific effect secondary to enzyme induction.

Reproduction/Fertility

Tipranavir had no effect on fertility or early embryonic development in rats at exposure levels similar to human exposures at the recommended clinical dose (500/200 mg TPV/r BID).

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

No teratogenicity was detected in studies of pregnant rats and rabbits at exposure levels approximately 1.1-fold and 0.1-fold human exposure. Fetal toxicity (decreased ossification and body weights) was observed in rats exposed to 400 mg/kg/day or more of tipranavir (~0.8-fold human exposure). Fetal toxicity was not seen in rats and rabbits at levels of 0.2-fold and 0.1-fold human exposures. In rats, no adverse effects on development were seen at levels of 40 mg/kg/day (~0.2-fold human exposure), but at 400 mg/kg/day (~0.8-fold human exposure), growth inhibition in pups and maternal toxicity were seen.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

No animal studies of placental or breast milk passage of tipranavir have been reported.

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

No studies of tipranavir have been completed in pregnant women or neonates.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

It is unknown if passage of tipranavir through the placenta or breast milk occurs in humans. A single case report described relatively high levels of tipranavir in the third trimester and relatively high placental transfer (0.41), as measured by cord blood.¹

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

The number of first-trimester exposures to tipranavir that have been monitored to date in the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry is insufficient to allow conclusions to be drawn regarding risk of birth defects.²

- 1. Weizsaecker K, Kurowski M, Hoffmeister B, Schurmann D, Feiterna-Sperling C. Pharmacokinetic profile in late pregnancy and cord blood concentration of tipranavir and enfuvirtide. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2011;22(5):294-295. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21571982.
- 2. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 Jan 1989–31 July 2014. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2014. Available at http://www.APRegistry.com.

Entry Inhibitors

Glossary of Terms for Supplement

Carcinogenic = producing or tending to produce cancer

- · Some agents, such as certain chemicals or forms of radiation, are both mutagenic and clastogenic.
- · Genetic mutations and/or chromosomal damage can contribute to cancer formation.

Clastogenic = causing disruption of or breakages in chromosomes

Genotoxic = damaging to genetic material such as DNA and chromosomes

Mutagenic = inducing or capable of inducing genetic mutation

Teratogenic = interfering with fetal development and resulting in birth defects

Drugs in this class of antiretroviral (ARV) drugs inhibits viral binding or fusion of HIV to host target cells. Binding of the viral envelope glycoprotein (gp)120 to the CD4 receptor induces conformational changes that enable gp120 to interact with a chemokine receptor such as CCR5 or CXCR4 on the host cell; binding of gp120 to the co-receptor causes subsequent conformational changes in the viral transmembrane gp41, exposing the fusion peptide of gp41, which inserts into the cell membrane. A helical region of gp41, called HR1, then interacts with a similar helical region, HR2, on gp41, resulting in a zipping together of the two helices and mediating the fusion of cellular and viral membranes. Enfuvirtide, which requires subcutaneous (SQ) administration, is a synthetic 36-amino-acid peptide derived from a naturally occurring motif within the HR2 domain of viral gp41, and the drug binds to the HR1 region, preventing the HR1-HR2 interaction and correct folding of gp41 into its secondary structure, thereby inhibiting virus-cell fusion. Enfuvirtide was approved for use in combination with other ARV drugs to treat advanced HIV infection in adults and children aged 6 years or older. Maraviroc interferes with viral entry at the chemokine co-receptor level; it is a CCR5 co-receptor antagonist approved for combination therapy for HIV infection in adults infected with CCR5-tropic virus.

Enfuvirtide (Fuzeon, T-20)

(Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Enfuvirtide is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category B.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Enfuvirtide was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. Long-term animal carcinogenicity studies of enfuvirtide have not been conducted.

Reproduction/Fertility

Reproductive toxicity has been evaluated in rats and rabbits. Enfuvirtide produced no adverse effects on fertility of male or female rats at doses up to 30 mg/kg/day administered subcutaneously (1.6 times the maximum recommended adult human daily dose on a body surface area basis).

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

Studies in rats and rabbits have shown no evidence of teratogenicity or effect on reproductive function with enfuvirtide.¹

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Studies in rats and rabbits revealed no evidence of harm to the fetus from enfuvirtide administered in doses up to 27 times and 3.2 times, respectively, the adult human daily dose (on a body surface area basis). Studies of radiolabeled enfuvirtide administered to lactating rats indicated radioactivity in the milk; however, it is not

known if this reflected radiolabeled enfuvirtide or metabolites (amino acid and peptide fragments) of enfuvirtide.

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

Data on the use of enfuvirtide in human pregnancy are limited to case reports of a small number of women treated with the drug.²⁻⁸

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In vitro and *in vivo* studies suggest that enfuvirtide does not readily cross the human placenta. Published reports of a total of eight peripartum patients and their neonates and data from an *ex vivo* human placental cotyledon perfusion model demonstrated minimal placental passage of enfuvirtide.^{2,5,9-11}

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry and in a national cohort of pregnant women with HIV infection in Italy, insufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to enfuvirtide in humans have been monitored to be able to make a risk determination. 12,13

- Enfuvirtide (Fuzeon) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2012. Available at http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2012/021481s025lbl.pdf/. Accessed July 1, 2015.
- 2. Brennan-Benson P, Pakianathan M, Rice P, et al. Enfurvitide prevents vertical transmission of multidrug-resistant HIV-1 in pregnancy but does not cross the placenta. *AIDS*. 2006;20(2):297-299. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16511429.
- 3. Cohan D, Feakins C, Wara D, et al. Perinatal transmission of multidrug-resistant HIV-1 despite viral suppression on an enfuvirtide-based treatment regimen. *AIDS*. 2005;19(9):989-990. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15905684.
- 4. Meyohas MC, Lacombe K, Carbonne B, Morand-Joubert L, Girard PM. Enfuvirtide prescription at the end of pregnancy to a multi-treated HIV-infected woman with virological breakthrough. *AIDS*. 2004;18(14):1966-1968. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15353987.
- Weizsaecker K, Kurowski M, Hoffmeister B, Schurmann D, Feiterna-Sperling C. Pharmacokinetic profile in late pregnancy and cord blood concentration of tipranavir and enfuvirtide. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2011;22(5):294-295. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21571982.
- 6. Furco A, Gosrani B, Nicholas S, et al. Successful use of darunavir, etravirine, enfuvirtide and tenofovir/emtricitabine in pregnant woman with multiclass HIV resistance. *AIDS*. 2009;23(3):434-435. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19188762.
- 7. Sued O, Lattner J, Gun A, et al. Use of darunavir and enfuvirtide in a pregnant woman. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2008;19(12):866-867. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19050223.
- 8. Madeddu G, Calia GM, Campus ML, et al. Successful prevention of multidrug resistant HIV mother-to-child transmission with enfuvirtide use in late pregnancy. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2008;19(9):644-645. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18725561.
- 9. Ceccaldi PF, Ferreira C, Gavard L, Gil S, Peytavin G, Mandelbrot L. Placental transfer of enfuvirtide in the ex vivo human placenta perfusion model. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2008;198(4):433 e431-432. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18241815.
- 10. Peters PJ, Polle N, Zeh C, et al. Nevirapine-associated hepatotoxicity and rash among HIV-infected pregnant women in Kenya. *J Int Assoc Physicians AIDS Care (Chic)*. 2012;11(2):142-149. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22020069.
- 11. Moisan A, Desmoyer A, Bourgeois-Moine A, et al. Placental transfer of antiretroviral drugs in HIV-infected women: a retrospective study from 2002 to 2009. Abstract 1. Presented at: 11th International Workshop on Clinical Pharmacology of HIV Therapy. 2010. Sorrento, Italy.
- 12. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 Jan 1989–31 July 2014. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2014. Available at http://www.APRegistry.com.
- 13. Floridia M, Mastroiacovo P, Tamburrini E, et al. Birth defects in a national cohort of pregnant women with HIV infection in Italy, 2001–2011. *BJOG*. 2013;120(12):1466-1475. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23721372.

Maraviroc (Selzentry, MVC)

(Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Maraviroc is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category B.¹

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Maraviroc was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. Long-term animal carcinogenicity studies of maraviroc showed no drug-related increases in tumor incidence.

Reproduction/Fertility

Reproductive toxicity has been evaluated in rats and rabbits. Maraviroc produced no adverse effects on fertility of male or female rats at doses with exposures (area under the curve [AUC]) up to 20-fold higher than in humans given the recommended 300-mg, twice-daily dose.

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

The incidence of fetal variations and malformations was not increased in embryo-fetal toxicity studies in rats at AUC approximately 20-fold higher (and in rabbits at approximately 5-fold higher) than human exposures at the recommended 300-mg, twice-daily dose (up to 1000 mg/kg/day in rats and 75 mg/kg/day in rabbits).

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Minimal placental passage was demonstrated in a study of single-dose maraviroc in rhesus macaques that showed poor placental transfer and rapid clearance from infant monkeys' blood.² Studies in lactating rats indicate that maraviroc is extensively secreted into rat milk.

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

Data on the use of maraviroc in human pregnancy are limited to a small pharmacokinetic study that found exposure to maraviroc was 21% lower during the third trimester than postpartum.³

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

An *ex vivo* human placental cotyledon perfusion model demonstrated minimal placental passage of maraviroc.⁴ In a study in humans of six mother/infant pairs, the median ratio of cord blood-to-maternal-plasma drug concentrations was 0.33 (0.03–0.56).³ Whether maraviroc is secreted into human milk is unknown.

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, insufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to maraviroc in humans have been monitored to be able to make a risk determination.^{5,6}

- Maraviron (Selzentry [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2014. Available at http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/DrugSafety/ucm089122.pdf. Accessed July 10, 2015.
- 2. Winters MA, Van Rompay KK, Kashuba AD, Shulman NS, Holodniy M. Maternal-fetal pharmacokinetics and dynamics of a single intrapartum dose of maraviroc in rhesus macaques. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2010;54(10):4059-4063. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20696881.
- 3. Colbers A, Best B, et al. A comparison of the pharmacokinetics of maraviroc during pregnancy and postpartum. Abstract 931. Presented at: 20th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2013. Atlanta, GA.
- 4. Vinot C, Gavard L, Treluyer JM, et al. Placental transfer of maraviroc in an ex vivo human cotyledon perfusion model and influence of ABC transporter expression. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2013;57(3):1415-1420. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23295922.

- 5. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 Jan 1989–31 July 2014. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2014. Available at http://www.APRegistry.com.
- 6. Floridia M, Mastroiacovo P, Tamburrini E, et al. Birth defects in a national cohort of pregnant women with HIV infection in Italy, 2001-2011. *BJOG*. 2013;120(12):1466-1475. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23721372.

Integrase Inhibitors

Glossary of Terms for Supplement

Carcinogenic = producing or tending to produce cancer

- Some agents, such as certain chemicals or forms of radiation, are both mutagenic and clastogenic.
- · Genetic mutations and/or chromosomal damage can contribute to cancer formation.

Clastogenic = causing disruption of or breakages in chromosomes

Genotoxic = damaging to genetic material such as DNA and chromosomes

Mutagenic = inducing or capable of inducing genetic mutation

Teratogenic = interfering with fetal development and resulting in birth defects

This class of antiretroviral (ARV) drugs inhibits integrase, the viral enzyme that catalyzes the two-step process of insertion of HIV DNA into the genome of the human cell. Integrase catalyzes a preparatory step that excises two nucleotides from one strand at both ends of the HIV DNA and a final "strand transfer" step that inserts the viral DNA into the exposed regions of cellular DNA. The integrase inhibitor drug class targets this second step in the integration process. Integration is required for the stable maintenance of the viral genome as well as for efficient viral gene expression and replication. Integrase also affects reverse transcription and viral assembly. Host cells lack the integrase enzyme. Because HIV integrase represents a distinct therapeutic target, integrase inhibitors would be expected to maintain activity against HIV that is resistant to other classes of ARV drugs.

Dolutegravir (Tivicay, DTG)

(Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Dolutegravir is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category B.

Animal Carcinogenicity Studies

Dolutegravir was not genotoxic or mutagenic *in vitro*. No carcinogenicity was detected in 2-year long-term studies in mice at exposures up to 14-fold higher than that achieved with human systemic exposure at the recommended dose, or in rats at exposures up to 10-fold higher in males and 15-fold higher in females than human exposure at the recommended dose.

Reproduction/Fertility

Dolutegravir did not affect fertility in male and female rats and rabbits at exposures approximately 27-fold higher than human clinical exposure, based on area under the curve, at the recommended dose.

Animal Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

Studies in rats and rabbits have shown no evidence of developmental toxicity, teratogenicity or effect on reproductive function with dolutegravir.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Studies in rats have demonstrated that dolutegravir crosses the placenta in animal studies and is excreted into breast milk in rats.

Human Studies in Pregnancy

No studies of dolutegravir use in human pregnancy have been reported. No human data on placental passage or breast milk excretion are available.

Elvitegravir

(Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Elvitegravir is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category B.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Elvitegravir was not genotoxic or mutagenic *in vitro*. No carcinogenicity was detected in long-term studies in mice at exposures up to 14-fold and rats at exposures up to 27-fold that achieved with human systemic exposure at the recommended dose.

I

Reproduction/Fertility

Elvitegravir did not affect fertility in male and female rats at approximately 16- and 30-fold higher exposures than in humans at standard dosing. Fertility was normal in offspring.

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

Studies in rats and rabbits have shown no evidence of teratogenicity or effect on reproductive function with elvitegravir.

1

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

No data on placental passage are available for elvitegravir. Studies in rats have demonstrated that elvitegravir is secreted in breast milk.

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

No pharmacokinetic studies of elvitegravir in human pregnancy have been reported.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

No data are available on placental or breast milk passage of elvitegravir in humans.

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, insufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to elvitegravir in humans have been monitored to be able to make a risk determination.²

- Elvitegravir [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2014. Available at http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda docs/label/2014/203093s000lbl.pdf. Accessed May 11, 2015.
- 2. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 Jan 1989 31 July 2014. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2014. Available at http://www.APRegistry.com.

Raltegravir (Isentress, RAL)

(Last updated August 6, 2015; last reviewed August 6, 2015)

Raltegravir is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category C.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Raltegravir was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. Long-term carcinogenicity studies of raltegravir in mice did not show any carcinogenic potential at systemic exposures 1.8-fold (females) or 1.2-fold (males) greater than human exposure at the recommended dose. Treatment-related squamous cell carcinoma of the nose/nasopharynx was observed in female rats dosed with 600 mg/kg/day raltegravir (exposure 3-fold higher than in humans at the recommended adult dose) for 104 weeks. These tumors were possibly the result of local irritation and inflammation due to local deposition and/or aspiration of drug in the mucosa of the nose/nasopharynx during dosing. No tumors of the nose/nasopharynx were observed in rats receiving doses resulting in systemic exposures that were 1.7-fold (males) to 1.4-fold (females) greater than the human exposure at the recommended dose.

Reproduction/Fertility

Raltegravir produced no adverse effects on fertility of male or female rats at doses up to 600 mg/kg/day (providing exposures 3-fold higher than the exposure at the recommended adult human dose).

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

Studies in rats and rabbits revealed no evidence of treatment-related effects on embryonic/fetal survival or fetal weights from raltegravir administered in doses producing systemic exposures approximately 3- to 4-fold higher than the exposure at the recommended adult human daily dose. In rabbits, no treatment-related external, visceral, or skeletal changes were observed. However, treatment-related increases in the incidence of supernumerary ribs were seen in rats given raltegravir at 600 mg/kg/day (providing exposures 3-fold higher than the exposure at the recommended human daily dose).

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Placental transfer of raltegravir was demonstrated in both rats and rabbits. In rats given a maternal dose of 600 mg/kg/day, mean fetal blood concentrations were approximately 1.5- to 2.5-fold higher than in maternal plasma at 1 and 24 hours post-dose, respectively. However, in rabbits, the mean drug concentrations in fetal plasma were approximately 2% of the mean maternal plasma concentration at both 1 and 24 hours following a maternal dose of 1000 mg/kg/day.

Raltegravir is secreted in the milk of lactating rats, with mean drug concentrations in milk about 3-fold higher than in maternal plasma at a maternal dose of 600 mg/kg/day. No effects in rat offspring were attributable to raltegravir exposure through breast milk.

Human Studies

Pharmacokinetics

Raltegravir pharmacokinetics (PK) were evaluated in 42 women during pregnancy in the IMPAACT P1026s study. Raltegravir PKs in these women showed extensive variability as seen in non-pregnant individuals. Median raltegravir area under the curve was reduced by approximately 50% during pregnancy. No significant difference was seen between the third trimester and postpartum trough concentrations. Plasma HIV RNA levels were under 400 copies/mL in 92% of women at delivery. Given the high rates of virologic suppression and the lack of clear relationship between raltegravir concentration and virologic effect in non-pregnant adults, no change in dosing was recommended during pregnancy. In a study of 22 women with paired third-trimester and postpartum data from the PANNA Network, the geometric mean ratios of third trimester/postpartum values were AUC_{0-12hr} 0.71 (0.53–0.96), C_{max} 0.82 (0.55–1.253), and C_{12hr} 0.64 (0.34–1.22). One patient was below the target C_{12hr} in the third trimester and none were below the threshold

postpartum. No change in dosing during pregnancy was recommended based on these data.²

In the P1097 study of washout pharmacokinetics in 21 neonates born to women receiving ongoing raltegravir in pregnancy, raltegravir elimination was highly variable and extremely prolonged in some infants (median $t_{1/2}$ 26.6 hours, range 9.3–184 hours). In a case report of an infant born at 30 weeks' gestation after the mother had received three doses of raltegravir, the cord blood level of raltegravir was 145 ng/mL; the level at age 2 days was 106 ng/mL and at 1 month was 29 ng/mL, still above the IC95 of 15 ng/mL.³

Teratogenicity/Developmental Toxicity

As of January 31, 2015, six cases with defects have been reported among 180 infants with first-trimester exposure to raltegravir included in the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry—too few first-trimester exposures to be able to accurately calculate the prevalence of birth defects in exposed cases.⁴

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In humans, raltegravir appears to readily cross the placenta. In the IMPAACT P1026s study, the ratio of cord blood-to-maternal-plasma was 1.5. In the P1097 study, the median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma raltegravir concentration ratio was 1.48 (range 0.32–4.33), and in the PANNA study it was 1.21. Other case reports have shown cord blood/maternal blood drug level ratios of 1.00 to 1.06. In a series of three cases with preterm deliveries at 29 to 33 weeks' gestation (in 2 cases raltegravir was added to the maternal antiretroviral regimen shortly before anticipated preterm delivery), cord blood-to-maternal-plasma ratios ranged from 0.44 to 1.88.

Whether raltegravir is secreted in human breast milk is unknown.

Safety

In the P1026s Study and the PANNA study, raltegravir was well tolerated, with no treatment-related serious adverse events in pregnant women, and all infants were at least 36 weeks' gestation at delivery.^{1,2} In the P1097 study, no infant adverse events were determined to be related to maternal raltegravir exposure; one (4.6%) infant received phototherapy for treatment of hyperbilirubinemia.⁵ In multiple case reports and case series of 4, 5, and 14 pregnant women treated with raltegravir in combination with 2 or 3 other antiretroviral drugs because of persistent viremia or late presentation, the drug was well tolerated and led to rapid reduction in HIV RNA levels.¹⁰⁻¹⁵ However, in one case of similar use, 10- to 23-fold increases in liver transaminases were reported after initiation of raltegravir with resolution when raltegravir was discontinued.¹⁶ Drug levels were not measured in any of those studies. One case has been reported of drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms syndrome with extensive pulmonary involvement in a postpartum woman that resolved with discontinuation of raltegravir. Such reactions have been reported in non-pregnant adults receiving raltegravir and should be considered in the differential diagnosis of fever during pregnancy or postpartum period in women on raltegravir.¹⁷

Because raltegravir is highly protein bound to albumin, there is concern about displacement of bilirubin from albumin in the neonate, potentially increasing the risk of neonatal hyperbilirubinemia. In an *in vitro* study of the effect of raltegravir on bilirubin-albumin binding, raltegravir had minimal effect on bilirubin-albumin binding at concentrations of 5 μ M and 10 μ M, caused a small but statistically significant increase in unbound bilirubin at 100 μ M, and caused potentially harmful increases at 500 and 1000 μ M. These data suggest that the effect of raltegravir on neonatal bilirubin binding is unlikely to be clinically significant at typical peak concentrations reached in adults with usual dosing (adult concentrations with standard raltegravir doses were geometric mean C_{max} of 4.5 μ M, median C_{max} of 6.5 μ M and maximum observed C_{max} of 10.2 μ M). Raltegravir should not be used in neonates until PK and toxicity studies have been completed.

Chewable tablets contain phenylalanine.

References

1. Watts DH, Stek A, Best BM, et al. Raltegravir pharmacokinetics during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25162818.

- 2. Blonk M, Colbers A, Hidalgo-Tenorio C, et al. Raltegravir in HIV-1 infected pregnant women: pharmacokinetics, safety, and efficacy. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25944344.
- 3. Clavel-Osorio C, Cazassus F, Stegmann S, Huc-Anais P, Lecam D, Peytavin G. One-month transplacental pharmacokinetics of raltegravir in a premature newborn after short-course treatment of the HIV-1-infected mother. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2013;57(12):6393-6394. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24080650.
- 4. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 Jan 1989–31 January 2015. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2015. Available at http://www.apregistry.com/.
- 5. Clarke DF, Acosta EP, Rizk ML, et al. Raltegravir pharmacokinetics in neonates following maternal dosing. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;67(3):310-315. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25162819.
- 6. Pinnetti C, Baroncelli S, Villani P, et al. Rapid HIV-RNA decline following addition of raltegravir and tenofovir to ongoing highly active antiretroviral therapy in a woman presenting with high-level HIV viraemia at week 38 of pregnancy. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2010;65(9):2050-2052. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20630894.
- 7. Croci L, Trezzi M, Allegri MP, et al. Pharmacokinetic and safety of raltegravir in pregnancy. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol*. 2012. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22382989.
- 8. McKeown DA, Rosenvinge M, Donaghy S, et al. High neonatal concentrations of raltegravir following transplacental transfer in HIV-1 positive pregnant women. *AIDS*. 2010;24(15):2416-2418. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20827058.
- 9. Hegazi A, Mc Keown D, Doerholt K, Donaghy S, Sadiq ST, Hay P. Raltegravir in the prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1: effective transplacental transfer and delayed plasma clearance observed in preterm neonates. *AIDS*. 2012;26(18):2421-2423. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23151500.
- 10. Taylor N, Touzeau V, Geit M, et al. Raltegravir in pregnancy: a case series presentation. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2011;22(6):358-360. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21680678.
- 11. Cha A, Shaikh R, Williams S, Berkowitz LL. Rapid reduction in HIV viral load in late pregnancy with raltegravir: a case report. *J Int Assoc Provid AIDS Care*. 2013;12(5):312-314. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23695227.
- 12. De Hoffer L, Di Biagio A, Bruzzone B, et al. Use of raltegravir in a late presenter HIV-1 woman in advanced gestational age: case report and literature review. *J Chemother*. 2013;25(3):181-183. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23783144.
- 13. Westling K, Pettersson K, Kaldma A, Naver L. Rapid decline in HIV viral load when introducing raltegravir-containing antiretroviral treatment late in pregnancy. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2012;26(12):714-717. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23101466.
- Nobrega I, Travassos AG, Haguihara T, Amorim F, Brites C. Short communication: Use of raltegravir in late-presenting HIV-infected pregnant women. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses. 2013;29(11):1451-1454. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23731224.
- 15. Adeyemo A, Wood C, Govind A. Achieving rapid reduction of HIV-1 viral load in HIV-positive pregnant women close to term an obstetric/medical emergency: a review of three cases. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2013;24(7):591-592. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23970779.
- 16. Renet S, Closon A, Brochet MS, Bussieres JF, Boucher M. Increase in Transaminase Levels Following the Use of Raltegravir in a Woman With a High HIV Viral Load at 35 Weeks of Pregnancy. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can*. 2013;35(1):68-72. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23343800.
- 17. Yee BE, Nguyen NH, Lee D. Extensive pulmonary involvement with raltegravir-induced DRESS syndrome in a postpartum woman with HIV. *BMJ Case Rep.* 2014;2014. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24798353.
- 18. Clarke DF, Wong RJ, Wenning L, Stephenson DK, Mirochnick M. Raltegravir In Vitro Effect on Bilirubin Binding. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2013. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23470680.

Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry (Last updated March 28, 2014; last reviewed March 28, 2014)

The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry (APR) is an epidemiologic project to collect observational, non-experimental data on antiretroviral (ARV) drug exposure during pregnancy for the purpose of assessing the potential teratogenicity of these drugs. Registry data will be used to supplement animal toxicology studies and assist clinicians in weighing the potential risks and benefits of treatment for individual patients. The registry is a collaborative project of the pharmaceutical manufacturers with an advisory committee of obstetric and pediatric practitioners.

It is strongly recommended that health care providers who are treating HIV-infected pregnant women and their newborns report cases of prenatal exposure to ARV drugs (either alone or in combination) to the APR. The registry does not use patient names and birth outcome follow-up is obtained from the reporting physician by registry staff.

Referrals should be directed to:

Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Research Park 1011 Ashes Drive Wilmington, NC 28405 Telephone: 1–800–258–4263

Fax: 1–800–800–1052

http://www.APRegistry.com

Appendix C: Acronyms (Last updated March 28, 2014; last reviewed March 28, 2014)

Acronym/Abbreviation Full Name

3TC lamivudine **ABC** abacavir

ACOG American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists

ALT alanine aminotransferase anti-HBc anti-hepatitis B core antibody anti-HBS hepatitis B surface antibody

AP antepartum

APR Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry

ART antiretroviral therapy

ARV antiretroviral

AST aspartate aminotransferase

ATV atazanavir

ATV/r ritonavir-boosted atazanavir

AUC area under the curve

AZT zidovudine BID twice daily BMI

body mass index

cART combination antiretroviral therapy

CBC complete blood count CD4 CD4 T lymphocyte

CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

CI confidence interval

maximum plasma concentration C_{max} minimum plasma concentration C_{\min}

CNS central nervous system

COBI cobicistat

CVS chorionic villus sampling

CYP cytochrome P

CYP3A4 cytochrome P450 3A4

d4T stavudine ddI didanosine

DMPA depot medroxyprogesterone acetate

DRV darunavir

ritonavir-boosted darunavir DRV/r

DTG dolutegravir

DSMB Data and Safety Monitoring Board

EC enteric coated ECG electrocardiogram

EFV efavirenz

EMS ethyl methane sulfonate

EPPICC The European Pregnancy and Paediatric HIV Cohort Collaboration

ETR etravirine EVG elvitegravir

FDA Food and Drug Administration

FDC fixed drug combination

FPV fosamprenavir

FPV/r ritonavir-boosted fosamprenavir

FTC emtricitabine
gp glycoprotein
HAV hepatitis A virus

HBIG hepatitis B immune globulin HBsAg hepatitis B surface antigen

HBV hepatitis B virus HCV hepatitis C virus

HELLP hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes, and low platelets

HGC hard gel capsule HR hazard ratio

HRSA Health Resources and Services Administration

HSR hypersensitivity reaction IC₅₀ inhibitory concentration 50%

IDV indinavir

IDV/r ritonavir-boosted indinavir IGF insulin-like growth factor

IP intrapartum

IQR interquartile range

IRIS immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome

IUD intrauterine device

IV intravenous/intravenously

LPV lopinavir

LPV/r ritonavir-boosted lopinavir
MAC *Mycobacterium avium* complex

MACDP Metropolitan Atlanta Congenital Defects Program
MIRIAD Mother-Infant Rapid Intervention at Delivery (study)

mtDNA mitochondrial DNA

MVC maraviroc NFV nelfinavir

NIH National Institutes of Health

NNRTI non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor/non-nucleoside analogue

reverse transcriptase inhibitor

NRTI nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor/nucleoside analogue reverse

transcriptase inhibitor

NtRTI nucleotide analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitor

NVP nevirapine

OC oral contraceptive
OI opportunistic infection

OR odds ratio

PACTG Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group

The Panel The Panel on Treatment of HIV-Infected Pregnant Women and Prevention

of Perinatal Transmission

PCP Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia

PCR polymerase chain reaction

PI protease inhibitor
PK pharmacokinetic

PO orally

PP postpartum

PPI proton pump inhibitor
PrEP pre-exposure prophylaxis

PTD preterm delivery

RAL raltegravir

RDS respiratory distress syndrome

RPV rilpivirine
RR relative risk
RTV ritonavir
sd single dose
SQ subcutaneous
SQV saquinavir

SQV/r ritonavir-boosted saquinavir STD sexually transmitted disease

T20 enfuvirtide

TDF tenofovir disoproxil fumarate
TDM therapeutic drug monitoring

TID three times daily

TPV tipranavir

TPV/r ritonavir-boosted tipranavir

UGT uridine diphosphate glucuronosyltransferase

WHO World Health Organization

WITS Women and Infants Transmission Study

ZDV zidovudine